



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County  
Board of Supervisors**



## Adobe Acrobat Reader

### Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

#### To find a word using the Find command:

1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
3. Select search options if necessary:  
Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

#### To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again

Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again.

(The word must already be in the Find text box.)

### Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

**Note:** *If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.*



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

**To select and copy it to the clipboard:**

1. Select the text tool **T**, and do one of the following:  
To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1       [There was no reportable action as a result of the Board  
2       of Supervisors' closed session held today. (Page 85)]

3

4

5

6       **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. THE JUNE 7TH, 2006 MEETING OF  
7       THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL BEGIN WITH A  
8       PRAYER BY PASTOR MATTHEW SMUTS OF THE BETHLEHEM LUTHERAN  
9       CHURCH IN GRENADA HILLS AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE LED BY AND  
10      ANDRE BRINNEY, WHO IS A MEMBER OF THE POST NUMBER 17 OF THE  
11      LONG BEACH DISABLED AMERICAN VETERANS FROM THE 4TH  
12      SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT. SO IF THE AUDIENCE WOULD PLEASE RISE  
13      AND PASTOR.

14

15      **REVEREND MATTHEW A. SMUTS:** LET US PRAY. GOOD AND GRACIOUS GOD,  
16      WE GIVE YOU THANKS FOR ALL OF THE GOOD THINGS THAT YOU BRING  
17      INTO OUR LIVES AND INTO THIS COMMUNITY. WE GIVE YOU THANKS FOR  
18      THE ELECTION YESTERDAY, WHETHER WE GOT THE RESULTS WE WANTED  
19      OR NOT, THAT YOUR PRESENCE WAS FELT AND THAT YOUR WILL WOULD  
20      BE DONE. WE GIVE YOU THANKS FOR ALL OF THOSE WHO SERVE, THOSE  
21      WHO RISK THEIR LIVES AND THOSE WHO SERVE IN EVEN THE SMALLEST  
22      TASKS, THAT YOU WOULD WATCH OVER THEM AND BLESS THEIR WORK. WE  
23      ASK FOR YOUR PRESENCE HERE TODAY AS VOICES ARE HEARD AND  
24      DECISIONS ARE MADE. WE ASK FOR ALL THESE THINGS, TRUSTING IN  
25      YOUR GRACE. AMEN.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **ANDRE BRINNEY:** COULD YOU PLEASE PUT YOUR RIGHT HAND OVER YOUR  
3 HEART AND JOIN ME IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. [ PLEDGE OF  
4 ALLEGIANCE ]

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** PASTOR SMUTS HAS RECEIVED HIS  
7 BACHELOR'S IN BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION FROM CONCORDIA LUTHERAN  
8 UNIVERSITY AND, IN 1996, WAS ORDAINED WITH HIS MASTER'S  
9 DIVINITY DEGREE FROM TRINITY LUTHERAN SEMINARY IN COLUMBUS,  
10 OHIO. HE SERVES THE CONGREGATIONS IN THE PAST IN MINNESOTA AND  
11 ALEXANDRIA AND IS NOW AT BETHLEHEM IN GRANADA HILLS. HE'S  
12 MARRIED WITH THREE CHILDREN AND GRANADA HILLS WAS THE HOME  
13 TOWN OF TWO OF THE LOS ANGELES ANGELS' GREAT BASEBALL PLAYERS,  
14 GARRETT ANDERSON AND BRIAN KENNEDY, WHO HAVE DONE THEIR WELL  
15 TO HELP THE ANGELS DO WELL. SO, PASTOR, THANK YOU FOR COMING  
16 DOWN AND WE'RE GOING TO GIVE YOU THIS CERTIFICATE. [ APPLAUSE  
17 ]

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR KNABE.

20

21 **SUP. KNABE:** THANK YOU, SIR. MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, LADIES AND  
22 GENTLEMEN, IT'S MY PRIVILEGE TO TAKE A MOMENT TO GIVE A  
23 CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION TO ANDRE BRINNEY, WHO IS A MEMBER  
24 OF THE DISABLED AMERICAN VETERANS, POST NUMBER 17 IN LONG  
25 BEACH. HE SERVED THE UNITED STATES ARMY FROM 1982 TO 1984 AS A





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 CORPORAL IN THE 194TH UNIT INFANTRY DIVISION. HE RECEIVED THE  
2 UNITED STATES ARMY GOOD CONDUCT MEDAL, THE NATIONAL DEFENSE  
3 SERVICE MEDAL, AND HE WORKS FOR LOS ANGELES COUNTY IN THE  
4 D.P.S.S. OFFICE OUT IN RANCHO DOMINGUEZ BUT ALSO HE HELPS US  
5 OUT WITH COUNSELING AND WORKS WITH OUR VETERANS COUNTYWIDE BUT  
6 ALSO DOES A LOT OF WORK DOWN IN THE VILLAGES. SO ANDRE HAS  
7 LIVED IN THE FOURTH DISTRICT FOR SOME NINE YEARS. HE IS  
8 MARRIED AND HAS A COUPLE OF CHILDREN. SO, ON BEHALF OF THE  
9 BOARD AND MY COLLEAGUES HERE AND OUR CITIZENS, WE JUST WANT TO  
10 THANK YOU, ANDRE, FOR TAKING THE TIME TO COME DOWN AND LEAD US  
11 IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. [ APPLAUSE ]

12

13 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. WE'LL BEGIN THE AGENDA.

14

15 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** GOOD MORNING, MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE  
16 BOARD. WE'LL BEGIN TODAY'S AGENDA ON PAGE 3, NOTICE OF CLOSED  
17 SESSION. AS NOTED ON THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET, ITEM CS-1,  
18 COUNTY COUNSEL REQUESTS THAT THIS CLOSED SESSION ITEM BE  
19 CONTINUED TO JUNE 13TH, 2006.

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED.  
22 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

23



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON PAGE 5, AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE  
2 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,  
3 ITEM 1-D.

4

5 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
6 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

7

8 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE HOUSING  
9 AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, ITEMS 1-H THROUGH 3-H.

10

11 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
12 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

13

14 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE REGIONAL PARK  
15 AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT, AS NOTED ON THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL  
16 SHEET, ITEM 1-P.

17

18 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SO MOVED. SECONDED BY MOLINA. WITHOUT  
19 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

20

21 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ITEMS 1 THROUGH 7. ON  
22 ITEM 1, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. AND ITEM 5,  
23 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. THE  
24 REST ARE BEFORE YOU.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
2    OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

4    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, ITEM 8.  
5    SUPERVISOR KNABE REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. AUDIT  
6    COMMITTEE, ITEM 9.

7

8    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED.  
9    WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

10

11   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, ITEM 10.

12

13   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
14   OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

15

16   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, ITEMS 11  
17   THROUGH 13. ON ITEM 11, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC.

18

19   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
20   OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

21

22   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, ITEM 14  
23   AND 15.

24





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
2    OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

4    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** DISTRICT ATTORNEY, ITEM 16.

5

6    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED.  
7    WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

8

9    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** HEALTH SERVICES, ITEMS 17 THROUGH 23. AND,  
10    ON ITEM 21, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. THE REST ARE  
11    BEFORE YOU.

12

13    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
14    OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

15

16    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** MENTAL HEALTH, ITEMS 24 AND 25.

17

18    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
19    OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

20

21    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** MILITARY AND VETERANS AFFAIRS, ITEM 26.

22

23    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
24    OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** PARKS AND RECREATION, ITEM 27.

2

3 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED.

4 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

5

6 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** PROBATION, ITEM 28.

7

8 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT

9 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

10

11 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES, ITEM 29.

12

13 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT

14 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

15

16 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** PUBLIC WORKS, ITEMS 30 THROUGH 55. ON ITEM

17 32, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. ON

18 ITEMS 35 THROUGH 55, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. SO ITEMS

19 30, 31, 33 AND 34 ARE BEFORE YOU.

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT

22 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

23

24 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON PAGE 24, SHERIFF, ITEM 56.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED.

2    WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

4    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** TREASURER AND TAX COLLECTOR, ITEM 57.

5

6    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
7    OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

8

9    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATION, ITEM 58.

10

11    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
12    OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

13

14    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ORDINANCE FOR INTRODUCTION, ITEM 59 AND  
15    I'LL READ THE SHORT TITLE IN FOR THE RECORD. AN ORDINANCE  
16    AMENDING TITLE 3, ADVISORY COMMISSIONS AND COMMITTEES OF THE  
17    COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CODE TO ADOPT AND CONTINUE CHAPTER 3.47,  
18    INFORMATION SYSTEMS COMMISSION AND EXTEND THE EXPIRATION DATE  
19    THEREOF.

20

21    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
22    OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

23

24    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ORDINANCES FOR ADOPTION, ITEMS 60 THROUGH  
25    62.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED.  
3 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

4

5 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SEPARATE MATTERS, ITEMS 63 AND 66. ON ITEM  
6 63, I'LL READ THIS INTO THE RECORD. IT'S THE TREASURER AND TAX  
7 COLLECTOR'S RECOMMENDATION TO ADOPT RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE  
8 LEASING OF CERTAIN EQUIPMENT FROM THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
9 CAPITAL ASSET LEASING CORPORATION AND THE EXECUTION OF A LEASE  
10 AGREEMENT AND CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE IN CONNECTION  
11 WITH THE ISSUANCE OF NOT-TO-EXCEED 35 MILLION AGGREGATE  
12 PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF LEASE REVENUE BONDS AND APPROVE THE  
13 PUBLICATION OF THE OFFICIAL NOTICE INVITING BIDS IN THE DAILY  
14 COMMERCE OR SUCH OTHER NEWSPAPER OF GENERAL CIRCULATION WITHIN  
15 THE COUNTY.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
18 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

19

20 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM 64, THE DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND  
21 RECREATION IS REQUESTING THAT THIS MATTER BE TERMINATED AND  
22 REFERRED BACK TO THE DEPARTMENT.

23

24 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
25 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. KNABE:** WHICH ITEM WAS THAT?

3

4 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** 64.

5

6 **SUP. KNABE:** OKAY.

7

8 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM 65, THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER REQUESTS  
9 THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED UNTIL JUNE 20, 2006.

10

11 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
12 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

13

14 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ITEM 66.

15

16 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
17 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

18

19 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** PUBLIC HEARINGS, ITEMS 67 AND 68. WE WILL  
20 HOLD THESE ITEMS FOR THE PUBLIC HEARINGS. AND ON ITEM 68, AS  
21 NOTED ON THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, THIS IS SCHEDULED FOR  
22 1:00 TODAY. MISCELLANEOUS, ITEM 69, ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA  
23 REQUESTED BY BOARD MEMBERS AND THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE  
24 OFFICER, WHICH WERE POSTED MORE THAN 72 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THE MEETING, AS INDICATED ON THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA.  
2 69-A.

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
5 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

6

7 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON 69-B, SUPERVISOR KNABE REQUESTS A COUPLE  
8 REVISIONS TO THIS ITEM; SPECIFICALLY, HE WOULD LIKE TO ADD, ON  
9 THE FIRST SECTION, REQUEST THE POLICY ROUNDTABLE FOR CHILDCARE  
10 TO WORK WITH THE C.A.O. AND COUNTY COUNSEL AND REPORT BACK TO  
11 THE BOARD WITHIN 60 DAYS. AND, ON THE SECOND CHANGE, IT'S ON  
12 ITEM NUMBER 2, POTENTIAL PARTNERS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED  
13 TO THE FIRST FIVE L.A.

14

15 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
16 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

17

18 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** 69-C, SUPERVISOR MOLINA AND SUPERVISOR  
19 KNABE REQUEST THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. 69-D.

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SO MOVED. SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT  
22 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

23





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON PAGE 29, AGENDA ITEM-- ITEMS CONTINUED  
2 FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY  
3 THE BOARD. ON A-3, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC.

4

5 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY.

6

7 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** THAT COMPLETES THE READING OF THE AGENDA.  
8 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' SPECIAL ITEMS BEGIN WITH SUPERVISORIAL  
9 DISTRICT NO. 2.

10

11 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** LET ME FIRST INTRODUCE THE NEW CONSUL-  
12 GENERAL.

13

14 **SUP. BURKE:** WE HAVE NO PRESENTATIONS.

15

16 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WE HAVE A CONSUL-GENERAL.

17

18 **SUP. BURKE:** YEAH.

19

20 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THIS MORNING, WE'RE GOING TO WELCOME A  
21 NEW CONSUL-GENERAL AND THIS IS THE FIRST TIME THAT HIS  
22 GOVERNMENT, AZERBAIJAN, HAS BECOME A OFFICIAL PART OF OUR  
23 COUNTY'S PROTOCOL DIPLOMATIC CORPS, IN WHICH THEY OPENED THEIR  
24 FIRST OFFICE IN OUR COUNTY. WITH US THIS MORNING IS THE  
25 HONORABLE ELIN SULEYMANOV WHO CAME TO LOS ANGELES JUST



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 RECENTLY. HE'S A NATIVE OF AZERBAIJAN, A GRADUATE OF THE  
2 POLITICAL GEOGRAPHY DEPARTMENT OF MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY IN  
3 RUSSIA, AS WELL AS THE FLETCHER SCHOOL OF LAW AND DIPLOMACY IN  
4 MASSACHUSETTS AND THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO IN OHIO. PRIOR TO  
5 COMING TO OUR COUNTY, HE WAS SENIOR COUNSELOR AT THE OFFICE OF  
6 THE PRESIDENT OF AZERBAIJAN FOREIGN RELATIONS DEPARTMENT AS  
7 WELL AS THE PRESS OFFICER FOR THE EMBASSY IN WASHINGTON, D.C.  
8 BEFORE JOINING THE DIPLOMATIC SERVICE, HE WORKED WITH UNITED  
9 NATIONS HIGH COMMISSIONER FOR REFUGEES IN AZERBAIJAN, ALONG  
10 WITH THE OPEN MEDIA RESEARCH INSTITUTE IN PRAGUE, CZECH  
11 REPUBLIC. SO WE'RE VERY PLEASED TO WELCOME YOU TO OUR COUNTY.  
12 WE LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH YOU AS YOU WORK WITH YOUR  
13 COLLEAGUES IN OUR DIPLOMATIC CORPS AND, AGAIN, WELCOME. [  
14 APPLAUSE ]

15

16 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AND HE'S GOING TO INTRODUCE HIS WIFE  
17 AS WELL.

18

19 **THE HONORABLE ELIN SULEYMANOV:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR.  
20 ANTONOVICH. THIS IS MY WIFE, LAWLA, SUPERVISORS. THANK YOU VERY  
21 MUCH. IT'S A GREAT HONOR TO BE HERE. I AM VERY PROUD TO BE A  
22 MEMBER OF THE DISTINGUISHED CONSULAR CORPS OF LOS ANGELES. I  
23 LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING TOGETHER WITH YOU. MY COUNTRY STANDS  
24 SHOULDER TO SHOULDER WITH THE UNITED STATES INTER NATION AND



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WILL CONTINUE TO DO SO. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. IT'S A GREAT  
2 HONOR. THANK YOU. [ APPLAUSE ]

3

4 **THE HONORABLE ELIN SULEYMANOV:** MAYOR ANTONOVICH, THIS IS FROM  
5 AZERBAIJAN FOR YOU.

6

7 **SUP. BURKE:** GLORIA, I DIDN'T CONGRATULATE YOU.

8

9 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR KNABE. DON? YOU'RE UP.

10

11 **SUP. KNABE:** I HAVE UP HERE, JUST WALKED UP, ANGI WONG AND IT'S  
12 REALLY FITTING THAT WE HAVE ANGI HERE TODAY BECAUSE, AS YOU  
13 SAW IN THE NEWS LAST NIGHT, THERE WAS PURPORTED SIGHTING OF  
14 REGGIE, THE ALLIGATOR. AND ANGI IS NOT ONLY A TWO-TIME CANCER  
15 SURVIVOR BUT ALSO AN AWARD-WINNING ENTREPRENEUR AND BEST-  
16 SELLING AUTHOR OF SOME 25 TITLES. ALTHOUGH THE MAJORITY OF HER  
17 BOOKS DEAL WITH THE CHINESE ART OF FENG SHUI, SHE'S AN  
18 ACCOMPLISHED WRITER OF CHILDREN'S BOOKS AS WELL. SHE FEELS  
19 THAT HER CHILDREN'S BOOKS GIVE HER THE OPPORTUNITY TO EMPOWER  
20 YOUNG PEOPLE TO FIND THEIR OWN VALUE AND DISCOVER THEIR INNER  
21 STRENGTHS AND ABILITIES. HER NEWEST BOOK, WHICH SHE HAS  
22 WRITTEN AND ILLUSTRATED, IS ENTITLED, "REGGIE: THE L.A.  
23 GATOR." THE STORY IS ABOUT REGGIE THE ALLIGATOR, WHICH WE'VE  
24 ALL WATCHED ON T.V., WHO WAS ILLEGALLY RELEASED IN THE MACHADO  
25 LAKE IN HARBOR CITY AND BECAME AN INTERNATIONAL NEWS EVENT FOR



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    QUITE AWHILE, FOR A NUMBER OF WEEKS. TO DATE, REGGIE HAS NOT  
2    BEEN CAPTURED. REGGIE FASCINATED ANGI BECAUSE OF THE COMMON  
3    EXPERIENCES THAT THEY SHARED. AS A DAUGHTER OF A CHINESE  
4    DIPLOMAT, ANGI LIVED IN SIX DIFFERENT CITIES GROWING UP AND  
5    HAD TO ADJUST TO NEW ENVIRONMENTS AND FELT THE PAIN OF LEAVING  
6    OLD FRIENDS AND THE HARDSHIPS OF MAKING NEW ONES. BECAUSE OF  
7    THIS, HER NEW BOOK IS DEDICATED TO ALL THOSE WHO HAVE EVER  
8    BEEN LEFT BEHIND. SO, ON BEHALF OF MYSELF AND MY COLLEAGUES,  
9    WE'RE HONORED TO COMMEMORATE HER NEW BOOK, "REGGIE: THE L.A.  
10   GATOR." THANK HER FOR HER NUMEROUS CONTRIBUTIONS, NOT ONLY TO  
11   THE WORLD OF LITERACY AND HER SUPPORT OF LITERACY, BUT ALSO  
12   THE WORLD OF CHILDREN'S BOOKS. SO, WE'RE PROUD TO HAVE YOU  
13   HERE. WE WANT TO SAY GOOD LUCK IN THE BOOK AND WE'LL SAY THAT  
14   TO ALL 10 MILLION RESIDENTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, BUY  
15   "REGGIE: THE L.A. GATOR." [ APPLAUSE ]

16

17   **ANGI WONG:** THANK YOU. IT IS A HONOR TO BE HERE TODAY AND,  
18   SUPERVISOR KNABE, I'D LIKE TO PRESENT YOU WITH AN AUTOGRAPHED  
19   COPY OF "REGGIE: THE L.A. GATOR."

20

21   **SUP. KNABE:** ALL RIGHT! FOR MY GRANDDAUGHTER.

22

23   **ANGIE WONG:** AND I DON'T KNOW IF YOU CAN BEAR TO GIVE THAT ONE  
24   UP TO YOUR GRANDDAUGHTER. YOU CAN KEEP IT. MAKE YOUR DAY. AND  
25   ALSO FOR-- I'D LIKE TO PRESENT THESE ALSO TO THE OTHER



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SUPERVISORS HERE. I KNOW THAT SEVERAL OF THEM HAVE BEEN  
2 INVOLVED IN EDUCATION AND LIKE TO SHARE THAT WITH THEM.

3

4 **SUP. KNABE:** VERY GOOD. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ALL RIGHT. I'LL  
5 KEEP THIS ONE AND YOU TAKE THAT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH AGAIN FOR  
6 COMING DOWN. BEST OF LUCK.

7

8 **ANGI WONG:** THANK YOU.

9

10 **SUP. KNABE:** THANK YOU. I TRIED TO LOOK AT THE END, AND SHE  
11 WOULDN'T LET ME, TO WHETHER THE GATOR WAS CAPTURED BUT SHE  
12 SAID, NO, IT'S A CHILDREN'S BOOK, SO SHE HAS A VERY HAPPY  
13 ENDING. MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, AT THIS TIME, I  
14 BELIEVE THEY'RE UP HERE, I'M GOING TO CALL UP THE SOUTHERN  
15 CALIFORNIA SCLERODERMA FOUNDATION. JOSEPHINE BATTENY AND  
16 SANDRA MATTHEWS, SUSAN PEARSON, WHO ACTUALLY WORKS FOR OUR  
17 PARKS AND REC EMPLOYEES-- PARKS AND REC DEPARTMENT, JIM  
18 CLAUSETERMAN FROM THE DOWNEY COMMUNITY, AND BRIAN ADAMS FROM  
19 WESTCOM CREDIT UNION, WHO IS A PRINCIPLE SPONSOR OF THE  
20 SUNDAY'S SCLERODERMA WALK, YESSANI RAMIREZ, YVETTE COOK AND  
21 DEBBIE FLANNIGAN ARE ALL JOINING ME UP HERE, I BELIEVE. PRETTY  
22 CLOSE. ALL RIGHT. YOU KNOW, LAST YEAR, WE TOOK THE TIME TO  
23 RECOGNIZE THESE FOLKS AS WELL BUT ALSO TO ONCE AGAIN LET THE  
24 WORLD KNOW JUST WHAT THIS DISEASE IS. AS YOU-- SOME OF YOU MAY  
25 OR MAY NOT KNOW, SCLERODERMA, WHICH LITERALLY MEANS HARD SKIN,



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 IS A DISEASE THAT AFFECTS APPROXIMATELY 300,000 AMERICANS AND  
2 THE DISEASE CAN TAKE SEVERAL DIFFERENT FORMS, AND THERE'S A  
3 LOT OF VARIABILITY BETWEEN PATIENTS. THE EXACT CAUSES ARE  
4 STILL UNKNOWN BUT DOCTORS AND SCIENTISTS ARE WORKING HARD TO  
5 MAKE THOSE DETERMINATIONS AND SO THERE'S A LOT OF FUNDRAISING  
6 GOING ON, LIKE THE WALK THIS WEEKEND. ABOUT 80% OF THOSE  
7 AFFLICTED WITH THE DISEASE ARE WOMEN. BOTH SCLERODERMA ALSO  
8 STRIKES MEN AND CHILDREN ACROSS ALL AGES AND ETHNIC  
9 BOUNDARIES. SADLY, THE DISEASE TYPICALLY STRIKES IN THE PRIME  
10 OF THE PATIENT'S LIVES, IN THAT 30 TO 50 BRACKET. AS MANY AS  
11 10,000 PATIENTS DIE EVERY YEAR FROM THE MOST SERIOUS FORM OF  
12 THIS DISEASE. EVEN WITH THESE STARTLING FACTS IN MIND,  
13 SCLERODERMA IS STILL SORELY LACKING THE ATTENTION THAT IT SO  
14 URGENTLY REQUIRES. THIS SUNDAY, THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
15 SCLERODERMA FOUNDATION WILL BE HOSTING THEIR ANNUAL WALK AND  
16 THEIR SPONSOR, WESTCOM CREDIT UNION, TO HELP RAISE MONEY AND  
17 AWARENESS FOR THIS VERY TRAGIC DISEASE. SO, ON BEHALF OF MY  
18 COLLEAGUES ON THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, WE'RE GOING TO DECLARE  
19 JUNE 2006 AS SCLERODERMA AWARENESS MONTH HERE IN THE COUNTY OF  
20 LOS ANGELES. [ APPLAUSE ]

21

22 **SPEAKER:** I WANT TO THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR DON KNABE AND ALL THE  
23 SUPERVISORS FOR NAMING THE MONTH OF JUNE SCLERODERMA  
24 AWARENESS. ALL OF US ARE SO CONCERNED WITH THIS DISEASE. WE  
25 ARE PART OF THE SCLERODERMA FOUNDATION, IT'S A NATIONAL





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 FOUNDATION. WE ARE 26 CHAPTERS THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY AND WE  
2 ARE ONE OF THE LARGEST. LET ME JUST OUTLINE FOR YOU THE THREE  
3 MISSION STATEMENTS THAT WE HAVE, WHICH REALLY SPELL OUT OUR  
4 ACTIVITY THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY. FIRST IS TO HELP PATIENTS AND  
5 THEIR FAMILIES COPE WITH SCLERODERMA THROUGH MUTUAL SUPPORT  
6 PROGRAMS, PEER COUNSELING, PHYSICAL REFERRAL AND EDUCATIONAL  
7 INFORMATION. THE SECOND ONE, TO PROMOTE PUBLIC AWARENESS AND  
8 EDUCATION THROUGH PATIENT AND HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL  
9 SEMINARS, LITERATURE, PUBLICITY AND CAMPAIGNS. AND NUMBER  
10 THREE, TO STIMULATE AND SUPPORT RESEARCH TO IMPROVE TREATMENT  
11 AND ULTIMATELY FIND THE CAUSE AND THE CURE OF SCLERODERMA AND  
12 RELATED DISEASES. WE, AT THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA CHAPTER, DO  
13 ALL OF THESE THREE THINGS AND DO THEM VERY WELL BUT, OVER AND  
14 ABOVE THAT, WE HAVE STARTED A SPECIAL FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM  
15 BECAUSE WE'RE REALLY BLESSED IN THIS COMMUNITY OF HAVING  
16 RESEARCH FOUNDATIONS, RESEARCH MEDICAL INSTITUTIONS, SUCH AS  
17 U.C.L.A. AND CEDARS SINAI AND SO WE'VE STARTED A SPECIAL  
18 FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM AND ARE FUNDING IT SO THAT THERE CAN BE  
19 FURTHER RESEARCH INTO THE CAUSE OF THIS DISEASE. YOU KNOW,  
20 THERE ARE MANY REASONS WHY WE, AS BOARD MEMBERS, BELONG TO  
21 THIS FOUNDATION AND IT'S BECAUSE OF A FAMILY, A FRIEND OR A  
22 CAUSE. I THINK I HAVE A VERY UNIQUE SITUATION. I'M FROM A VERY  
23 LARGE FAMILY, AS NUMBER 15 OF 16 CHILDREN, AND WE ALL HAD A  
24 VERY HEALTHY UPBRINGING. WE HAD MEASLES AND MUMPS AND, YOU  
25 KNOW, SUNBURNS AND THAT. BUT IT WASN'T UNTIL MY SISTER, ADDIE,



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    TURNS ABOUT 47 YEARS OLD, AND SHE WAS DIAGNOSED WITH  
2    SCLERODERMA. FIVE YEARS LATER, SHE DIED. SEVEN YEARS LATER, MY  
3    SISTER, RUTH, WAS DIAGNOSED WITH SCLERODERMA AND, IN A SHORT  
4    TIME, SHE DIED. MY SISTER, PATTY, WHO WAS JUST TWO YEARS OLDER  
5    THAN ME, WAS DIAGNOSED WITH IT. LUCKILY, SHE HAS A VERY LIGHT  
6    CASE. SHE HAS SEVEN CHILDREN AND 25 GRANDCHILDREN. AND SHE  
7    PLAYS TENNIS TWICE A WEEK. SO SHE'S KIND OF OVERCOME THIS.  
8    JUST THREE YEARS AGO, MY SISTER-IN-LAW, CAROL CLAWSTERMAN, WAS  
9    DIAGNOSED WITH SCLERODERMA. SHE HAD JUST RETIRED FROM SAN  
10   CLEMENT SCHOOL DISTRICT, AFTER 25 YEARS OF TEACHING, AND WAS  
11   SO EXCITED THAT SHE WOULD TRAVEL. SHE GOT SCLERODERMA. THIS  
12   PAST WEEK, SHE WAS TAKEN TO THE SADDLEBACK HOSPITAL. THIS  
13   MORNING, I GOT A PHONE CALL AT 4:00, SHE HAD PASSED AWAY. SO  
14   THIS IS A VERY PERSONAL THING FOR ME ... (VOICE WAVERING) ...  
15   AND SHE WAS LOOKING SO MUCH FORWARD TO THE WALK THIS WEEKEND.  
16   SHE HAD ORGANIZED FAMILY AND FRIENDS AND SHE WAS REALLY  
17   LOOKING FORWARD TO IT. SO PLEASE REMEMBER HER IN YOUR PRAYERS.  
18   I GUESS YOU CAN SEE WHY I AM REALLY EXCITED ABOUT THIS  
19   FOUNDATION AND WANT TO DO AS MUCH AS I CAN AS THEIR PRESIDENT.  
20   WE ARE NOW GOING TO HAVE JOSEPHINE COME UP. SHE IS A PERSON  
21   WITH A HEART OF GOLD AND GIVES GREAT SERVICE TO OUR  
22   ORGANIZATION. JOSEPHINE. [ APPLAUSE ]

23

24   **JOSEPHINE:** THANK YOU. FIRST OF ALL, THANKS, ALL OF YOU, FOR  
25   HAVING US HERE TODAY AND CONGRATULATIONS, MS. MOLINA, ON YOUR



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 REELECTION. AND I WILL TELL YOU A LITTLE BIT ABOUT MYSELF. I  
2 GOT SICK IN THE LATE-- THE BEGINNING OF THE 1980S AND I WAS  
3 SICK FOR ABOUT THREE YEARS BEFORE FINALLY DR. FURST SAID THAT  
4 I HAD SCLERODERMA BUT, IN THE MEANTIME, I HAD GONE TO A LOT OF  
5 DOCTORS. THEY DIDN'T KNOW WHAT WAS WRONG WITH ME, SO THEY-- I  
6 WENT TO U.C.L.A., WHERE I WAS HOSPITALIZED FOR 17 DAYS AND  
7 GIVEN ALL KINDS OF TESTING AND I WAS CONFIRMED THAT I HAD  
8 SCLERODERMA. RIGHT AWAY, I WAS INVOLVED IN RESEARCH PROJECTS.  
9 I THINK I HAVE PARTICIPATED IN ABOUT SIX OR SEVEN RESEARCH  
10 PROJECTS, WHICH NONE OF THEM HAVE WORKED BUT THAT MIGHT BE THE  
11 REASON THAT I'M STILL HERE. I DON'T KNOW. AT THE TIME, I WAS  
12 ASKED TO VOLUNTEER WITH THE SCLERODERMA FOUNDATION, WHICH I  
13 DID, AND IT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE FOR ONE YEAR. 26 YEARS LATER,  
14 I'M STILL HERE. SO DON'T EVER BELIEVE WHEN THEY ASK YOU TO  
15 VOLUNTEER. [ LAUGHTER ]

16

17 **JOSEPHINE:** SO, IN THE MEANTIME, I HAVE HAD MY UPS AND DOWNS.  
18 IN THE THIRD YEAR OF ONSET OF SCLERODERMA, I HAD KIDNEY  
19 FAILURE WHICH WAS, AT THAT TIME, A DEATH SENTENCE BUT, AGAIN,  
20 I PARTICIPATED IN A RESEARCH PROJECT WHICH HELPED US OVERCOME  
21 THAT. NOW WE KNOW WHAT TO DO IN CASE SOMEBODY HAS SEVERE  
22 KIDNEY PROBLEMS. NOW WE'RE WORKING ON PROJECTS FOR LUNG  
23 INVOLVEMENT. SO FAR, I HAVE BEEN LUCKY THAT MINE IS NOT THAT  
24 SEVERE YET BUT, AS MOST OF YOU SEE A SCLERODERMA PATIENT  
25 WEARING GLOVES. FOR US, IT'S VERY COLD IN HERE, WHICH FOR YOU



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 IS COMFORTABLE. WE HAVE TROUBLE EATING. THAT'S WHY YOU SEE A  
2 LOT OF US VERY THIN, BECAUSE WE CANNOT EAT TOO MUCH, WE HAVE  
3 TROUBLE SWALLOWING OUR FOOD. I HAVE A LOT OF PROBLEMS WITH  
4 THAT. AND, IN THE MEANTIME, THE ONE THING THAT WE HAVE TO DO  
5 IS KEEP OUR HOPE UP. WE CANNOT GIVE UP. WE WANT TO BE ABLE TO  
6 SEE IF, IN OUR LIFETIME, A DISCOVERY CAN BE MADE FOR A CURE  
7 FOR SCLERODERMA. THANKS TO ALL OF YOU FOR BEING HERE. [

8 APPLAUSE ]

9

10 **SUP. KNABE:** WE WANT TO TELL EVERYONE THE WALK IS THIS SUNDAY,  
11 WHERE IT IS AND WHAT TIME.

12

13 **JOSEPHINE:** OH, SURE. OH, THAT'S RIGHT. EVERYBODY, COME TO THE  
14 WALK, HUNTINGTON BEACH CENTRAL PARK IN HUNTINGTON BEACH. WE'RE  
15 GOING TO START THE REGISTRATION AT 8:30. FOR \$20, YOU GET A T-  
16 SHIRT, YOU GET FOOD, FREE FOOD, FREE FOOD AND WATER AND SODA  
17 AND YOU CAN WALK 1-K, 3-K OR 5-K. EVEN IF YOU CAN'T WALK, COME  
18 AND JOIN US, WE WILL STILL FEED YOU. AND WE'D LOVE TO SEE YOU  
19 THERE BECAUSE WE NEED TO GET A LOT OF FUNDING. THANK YOU. [

20 APPLAUSE ]

21

22 **SUP. KNABE:** THANK YOU ALL VERY MUCH FOR COMING DOWN. [

23 APPLAUSE ]

24

25 **SUP. KNABE:** THANK YOU.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

**SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THIS MORNING, WE WANT TO RECOGNIZE AN  
INDIVIDUAL WHO IS RETIRING FROM THE CITY OF DUARTE AS THEIR  
DIRECTOR OF SAFETY COORDINATION. HE FORMERLY WAS A LOS ANGELES  
COUNTY SHERIFF, WHERE HE SERVED AS A REGULAR SHERIFF FROM '72  
TO '83 AND CURRENTLY SERVES AS A RESERVE DEPUTY SHERIFF BUT  
DON ANDERSON WAS A VETERAN OF THE UNITED STATES ARMY, GRADUATE  
OF MOUNT SAN ANTONIO COLLEGE AND CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY  
AT FULLERTON. AS DIRECTOR OF SAFETY COORDINATION, HE'S BEEN  
RESPONSIBLE FOR ESTABLISHING SEVERAL INNOVATIVE AND EFFECTIVE  
PROGRAMS AND WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO WORK WITH THE DUARTE AND OUR  
TOWN COUNCIL THAT SERVICES THAT AREA OF DUARTE, ARCADIA AND  
MONROVIA. THE DUARTE RESIDENTS AGAINST NEIGHBORHOOD  
ENHANCEMENT TEAM, WHICH INCLUDES DRAGNET AND G.A.P.P., THE  
GANG ALTERNATIVE PREVENTION PROGRAM. HE'S ALSO CREATED  
D.A.M.A.G.E., WHICH DUARTE AND MONROVIA ACTIVE GANG  
ENFORCEMENT JOINING SHERIFF DEPUTIES FROM DUARTE AND OFFICERS  
FROM MONROVIA TO INCREASE COOPERATION AND COMMUNICATION AND  
EXCHANGING INFORMATION ABOUT GANG MEMBERS THAT PLAGUE BOTH  
COMMUNITIES IN OUR COUNTY. SO WE APPRECIATE DON'S SERVICE AND  
DEDICATION TO GOOD PUBLIC SAFETY AND GOOD REPRESENTATION SO  
THANK YOU FOR A GOOD JOB WELL DONE. [ APPLAUSE ]

23

**DON ANDERSON:** FIRST OF ALL, I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE MY WIFE,  
JANICE, OF 36 YEARS, WHO STOOD BY ME ALL THIS TIME. I'D ALSO



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 LIKE TO INTRODUCE SOME SPECIAL GUESTS IN THE AUDIENCE, SOME  
2 SPECIAL FRIENDS WITH ME TODAY. MIKE YELTIN, THE ASSISTANT CITY  
3 MANAGER FOR THE CITY OF DUARTE, ED COX, THE DIRECTOR OF  
4 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FOR THE CITY OF DUARTE. MY REPLACEMENT,  
5 BRIAN VILLALOBOS, NOW THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC SAFETY SERVICES  
6 WITH THE CITY OF DUARTE AND SERGEANT DAVE BLIGH FROM THE  
7 DUARTE SATELLITE STATION, SO THANK YOU FOR COMING. I'D LIKE TO  
8 THANK SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH FOR THIS HONOR. I TRULY APPRECIATE  
9 IT AND ALL OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, THANK YOU VERY MUCH  
10 FOR GIVING ME THIS TIME. [ APPLAUSE ]

11

12 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NOW WE WOULD LIKE TO RECOGNIZE TWO OF  
13 OUR COUNTY EMPLOYEES FROM THE DEPARTMENTS OF PARK AND  
14 RECREATION, WHO HAVE BEEN RECENTLY HONORED BY THE CALIFORNIA  
15 PARKS RECREATION SOCIETY FOR THEIR OUTSTANDING WORK AND I'VE  
16 HAD THE OPPORTUNITY AND PLEASURE OF WORKING WITH BOTH OF THESE  
17 INDIVIDUALS IN REPRESENTING OUR AREAS AND EACH DOING A SUPERB  
18 JOB BECAUSE THEY'RE DEDICATED IN SERVING THE NEEDS OF OUR  
19 COMMUNITY. FIRST, WE WERE GOING TO HAVE RUSS GUINEY, WHO IS  
20 OUR DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND RECREATION, WHO IS GOING TO HELP  
21 WITH THIS PRESENTATION. OUR FIRST HONOREE IS SUE GOODWIN, WHO  
22 RECEIVED THE CITATION AWARD FOR HER ACHIEVEMENT IN  
23 ESTABLISHING AND PROMOTING THE AWARD WINNING COUNTYWIDE  
24 HEALTHY PARKS PROGRAM. AS COORDINATOR FOR THE COUNTY'S HEALTHY  
25 PARKS PROGRAM, SHE'S FORGED PARTNERSHIPS WITH HEALTH PROVIDERS





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 AND BROUGHT ABOUT DIRECT DELIVERY OF THEIR SERVICES TO SOME  
2 HIGHLY MARGINALIZED AREAS OF OUR COUNTY. SHE'S ALSO  
3 ESTABLISHED HEALTHY PARKS COMMUNITY FORUMS, WHICH ALLOW  
4 CITIZENS IN SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES TO VOICE THEIR NEEDS AND  
5 CONCERNS ABOUT THEIR LOCAL PARK PROGRAMS. SHE JOINED THE  
6 DEPARTMENT BACK IN 1990 AS A RECREATION SERVICES SUPERVISOR,  
7 SERVES AS REGIONAL RECREATION DIRECTOR FOR THE NORTH COUNTY  
8 COMMUNITY SERVICES AND AT ALL OF THE TOWN HALL MEETINGS THAT  
9 WE DO, SHE'S ALWAYS THERE AND, WHEN WE DO EVENTS THROUGHOUT  
10 THE COUNTY WITH PARKS AND RECREATION, SUE IS ALSO THERE AS  
11 WELL, SO THANK YOU FOR A JOB WELL DONE. [ APPLAUSE ]

12

13 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** INDIVIDUAL THAT HAS REALLY HELPED A  
14 GREAT DEAL WITH OUR TRAILS AND OUR EQUESTRIAN RIDES THAT WE DO  
15 TWICE A YEAR AND WE'LL BE DOING ANOTHER ONE THIS MONTH AND JIM  
16 WILL BE THERE, ALONG WITH HIS WIFE, LORRAINE, AND HE IS THE  
17 CHAMPION-- RECEIVING THE CHAMPION OF COMMUNITY AWARD IN HONOR  
18 OF THOSE EFFORTS TO DEVELOP AND PRESERVE PARKS AND OPEN SPACE  
19 AND PROTECT ANIMALS AND THE ENVIRONMENT. HE ESTABLISHED IN  
20 ASSISTING THE OPENING OF A STATE PARK THAT WAS NAMED AFTER ME  
21 AT THE OPEN SPACE PRESERVE EXPANDING THE RIM OF THE VALLEY  
22 CORRIDOR AND PRESERVING SANTA CLARITA WOODLANDS. JIM STARTED  
23 WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION IN 1985 AS A  
24 MANAGEMENT TRAINEE AT BONELLI REGIONAL PARK. HE CURRENTLY IS  
25 THE PARK PROJECT COORDINATOR FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF NORTH



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 AGENCY. HE'S BEEN HONORED AS THE DEPARTMENT'S EMPLOYEE OF THE  
2 MONTH, AS WELL AS THE NORTH AGENCY EMPLOYEE OF THE YEAR, SO WE  
3 CONGRATULATE AGAIN JIM AND SUE ON THEIR AWARDS AND LET ME GIVE  
4 JIM THIS PROCLAMATION.

5

6 **SUE GOODWIN:** THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THIS AWARD. IT'S A  
7 WONDERFUL FEELING TO BE RECOGNIZED BY THE COUNTY. I LOVE MY  
8 JOB WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION AND, WITHOUT  
9 THEIR LEADERSHIP, WE WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO MOVE THE PARKS  
10 FORWARD IN THIS DIRECTION OF HEALTH AND WELLNESS IN  
11 COMMUNITIES. AND CONGRATULATIONS TO THE COUNTY.

12

13 **JIM MCCARTHY:** AS IN ANYTHING WE DO, NOBODY DOES IT BY  
14 THEMSELVES. THERE ARE HUNDREDS AND HUNDREDS OF PEOPLE IN THE  
15 COMMUNITY AND IN THE DEPARTMENT THAT HAVE HELPED ME TO  
16 ACCOMPLISH SOMETHING THAT I COULDN'T HAVE ACCOMPLISHED ON MY  
17 OWN. I WANT TO THANK THEM ALL. I WANT TO THANK THE BOARD OF  
18 SUPERVISORS AND HOPE THAT I CAN DO MORE FOR EVERYBODY. THANK  
19 YOU VERY MUCH. [ APPLAUSE ]

20

21 **RUSS GUINEY:** THANK YOU, MAYOR ANTONOVICH, AND WE'RE VERY, VERY  
22 PROUD OF JIM AND SUE, THEY JUST DO A TREMENDOUS JOB, DAY IN  
23 AND DAY OUT. THEY'VE DONE JUST ABOUT EVERYTHING IN THE  
24 DEPARTMENT. JIM HAS BEEN A TRAILS COORDINATOR, A PLANNER. SUE  
25 HAS RECENTLY RECEIVED THE OUTSTANDING PROFESSIONAL AWARD OF



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF COUNTY PARK AND RECREATION  
2 DIRECTORS. SO THEY'RE JUST DOING A TREMENDOUS JOB AND THEY  
3 HAVE WITH THEM TODAY, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR KATHLEEN RITNER AND  
4 REGIONAL OPERATIONS MANAGER, ROY WILLIAMS AND THEY'RE REALLY  
5 REPRESENTATIVE OF THE COMMITMENT OF THE EMPLOYEES OF THIS  
6 DEPARTMENT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

7

8 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NOW OUR DIRECTOR IS GOING TO MAKE A  
9 PRESENTATION TO THE BOARD REGARDING THE COUNTY'S LEADERSHIP IN  
10 THE CONSERVATION AWARDS. SO, RUSS?

11

12 **RUSS GUINEY:** THANK YOU AGAIN, MAYOR ANTONOVICH. IF I COULD  
13 HAVE THE REGIONAL PARK AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT STAFF COME UP  
14 PLEASE. WE WERE EXTREMELY HONORED THIS YEAR IN THAT THE LOS  
15 ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ACTING AS THE BOARD OF  
16 DIRECTORS OF THE REGIONAL PARK AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT, WAS  
17 HONORED ON THE NATIONAL LEVEL FOR AN AWARD BY THE NATIONAL  
18 ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES. THIS AWARD WAS PRESENTED RECENTLY  
19 WASHINGTON, D.C., AND SENIOR DEPUTY BOB HOWDER FROM THE FIFTH  
20 DISTRICT REPRESENTED THE MAYOR IN ACCEPTING THAT AWARD BUT WE  
21 WANTED TO BRING THIS AWARD HOME TO LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND TO  
22 EACH OF THE SUPERVISORS HERE BECAUSE YOU REALLY ARE THE PEOPLE  
23 WHO HAVE WON THIS AWARD. THE OPEN SPACE DISTRICT WAS CREATED  
24 IN 1992 AND AUGMENTED IN 1996 AND, OVER THE YEARS, 800 MILLION  
25 DOLLARS HAS BEEN SET ASIDE FOR PRESERVATION. 33,000 ACRES HAVE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BEEN PRESERVED AND THIS BOARD, ACTING AS THE BOARD OF  
2 DIRECTORS OF OUR REGIONAL PARK AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT, COMES  
3 UP WITH NUMEROUS IDEAS. THOSE IDEAS, THEN, ARE TRANSLATED BY  
4 THE STAFF THAT YOU SEE HERE AND BROUGHT BACK TO YOU AS THE  
5 PROJECTS OR THE GRANT PROGRAMS THAT YOU WISH TO TAKE OUT TO  
6 THE COMMUNITY. SO THIS IS A VERY PRESTIGIOUS AWARD. WE'RE  
7 EXTREMELY PROUD OF YOU AND I'M VERY HONORED TO WORK FOR YOU,  
8 OUR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, AND TO BE RECOGNIZED AND HONORED ON  
9 THE NATIONAL LEVEL. THE CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF PARK &  
10 RECREATION COMMISSIONERS AND BOARD MEMBERS ALSO RECOGNIZED YOU  
11 AND-- FOR THIS SAME WORK IN THE AREA OF PRESERVATION OF OPEN  
12 SPACE. SO I WOULD LIKE FOR OUR STAFF FIRST TO COME FORWARD AND  
13 PRESENT EACH OF YOU MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WITH  
14 THIS AWARD FROM THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES AND THEN  
15 THEY WILL GIVE YOU A PLAQUE FROM THE CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF  
16 PARK AND RECREATION COMMISSIONERS AND BOARD MEMBERS  
17 RECOGNIZING THE COMMITMENT THAT YOU'VE DONE. SO, STAFF, IF YOU  
18 COULD GO AHEAD AND PRESENT EACH SUPERVISOR WITH THEIR AWARD  
19 AND THESE AWARDS REPRESENT... [ APPLAUSE ]

20

21 **RUSS GUINEY:** ...THESE AWARDS REPRESENT YOUR IDEAS AND YOUR  
22 STAFF IN EACH OF THE BOARD OFFICES ON SOME OF THE MOST  
23 IMPORTANT PROJECTS TO YOU SUCH AS FUNDING THE LOS ANGELES  
24 RIVER IN THE FIRST DISTRICT, PRESERVATION OF LAND IN THE  
25 BALDWIN HILLS IN THE SECOND DISTRICT, THE KING GILLETTE RANCH



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    PRESERVATION IN THE THIRD DISTRICT, THE PORTUGUESE BEND  
2    PRESERVATION IN THE FOURTH AND MARSHALL CANYON LAND  
3    PRESERVATION IN THE FIFTH DISTRICT, SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH,  
4    BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND CONGRATULATIONS. [ APPLAUSE ]

5

6    **RUSS GUINEY:** IF YOU COULD STEP UP FOR THE PICTURE. I WANT TO  
7    RECOGNIZE THE STAFF THAT IS HERE TODAY, LINDA BELLOS, SUSAN  
8    WILSON-MADISON, RUTH RAYS, LITRINA PERRY, WARREN ONTONTAVEROS,  
9    PHYLLIS CUNNINGHAM, AGGIE JORDAN, PERALTA FLORES AND ALDO DE  
10    LA PAWS, TAMMY LAN AND ALICE YING. WE ALSO HAVE OUR  
11    ADMINISTRATOR OF THE OPEN SPACE DISTRICT, ELONA VOLKMANN, AND  
12    I THANK THE BOARD MEMBERS. YOU ALL RECOGNIZE OUR FIRST  
13    ADMINISTRATOR OF THE OPEN SPACE DISTRICT, WE BROUGHT HIM BACK  
14    OUT OF RETIREMENT TODAY TO JOIN US, KURT ROBERTSON. THESE ARE  
15    THE PEOPLE THAT MAKE IT HAPPEN. [ APPLAUSE ]

16

17    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NOW WE HAVE A LITTLE 12-WEEK-OLD MALE  
18    NEUTERED DOMESTIC LONG HAIR NAMED GARY. GARY IS LOOKING FOR A  
19    HOME. ANYBODY'D LIKE TO ADOPT LITTLE GARY, YOU CAN CALL THE--  
20    DO YOU WANT TO SAY SOMETHING? [ MEOWING ]

21

22    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YOU CAN CALL (562) 728-4644 AND LITTLE  
23    GARY WILL BE YOURS.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. BURKE:** I'D LIKE TO MOVE TODAY THAT WHEN WE ADJOURN, WE  
2   ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF ANTHONY GRIFFIN, ONE OF THE VICTIMS WHO  
3   DIED IN THIS WEEKEND'S BOATING ACCIDENT OFF SAN PEDRO. HE WAS  
4   THE SON OF HELEN MACGREGGOR, AN EMPLOYEE OF THE L.A. COUNTY  
5   DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, THE FLORENCE-FIRESTONE OFFICE,  
6   BUILDING AND SAFETY DIVISION. THE SECOND VICTIM, THE  
7   GRANDFATHER, WAS ALSO A SECOND DISTRICT RESIDENT, WHOSE NAME  
8   IS TO BE DETERMINED. THE MEN WERE AMONG SIX RELATIVES WHO  
9   EMBARKED ON A FISHING TRIP SUNDAY MORNING FROM CABRILLO BEACH.  
10   THE GROUP CONSISTED THREE CHILDREN, THE CHILDRENS' MOTHER,  
11   THEIR STEPFATHER AND THEIR GRANDFATHER. THE FAMILY ENCOUNTERED  
12   HEAVY FOG AND TRIED TO RETURN TO SHORE BUT THE ENGINE IS  
13   BELIEVED TO HAVE FAILED AND IT DRIFTED AND STRUCK BREAKWATER  
14   AND CAPSIZED, SENDING ALL SIX PASSENGERS INTO THE WATER. A  
15   SEVEN-YEAR OLD BOY INJURED IN THE ACCIDENT IS EXPECTED TO  
16   SURVIVE. OUR THOUGHTS AND PRAYERS ARE WITH THEM. AND BRIAN  
17   ROSE [SHERIDAN], WHO I WANT TO JOIN SUPERVISOR KNABE IN  
18   ADJOURNMENT OF THE JUDICIAL PROCEDURES COMMISSIONER, CAROL  
19   ROSE'S SON, WHO TRAGICALLY PASSED AWAY THIS WEEK. DEWAYNE  
20   PORTER, WHO PASSED AWAY ON MAY 26TH AT THE AGE OF 43. HE WAS  
21   ONE OF THE FIRST AFRICAN-AMERICAN INTERIOR DESIGNERS TO BE  
22   INCLUDED IN SUCH FUNDRAISING EVENTS AS DIVINE DESIGN IN LOS  
23   ANGELES AND PASADENA SHOWCASE HOUSE OF THE ARTS. HE WAS AN  
24   INTERIOR DESIGNER AND SET DESIGNER WITH A CLIENT LIST THAT  
25   INCLUDED SINGERS ARETHA FRANKLIN, NANCY WILSON AS WELL AS



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 DETROIT MAYOR, DENNIS ARCHER. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS FATHER,  
2 CLIFFORD PORTER, AND A LONG-TIME FRIEND, CLARENCE BROWN. AND  
3 BILLY PRESTON, THE SINGER-SONGWRITER AND PERFORMER, PASSED  
4 AWAY AT 59. HE WAS WELL KNOWN AS THE FIFTH BEATLE BECAUSE HE  
5 PLAYED KEYBOARD ON "LET IT BE," THE WHITE ALBUM AND ABBEY  
6 ROAD. HE ALSO PLAYED ON THE ROLLING STONES HIT SONG "MISS YOU"  
7 AND OFTEN PLAYED WITH ERIC CLAPTON. HE ALSO DID ORGAN WORK ON  
8 SLY AND THE FAMILY STONE'S GREATEST HITS. HIS OWN HITS INCLUDE  
9 "NOTHING FROM NOTHING", "WILL IT GO ROUND IN CIRCLES" AND "YOU  
10 ARE SO BEAUTIFUL," WHICH HE WROTE BUT WHICH JOE COCKER TURNED  
11 INTO AN INTERNATIONAL HIT. HE WILL BE SORELY MISSED AND WE ARE  
12 PARTICULARLY APPRECIATIVE OF ALL HIS HELP DURING ALL THE YEARS  
13 THAT HE CAME OUT TO PERFORM FOR US AT EVENTS. AND HE WAS  
14 ALWAYS, ALWAYS WILLING TO PARTICIPATE AND TO HELP PEOPLE IN  
15 THE COMMUNITY AND WE WANT TO CERTAIN PASS ON TO HIS FAMILY, HE  
16 HAS BROTHERS AND SISTERS AND OTHER FAMILY MEMBERS, OUR DEEPEST  
17 CONDOLENCES AND OUR DEEPEST APPRECIATION FOR ALL THE WORK HE  
18 DID AS AN ARTIST BUT ALSO FOR HIS PARTICULAR INTEREST IN THE  
19 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.

20

21 **SUP. KNABE:** I'D LIKE TO JOIN IN THAT, PLEASE.

22

23 **SUP. BURKE:** ALL MEMBERS. AND ALICE M. DU ROSS, WHO PASSED AWAY  
24 ON FRIDAY, JUNE 2ND. BORN IN HOLLYWOOD ON SEPTEMBER 7TH, 1914,  
25 SHE WAS A LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF THE SECOND DISTRICT AND MEMBER





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 OF ST. JEROME CHURCH AND TRANSFIGURATION CHURCH IN LOS  
2 ANGELES. SHE WAS PRECEDED IN DEATH BY HER BELOVED HUSBAND,  
3 JOSEPH C. DU ROSS AND HER SON, JIM. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER  
4 DAUGHTER AND SISTER, SISTER KATHLEEN ANN AND SONS, NEIL, JOE,  
5 DAN, TOM AND PAUL, 15 GRANDCHILDREN AND 14 GREAT-  
6 GRANDCHILDREN.

7

8 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO  
9 ORDERED.

10

11 **SUP. BURKE:** I WOULD LIKE TO CALL UP ITEM 69 BECAUSE I  
12 UNDERSTAND THAT DR. SANDERS HAS TO LEAVE AND THIS ITEM...

13

14 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** DR. SANDERS?

15

16 **SUP. KNABE:** YEAH, MR. MAYOR, I'M THE ONE THAT HELD THAT ITEM,  
17 I BELIEVE, AND I THINK SUPERVISOR MOLINA DID AS WELL, BUT...

18

19 **SUP. BURKE:** DO YOU HAVE AN AMENDMENT?

20

21 **SUP. KNABE:** MY AMENDMENT IS TO ONCE AGAIN, JUST LIKE WE DID ON  
22 THE PREVIOUS 69-B, IS TO MAKE SURE THAT WE INCLUDE THE C.A.O.  
23 AND COUNTY COUNSEL IN THE REVIEW, SO I BELIEVE WE HAVE-- DO WE  
24 HAVE SOMETHING WE CAN-- WE'LL HAND OUT. I MEAN, BASICALLY,



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 IT'S THE SAME AS I DID IN 69-B, TO ADD C.A.O. AND COUNTY  
2 COUNSEL.

3

4 **SUP. BURKE:** AND YOU'RE MOVING THE AMENDMENT AND MOVING IT AS  
5 AMENDED?

6

7 **SUP. KNABE:** YES. I DON'T KNOW WHY SUPERVISOR MOLINA HELD IT. [  
8 INDISTINCT CONVERSATION ]

9

10 **SUP. KNABE:** YEAH. ADD C.A.O. AND COUNTY COUNSEL WOULD BE TO  
11 REPORT BACK.

12

13 **SUP. BURKE:** OKAY. WHERE'S THE CHAIR-- OR THE VICE CHAIR? HOW  
14 ABOUT THE THIRD VICE CHAIR? ALL RIGHT. IF THERE'S NO ONE ELSE,  
15 I WILL ACT AS CHAIR.

16

17 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** I BELIEVE, SUPERVISOR, SUPERVISOR MOLINA, I  
18 BELIEVE, WAS ALSO HOLDING THE ITEM FOR AN AMENDMENT.

19

20 **SUP. BURKE:** OKAY. SUPERVISOR MOLINA? ITEM 69.

21

22 **SUP. MOLINA:** THANKS, GUYS, FOR WAITING.

23

24 **SUP. BURKE:** OKAY. WE WERE TRYING TO DO IT-- WELL, I'LL GO ON  
25 TO SOME OF THE OTHERS. NUMBER 11 WAS HELD FOR A MEMBER OF THE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 PUBLIC. I'LL CALL THAT. AND I THINK-- I'M NOT SURE IF THE SAME  
2 PERSON HELD...

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. NUMBER 11, RICHARD ROBINSON.

5

6 **RICHARD ROBINSON:** HONORABLE MAYOR-- HONORABLE MAYOR, MEMBERS,  
7 RICHARD ROBINSON, NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZER. IN SUPERVISOR  
8 YAROSLAVSKY'S THIRD THROUGH THE CHAIR, CONGRATULATIONS.  
9 SHALOM, BROTHER. I RESIDE IN THE 7 STAR MOTEL, ROOM NUMBER 104  
10 AT HOLLYWOOD AND LA BREA. I'M NETWORKING A NEIGHBORHOOD WATCH  
11 CONCEPT COUNTYWIDE, C.O.P., COMMUNITY OUTREACH PROGRAM. YOU'LL  
12 SEE MY WINDOW STICKERS WITH AN 800 NUMBER WHICH WILL BRING  
13 SWIFT RESPONSE, HIGH TECH, STATE-OF-THE-ART CUTTING EDGE, MY  
14 BROTHERS COMING OUT OF MICHIGAN SUNDAY, FULL DAY SECURITY, MY  
15 HALF BROTHER BUT-- SIR, BECAUSE THE PROACTIVE APPROACH TO LAW  
16 ENFORCEMENT IS OFTEN IMPEDED BY, IN SPITE OF YOUR  
17 EXTRAORDINARY EFFORTS TO THE CONTRARY, BUREAUCRATIC  
18 DUPLICATION OF SERVICE AND LACK OF COORDINATION, I SUPPORT YOU  
19 IN YOUR DETERMINATION TO HELP DR. SANDERS STREAMLINE HIS  
20 DEPARTMENT. I BELIEVE STOPPING CRIME BEGINS WITH THE FAMILY.  
21 CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT, PREVENTION, INTERVENTION AND  
22 TREATMENT SERVICES CONTRACT EXPIRATION DATES, ALIGNED WITH  
23 FAMILY SUPPORT, FAMILY PRESERVATION, ADOPTION, PROMOTION AND  
24 SUPPORT SERVICES CONTRACT EXPIRATION DATES GIVE YOU THE  
25 ABILITY TO FINANCE THESE SERVICES TO 54 AGENCIES AT COST. IF



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THESE 69 CONTRACTS ARE 100% FINANCED BY BIRTH CERTIFICATE FEES  
2 AND THE STATE, TAKING EFFECT JULY 1ST, NEXT MONTH, DR. SANDERS  
3 IS NOW ABLE TO STREAMLINE HIS DEPARTMENT'S FUNCTIONING. THE  
4 NEGLECT THAT IS THE CAUSE OF SO MUCH CRIME IS BEING PREVENTED  
5 EXPONENTIALLY. YOUR EXTRAORDINARY EFFORTS HERE HELPING DR.  
6 SANDERS IS GOING TO PREVENT A LOT OF NOT JUST CRIME BUT A LOT  
7 OF REALLY CONFUSED KIDS TURNING TO CRIME. THANK YOU.

8

9 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU, RICHARD. MOTION BY BURKE.  
10 SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

11

12 **SUP. MOLINA:** MR. ANTONOVICH, I CAN GO BACK ON THAT MOTION. I  
13 HAVE AN AMENDMENT ON ITEM 69.

14

15 **SUP. BURKE:** I'D LIKE TO CALL UP I THINK 30-- ALL THE PUBLIC  
16 WORKS ITEMS, 35 THROUGH 55.

17

18 **SUP. KNABE:** WELL, SHE'S GOT HER AMENDMENT HERE ON 69...

19

20 **SUP. BURKE:** OH, YOU WANT TO DO 69 NOW?

21

22 **SUP. MOLINA:** I'LL PASS IT OUT. I'LL TRY AND READ IT. IT'S A  
23 LITTLE BIT LONG. IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, APPROXIMATELY 20,713  
24 FOSTER CHILDREN LIVE AWAY FROM THEIR PARENTS IN OUT OF STATE  
25 CARE. WHEN A CHILD IS PLACED IN A FOSTER FAMILY AGENCY, THE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 COUNTY GENERALLY DEVOTES APPROXIMATELY THREE TIMES THE AMOUNT  
2 OF FUNDING TO THAT CHILD THAN IT DOES TO A CHILD PLACED IN A  
3 LICENSED FOSTER HOME OR IN A RELATIVE'S HOME. HOWEVER, THE  
4 DECISION TO PLACE A HOME INTO AN FA HOME, AS OPPOSED TO  
5 LICENSED FOSTER HOME, IS NOT GUIDED BY AN ASSESSMENT OF THE  
6 INDIVIDUAL CHILD'S NEEDS AND ESTABLISHED PLACEMENT CRITERIA.  
7 THE RESULT OF THIS CURRENT RANDOM SYSTEM IS THAT CHILDREN  
8 SUFFER. FOR EXAMPLE, IN MOST CASES, CHILDREN PLACED IN  
9 RELATIVE AND LICENSED CARE AS OPPOSED TO FFA HOMES GENERALLY  
10 HAVE LESS OF THEIR NEEDS MET BECAUSE THEIR CAREGIVERS RECEIVE  
11 LESS MONEY AND SERVICES FOR THEM. FURTHER, SOME FFA CAREGIVERS  
12 CHOOSE NOT TO ADOPT OR OBTAIN LEGAL GUARDIANSHIPS OF A CHILD  
13 BECAUSE THEY CANNOT AFFORD TO FORFEIT THE MONEY THAT THEY  
14 WOULD LOSE IF THEY CHOSE PERMANENCY. THE DEPARTMENT OF  
15 CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES SHOULD DESIGN AN OUT OF HOME CARE  
16 PLACEMENT SYSTEM WHERE PLACEMENT AND FUNDING DECISIONS ARE  
17 BASED ON A CHILD'S NEED AND SHOULD DESIGN A SYSTEM UNDER WHICH  
18 CHILDREN WITH SIMILAR NEEDS RECEIVE SIMILAR FUNDING AND  
19 SERVICES. I THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
20 DIRECT THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES TO  
21 REPORT BACK IN 45 DAYS WITH PROCEDURES FOR OUT OF HOME  
22 PLACEMENT FOR CHILDREN INTO FFAS, LICENSED FOSTER CARE HOMES  
23 AND RELATIVE CAREGIVERS TO BEST MATCH THE CHILD'S NEEDS TO THE  
24 PLACEMENT AND ENSURE THAT FUNDING IS DISTRIBUTED BASED ON THE  
25 CHILD'S NEED. D.C.F.S. SHOULD INCLUDE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ANY



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 LEGISLATIVE CHANGES THAT MAY BE NECESSARY TO ACHIEVE THESE  
2 GOALS.

3

4 **SUP. KNABE:** I'LL SECOND.

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ON YOUR 45 DAYS, HAVE YOU CONSIDERED  
7 30 DAYS?

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT WOULD BE FINE. I HAVE NO PROBLEM. HOPEFULLY  
10 I CAN GET IT DONE IN 30 DAYS.

11

12 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** 30 DAYS. OKAY. WE HAVE TWO AMENDMENTS  
13 BEFORE US AND THIS IS AN AREA THAT DOES NEED CRITICAL  
14 ATTENTION AND OVERSIGHT BECAUSE, MANY TIMES, WE READ ABOUT THE  
15 FATALITIES THAT HAVE OCCURRED AND MANY OF THE ABUSES THAT HAVE  
16 OCCURRED TO THOSE IN FOSTER CARE. WE WANT TO ASSURE THAT THOSE  
17 FACILITIES WHERE THEY ARE RESIDING MEET THE TOP STANDARDS. THE  
18 STANDARDS NEED TO BE THE WELFARE OF THE CHILD, NOT TO  
19 THEMSELVES, AND HOPEFULLY THIS IS AN EFFORT TO MOVE FORWARD TO  
20 MAKE NECESSARY REFORMS. SO WE HAVE A MOTION ON THE TABLE AND  
21 AMEND IT AND WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. THANK YOU.

22

23 **SUP. BURKE:** I'LL CALL UP 35 TO 55 ITEMS. ALL THE PUBLIC WORKS  
24 ITEMS.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. 39 AND 40, ARNOLD SACHS AND  
2    NEJTEH DERBEDROSSIAN. AND ANDREW AHLERING.

3

4    **NEJTEH DERBEDROSSIAN:** GOOD MORNING.

5

6    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** GOOD MORNING.

7

8    **NEJTEH DERBEDROSSIAN:** OKAY. GOOD MORNING, HONORABLE MAYOR,  
9    MEMBERS OF YOUR BOARD. MY NAME IS NEJTEH DERBEDROSSIAN AND I'M  
10   THE OPERATIONS MANAGER AT NATIONWIDE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES. I  
11   WILL MAKE THIS VERY BRIEF. I AM NOT HERE TO OPPOSE ITEM NUMBER  
12   39, WHICH ARE TWO PARTS. I'M TALKING REGARDING THE FIRST ONE,  
13   MARINA DEL REY CONTRACT. I'M ONLY HERE TO EXPRESS MY CONCERNS  
14   REGARDING THE STAFF'S PROCEDURE THAT MADE THEM ACHIEVE THIS  
15   RECOMMENDATION. I'M A CONTRACTOR THAT PROVIDES SWEEPING  
16   SERVICES FOR THE COUNTY, MANY OF THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS IN  
17   THE COUNTY, AND R.F.P.S WENT OUT REGARDING THIS AREA, MARINA  
18   DEL REY. I TURNED IN MY PROPOSAL. NEARLY TWO MONTHS LATER, I  
19   RECEIVED A LETTER FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF THE PUBLIC WORKS THAT  
20   THEY HAD DISQUALIFIED MY FIRM, BASED ON THAT THEY WERE UNABLE  
21   TO DETERMINE IF MY FIRM IS ABLE TO PROVIDE THE SERVICES IN  
22   MARINA DEL REY AREA. FOR YOUR INFORMATION, FOR THE PAST 10  
23   YEARS, MY COMPANY IS THE ONE WHO IS PROVIDING SERVICES TO  
24   MARINA DEL REY AREA. THE STAFF AT THE HEADQUARTERS ARE VERY  
25   PLEASED WITH MY SERVICE. THE STAFF ON THE FIELD, VERY PLEASED





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WITH MY SERVICE. RESIDENTS, BUSINESS OWNERS, COMMUNITY ARE  
2 VERY PLEASED WITH MY SERVICES BUT THEY WERE UNABLE TO  
3 DETERMINE IF MY FIRM IS CAPABLE OF DOING THE SERVICE, SO  
4 THEREFORE THEY HAVE DISQUALIFIED MY COMPANY. I DO HAVE  
5 CONCERNS REGARDING THEIR DECISION AND HOW THEY DID THEIR STUDY  
6 TO COME TO ACHIEVE TO THIS RECOMMENDATION. THE COMPANY THAT  
7 THEY ARE RECOMMENDING IS NEARLY \$24,000 MORE THAN MY PROPOSED  
8 PRICE FOR THE SAME WORK ON AN ANNUAL BASIS. IT'S A THREE-YEAR  
9 CONTRACT. WE'RE LOOKING AT \$75,000 ADDITIONALLY IS SPENT FOR  
10 THE SERVICE THAT HAS BEEN BEING PROVIDED. R.F.P.S WENT OUT, WE  
11 WENT THROUGH THE RIGHT CHANNELS AND THE RECOMMENDATION DID NOT  
12 COME IN MY FAVOR. AGAIN, I'M NOT HERE AS A SORE THUMB TRYING  
13 TO GET MY POINT ACROSS; ALL I'M TRYING TO SAY IS THAT, IF THE  
14 STAFF WAS UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF MY FIRM CAN PERFORM THE  
15 SERVICES OR NOT, FOR YOUR INFORMATION, I HAVE BEEN PROVIDING  
16 THE SERVICE FOR THE PAST 10 YEARS. THAT SAYS ITSELF,  
17 OBVIOUSLY, I AM A RESPONSIBLE CONTRACTOR, I AM ABLE TO PERFORM  
18 THE SERVICES AND ALSO AS A RESIDENT IN HACIENDA HEIGHTS AREA,  
19 THIS IS \$75,000 OVER THREE YEARS SPENT WASTEFULLY, I WOULD  
20 THINK. AGAIN, I HAVE A VERY GOOD RELATIONSHIP WITH THE STAFF,  
21 I DO NOT PUT THEM DOWN, I LOVE WORKING FOR THE COUNTY, I LOVE  
22 LIVING IN THE COUNTY. I THINK THAT THE ADDITIONAL \$74,000 THAT  
23 ARE BEING SPENT, IT CAN BE SPENT IN HACIENDA HEIGHTS AREA TO  
24 CLEAN SOME OF THE GRAFFITI OFF THE RETAINING WALLS THAT THE  
25 COUNTY OWNS. I AM BEING HONEST, I AM BEING SINCERE REGARDING



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 TO THIS DECISION. I ASK YOU, PLEASE TAKE 10 MINUTES OF YOUR  
2 TIME, REVIEW THIS RECOMMENDATION, THIS STUDY. YOU MAY HAVE  
3 QUESTIONS. YOUR FINAL DECISION MAY BE DIFFERENT. PLEASE LOOK  
4 INTO THIS. THAT'S ALL I CAN ASK FOR.

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** LET ME ASK MR...

7

8 **SUP. KNABE:** MR. MAYOR?

9

10 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IS THERE A DEPARTMENT REPRESENTATIVE  
11 HERE?

12

13 **SUP. KNABE:** I THINK WHAT WE MAYBE WE CAN DO IS TO MOVE THE SAN  
14 PEDRO PORTION OF THIS AND REFER THE MARINA DEL REY ISSUE FOR A  
15 WEEK AND GET A REPORT BACK FROM THE DEPARTMENT.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE.

18

19 **SUP. KNABE:** BECAUSE THOSE ISSUES ARE SIGNIFICANT. SO WE CAN  
20 MOVE THE SAN PEDRO AWARD TO THE DIXON COMPANY AND THEN  
21 CONTINUE THE MARINA DEL REY PORTION FOR A WEEK AND ASK FOR A  
22 REPORT BACK FROM THE DEPARTMENT.

23

24 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **NEJTEH DERBEDROSSIAN:** I THANK YOU, SIR. ABSOLUTELY. THANK YOU  
2 VERY MUCH.

3

4 **ARNOLD SACHS:** GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS ARNOLD SACHS AND THANK  
5 YOU FOR HAVING ME IN FRONT OF YOU. I'M ADDRESSING ITEM NUMBER  
6 40. I WENT TO A MTA BOARD MEETING HELD IN THE SOUTH BAY IN THE  
7 BEGINNING OF MAY, THEY HAVE REGIONAL MEETINGS. AND I HAD  
8 SEVERAL QUESTIONS TO ASK THEM, MOST OF WHICH WERE ADDRESSED  
9 BUT ONE THAT I ASKED REGARDING FAIR PAYMENT, I WAS TOLD WAS  
10 THE FAIREST SETUP WAS AUTHORIZED BY THE COUNTY BOARD OF  
11 SUPERVISORS AND IT'S REALLY A VERY SIMPLE QUESTION. WHEN I  
12 WANT TO GET ON A BUS AND BUY AN ALL-DAY BUS PASS FOR \$3, I WAS  
13 TOLD THAT I CANNOT PAY WITH TWO TOKENS AND 50 CENTS. I WAS  
14 TOLD THAT I HAD TO PAY EITHER ONE TOKEN AND A DOLLAR-- DOLLAR  
15 65 OR DOLLAR 75 OR \$3 OR THREE TOKENS. AND MY QUESTION IS, IF  
16 THE TOKENS ARE WORTH \$1.25, WHY ISN'T THAT A VIABLE WAY TO  
17 PAY, WITH TWO TOKENS AND 50 CENTS?

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. I'M NOT-- WE'D HAVE TO REFER  
20 THAT INFORMATION, REQUEST TO THE MTA, MR. SNOWBLE, AND I DON'T  
21 KNOW-- IS THERE A REPRESENTATIVE FROM THE MTA HERE TODAY?  
22 WHERE DO YOU RESIDE?

23



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **ARNOLD SACHS:** I RESIDE IN LENNOX AND I WENT TO THE SOUTH BAY  
2   MEETING AND I WAS GOING TO GO TO THE NEXT ONE, I GUESS IT WILL  
3   BE ON FRIDAY.

4

5   **SUP. BURKE:** (OFF-MIKE) .

6

7   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WHERE DO YOU LIVE IN THE SOUTH BAY?

8

9   **ARNOLD SACHS:** IN LENNOX.

10

11   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WHERE?

12

13   **ARNOLD SACHS:** LENNOX.

14

15   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IS THAT YOUR-- SUPERVISOR BURKE'S  
16   OFFICE WILL CONTACT YOU, GET A PHONE NUMBER AND CONTACT YOU  
17   WITH THAT INFORMATION.

18

19   **ARNOLD SACHS:** OKAY. LIKE I SAID...

20

21   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IF YOU GO OVER TO THIS SIDE, OVER  
22   THERE, ONE OF HER DEPUTIES WILL CONTACT YOU.

23

24   **SUP. BURKE:** (OFF-MIKE) .

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YES, SIR.

2

3    **ANDREW AHLERING:** YES. GOOD MORNING, MR. ANTONOVICH. AM I  
4    SPEAKING RIGHT NOW ON 39 OR 40?

5

6    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YOU'RE GOING TO SPEAK ON THE ITEMS  
7    THAT YOU HELD.

8

9    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ITEMS 35 THROUGH 55.

10

11    **ANDREW AHLERING:** I OBJECT TO THAT. UNDER THE RULES OF THIS  
12    BOARD, I AM ENTITLED TO THREE MINUTES PER ITEM AND I AM  
13    REQUESTING THREE MINUTES PER ITEM.

14

15    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY, WE'RE GOING IS TO CONSOLIDATE  
16    THEM. WE'D APPRECIATE IT IF YOU WOULD...

17

18    **ANDREW AHLERING:** COULD YOU RESTART THE CLOCK?

19

20    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WE'D APPRECIATE IF YOU WOULD MAKE YOUR  
21    COMMENTS TO THOSE ITEMS.

22

23    **ANDREW AHLERING:** I HAVE A COMMENT ON EACH AND EVERY ITEM.

24    COULD YOU PLEASE STOP THE CLOCK? I'M HAVING A DISCUSSION WITH  
25    THE MAYOR.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WELL, WE WANT YOU TO MOVE FORWARD WITH  
3 YOUR THREE MINUTES AND WE WILL...

4

5 **ANDREW AHLERING:** SIR, IF YOU'RE GIVING ME THREE MINUTES, I  
6 REQUEST THAT YOU GIVE ME THREE MINUTES. YOU HAVE ALREADY  
7 STARTED THE CLOCK. THAT'S NOT THREE MINUTES.

8

9 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WE ARE NOT HONORING THAT REQUEST AT  
10 THIS TIME. WE'RE GIVING YOU THE FIRST THREE MINUTES FOR YOU TO  
11 MAKE YOUR PRESENTATION.

12

13 **ANDREW AHLERING:** CORRECT. SO IF YOU'RE-- I NOW UNDERSTAND  
14 YOU'RE GIVING ME THREE MINUTES AND THE CLOCK SAYS 3:26. I'M  
15 ASKING YOU RESET IT TO THREE MINUTES.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WE DID THE THREE MINUTES. WE'LL START  
18 THE CLOCK NOW AND THE TIME IS YOURS.

19

20 **ANDREW AHLERING:** THANK YOU AND I OBJECT TO ONLY BEING GIVEN  
21 THREE MINUTES ON 20 ITEMS. I AM A FORMER EMPLOYEE OF THE  
22 COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. THAT'S RIGHT. I SAID FORMER  
23 BECAUSE I WAS FIRED AFTER I COMPLAINED TO YOU GUYS AT THE LAST  
24 MEETING I WAS HERE. THAT'S IMPROPER. BUT, AS A FORMER COUNTY  
25 EMPLOYEE EMPLOYEE, THERE ARE SERIOUS PROBLEMS IN THE COUNTY'S



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. THERE ARE PROBLEMS WITH R.F.P.S,  
2 THERE ARE PROBLEMS WITH CONTRACTING AND THERE'S PROBLEMS WITH  
3 ANY COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS THAT COMES OUT OF COUNTY PUBLIC  
4 WORKS. I WAS TOLD TO DO A COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS ON USING  
5 PRIVATE P.R. FIRMS FOR A PUBLIC EDUCATION EVENT THAT WAS  
6 OPPOSED BY SB-1, AND MY BOSS TOLD ME TO WRITE IT SO THAT IT IS  
7 BENEFICIAL TO CONTRACT OUT. OUR COUNTY GOVERNMENT IS BROKEN.  
8 MR. WOLFE'S DEPARTMENT DOES NOT SERVE THE PEOPLE. MR. WOLFE'S  
9 DEPARTMENT SERVES THE INTERESTS OF HIS CONTRACTORS AND THE  
10 SPECIAL INTERESTS OF THE FIVE REPRESENTATIVES THAT ARE SITTING  
11 IN FRONT OF ME. YOU GUYS ARE NOT THE GOVERNMENT, AS I'VE SAID  
12 BEFORE, THE GOVERNMENT SITS THERE AND THERE'S NOT MANY OF THEM  
13 HERE. THIS BOARD DOES NOT SERVE THE COMMUNITY. YOU'VE GIVEN ME  
14 THREE MINUTES TO SPEAK. HOWEVER, I WOULD LIKE THE RECORD TO  
15 REFLECT YOU STARTED THIS MEETING 33 MINUTES LATE. YOU USED 40  
16 MINUTES FOR SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS AND SIX MINUTES FOR  
17 ADJOURNMENTS AND YET, ON 20 ITEMS OF IMPORTANT COUNTY  
18 BUSINESS, YOU HAVE GIVEN ME THREE MINUTES. YOU ALSO STOLE 23  
19 DAYS OF MY LIFE WHEN YOU PUT ME IN YOUR JAIL! MS. MOLINA, YOU  
20 SHOULD BE ASHAMED OF THAT! YOU ARE MY SUPERVISOR AND YOU MADE  
21 NO COMMENT WHATSOEVER ABOUT ME, YOUR OPPONENT, BEING THROWN IN  
22 JAIL FOR 23 DAYS FOR A PURPORTED DISRUPTION OF THIS MEETING!  
23 LAST TIME I CHECKED, WE WERE IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
24 AND WE HAD A CONSTITUTION, BOTH ON THE STATE AND FEDERAL  
25 LEVEL, THAT GIVES ME THE RIGHT TO REDRESS AGAINST MY ELECTED





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 REPRESENTATIVES. I HAVE DONE THAT ON MULTIPLE OCCASIONS AND  
2 I'VE BEEN TWICE ARRESTED. I HAVE TWO MISDEMEANOR CASES AGAINST  
3 ME. IS THERE FREEDOM IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY? I WOULD SAY NOT.

4

5 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. SUPERVISOR BURKE.

6

7 **SUP. BURKE:** I'LL MOVE THOSE ITEMS.

8

9 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOVE THE ITEMS. 39 WILL BE AMENDED  
10 BY...

11

12 **SUP. KNABE:** YEAH, I MOVE THAT WE MOVE THE DIXON COMPANY FROM  
13 THE SAN PEDRO AREA AND THEN CONTINUE THE MARINA DEL REY ITEM  
14 FOR ONE WEEK AND A REPORT BACK FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC  
15 WORKS.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WITH THAT AMENDMENT, MOTION BY BURKE.  
18 SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

19

20 **SUP. BURKE:** I'LL CALL UP ITEM NUMBER 5.

21

22 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** 5?

23

24 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I HELD IT. DO YOU WANT ME TO START OR DO YOU  
25 WANT TO...



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. KNABE:** NO. I MEAN, I THINK THE RECOMMENDATION THAT I  
3 HAVE, THERE HAVE BEEN NUMEROUS RECOMMENDATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN  
4 FLOATING AROUND FOR A HUNDRED PERCENT. IN LOOKING AT THIS, YOU  
5 KNOW, WE CONSTANTLY HEAR ON THE NEWS THAT THE OIL COMPANIES  
6 SHOULD BE-- THE WINDFALL PROFITS SHOULD BE TAXED. HERE WE HAVE  
7 AN OPPORTUNITY TO SUPPORT THE CONSUMER BY ALLOWING SOME OF THE  
8 WINDFALL PROFITS OF OUR STATE TO GO BACK TO THE CONSUMERS'  
9 POCKETBOOK AND, YOU KNOW, WE'VE TALKED-- WE HAVE NOT TALKED TO  
10 ANY LEGISLATORS SO WE DON'T HAVE AN IDEA IF ANYONE IS WANTING  
11 TO CARRY IT. THIS IS JUST A RECOMMENDATION THAT WE'D LIKE TO  
12 FORWARD TO THE STATE TO URGE THEM TO DO SOMETHING IN REGARDS  
13 TO THIS. WE'RE WELL AWARE OF THE POTENTIAL FINANCIAL IMPACTS  
14 BUT IT WAS MONEY THAT WE HADN'T ANTICIPATED, ANYWAY, SO THAT'S  
15 SIMPLY THE ITEM BEFORE US.

16

17 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** MR. CHAIRMAN?

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

20

21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** MR. JANSSEN, HAVE YOU-- HAS YOUR OFFICE  
22 REVIEWED THIS AND WHAT THE IMPACTS-- IF SOMETHING LIKE THIS  
23 SHOULD BE DONE, WHAT THE IMPACT-- IMPACTS WOULD BE ON THE  
24 COUNTY, FOR EXAMPLE?

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** MR. MAYOR, SUPERVISOR, WE DID A PRELIMINARY  
2   REVIEW DATED JUNE THE 2ND, WHICH INDICATED THAT PUBLIC WORKS  
3   ESTIMATES THE COUNTY WILL LOSE \$34 MILLION A YEAR AND THE  
4   CITIES OF THE COUNTY WOULD LOSE \$51 MILLION IN REVENUES  
5   DERIVED FROM SALES TAX ON GASOLINE. THERE IS A BILL, AB-2621  
6   STRICKLAND, THAT WOULD PROVIDE A SALES USE TAX EXEMPTION FOR  
7   SALE OR THE PURCHASE OF GASOLINE IN SACRAMENTO, SO THERE IS  
8   LEGISLATION. I THINK THE IMPACT WOULD BE TWICE THAT, HOWEVER,  
9   THAT SUPERVISOR KNABE...

10

11   **SUP. KNABE:** THAT'S THE-- STRICKLAND BILL WOULD BE TWICE-- THE  
12   IMPACT WOULD BE TWICE OF WHAT THIS BILL WOULD BE.

13

14   **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** SO THERE WOULD BE A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON THE  
15   DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND THE ROADS PROGRAM.

16

17   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** IS THIS-- THE BILL, THE STRICKLAND BILL  
18   INTRODUCED, YOU SAID IT'S INTRODUCED?

19

20   **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** LET'S SEE. IT WAS AMENDED ON MAY THE 9TH TO  
21   PROVIDE FOR THE EXEMPTION SO, YES, IT WAS AMENDED MAY 9TH. IT  
22   IS IN THE LEGISLATURE.

23

24   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** AND HAS IT PASSED ANY OF THE HOUSES OF THE  
25   LEGISLATURE?



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** NOT THAT I KNOW OF. IT DOES NOT PROVIDE...

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WHICH HOUSE IS IT IN NOW?

5

6 **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** IT IS...

7

8 **SUP. KNABE:** SHOULD BE ON THE ASSEMBLY SIDE, I BELIEVE.

9

10 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** IT'S IN THE ASSEMBLY SIDE?

11

12 **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** I DON'T HAVE THAT INFORMATION. WE'LL CHECK.

13

14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I'M NOT PREPARED TO-- JUST BECAUSE HE  
15 INTRODUCED A PIECE OF LEGISLATION, I UNDERSTAND WHERE YOU'RE  
16 COMING FROM BUT IF IT'S IN RESPONSE TO HIS LEGISLATION...

17

18 **SUP. KNABE:** HER. HER.

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** OH, IT'S HER. I'M SORRY. IT'S HARD TO KEEP  
21 THE STRICKLANDS STRAIGHT, THEY'RE DOING VERY WELL ON EACH  
22 OTHER'S NICKEL.

23

24 **SUP. KNABE:** [ LIGHT LAUGHTER ]

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** AND I HAVE TO SAY, IT WAS ONE OF THE MOST  
2   CLEVEREST SCHEMES I'VE EVER SEEN BUT-- THEY CALL IT CHUTZPAH  
3   IN MY PART OF TOWN. BACK TO THE ISSUE AT HAND. BEFORE WE TAKE  
4   A POSITION THAT APPEARS TO BE A COMPROMISE POSITION ON  
5   SOMETHING THAT MAY-- THAT MAY NOT BE GOING ANYWHERE, TO PUT  
6   THE COUNTY'S NAME ON SOMETHING, I'D LIKE TO GET A BETTER BEAD  
7   ON WHAT'S HAPPENING WITH THE LEGISLATION. I MEAN, IF WE'RE  
8   GOING TO START LOOKING AT CUTTING TAXES, I THINK WE OUGHT TO--  
9   WE CERTAINLY COULD LOOK RIGHT HERE ON SOME OF THE TAXES THAT  
10  HAVE GROWN BEYOND ANY OF OUR WILDEST EXPECTATIONS AND WE  
11  HAVEN'T DONE THAT, SO I'M RETICENT TO GET KIND OF OUT OF JUST--  
12  - TO TAKE A STAND ON THIS ONE ISSUE WITHOUT IT BEING LOOKED AT  
13  CONTEXTUALLY IN ALL THE OTHER-- WITH EVERYTHING ELSE THAT'S  
14  GOING ON, BOTH IN THE ENERGY AND THE GASOLINE FRONT AND  
15  EVERYTHING ELSE. SO I WOULD-- I'D LIKE TO SUGGEST THAT THIS BE  
16  REFERRED TO YOUR OFFICE OR PUT OVER FOR-- WHEN IS THE DEADLINE  
17  FOR THE ASSEMBLY TO CONSIDER THIS? WOULD IT BE JULY SOMETHING  
18  OR JUNE?

19

20   **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** IF IT'S IN THE HOUSE OF ORIGIN-- MARSHALL, DO  
21  YOU KNOW? WHAT'S THE DEADLINE FOR CONSIDERATION OF THE  
22  STRICKLAND BILL?

23

24   **MARSHALL:** [ INAUDIBLE ]

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** THERE'S BEEN NO ACTION SINCE MAY.

2

3    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** IS IT DEAD?

4

5    **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** IS IT DEAD?

6

7    **MARSHALL:** [ INAUDIBLE ]

8

9    **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** IT IS. AND IS IT IN THE ASSEMBLY?

10

11    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** IT'S STILL ACTIVE TECHNICALLY BUT IS IT--

12    FUNCTIONALLY, IS IT POLITICALLY DEAD? MAYBE THAT'S NOT A FAIR

13    QUESTION TO ASK A STAFFER.

14

15    **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** WE CAN CERTAINLY REPORT BACK TOWARD THE END OF

16    THIS MONTH, I THINK, SUPERVISOR KNABE, THE 20TH, MAYBE, ON THE

17    STATUS OF IT AND WE'LL HAVE MORE INFORMATION...

18

19    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** HOW ABOUT WHEN WE TAKE UP THE BUDGET?

20

21    **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** THAT'S GOOD. ON THE 26TH, I THINK IT IS.

22

23    **SUP. MOLINA:** MR. CHAIRMAN?

24

25    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** LET ME JUST SAY...



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** LET ME JUST MAKE THAT MOTION TO PUT IT OVER  
3 'TIL BUDGET AND THEN AT LEAST WE'LL HAVE IT FOR DISCUSSION.  
4 THANK YOU.

5

6 **SUP. MOLINA:** MR. CHAIRMAN?

7

8 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

9

10 **SUP. MOLINA:** I'D LIKE TO SECOND THAT MOTION BUT I AM VERY  
11 CONCERNED ABOUT WHETHER WE TAKE AN ACTION LIKE THIS. THERE'S  
12 NO DOUBT THAT ALL OF US WOULD LIKE TO SEE GAS PRICES GO DOWN.  
13 EVERYONE IS SUFFERING WITH THE COST OF IT AND WE ARE AS WELL  
14 AS WE ARE PAYING THE SAME PRICE AS EVERYONE ELSE IS RIGHT NOW.  
15 THE REALITY IS THE ANSWER IS NOT TO CUT THE TAXES. THESE TAXES  
16 ARE USED FOR ALL OF OUR ROADS AND HIGHWAYS. IT IS A DIRECT  
17 BENEFIT TO THE CONSUMER. IF ANY OF YOU HAVE SEEN THE  
18 CONDITIONS, AND I THINK YOU HAVE, OF OUR OWN STATE HIGHWAYS  
19 AND THE POTHOLES THAT WE HAVE THROUGHOUT THE COMMUNITY, IT IS  
20 MORE DISREPAIR ON YOUR CAR AND IT HURTS THE CONSUMER IN THE  
21 LONG RUN. THERE HAS TO BE AN ANSWER. IF YOU LOOK AT THE  
22 PROFITS, THE QUARTER PROFITS THAT THESE GAS COMPANIES ARE  
23 MAKING ON THE BACKS OF ALL OF US, IT IS SHAMEFUL. IT IS NOT AN  
24 ACTION. WHILE I WOULD LOVE TO REDUCE THE GAS TAX AND REDUCE  
25 THE GAS PRICES OVERALL, THIS IS NOT A WAY TO DO IT. IT DOES





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 NOT PREVENT THE GAS COMPANIES AND OIL COMPANIES FROM  
2 CONTINUING TO UP THE PRICE OF GASOLINE. THERE'S GOT TO BE SOME  
3 WAY THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS GOING TO INTERVENE IN THIS  
4 CRISIS, BECAUSE IT IS A CRISIS, AND IT CANNOT BE ADDRESSED AT  
5 THIS LEVEL. AND TRYING TO ELIMINATE THE REVENUE FOR OUR  
6 HIGHWAYS, FOR OUR ROADS AND ALL THAT WE DO, AND I KNOW THE  
7 KINDS OF DEMANDS THAT I GET IN MY COMMUNITY ON A REGULAR BASIS  
8 TO ADD MORE ROAD FUNDS INTO COMMUNITIES EVERY SINGLE DAY, THIS  
9 IS NOT THE ANSWER. I DON'T KNOW IF THE LEGISLATURE IS GOING TO  
10 REALLY ADDRESS THIS ISSUE. I HOPE THEY WILL COMPREHENSIVELY  
11 BUT IF THEY LOOK AT IT, THEY KNOW VERY CLEARLY THAT CUTTING  
12 THE TAX IS NOT THE ANSWER BECAUSE YOU CANNOT PREVENT IT FROM  
13 KEEPING THE PRICE ANY LOWER. IT'S GOING TO CLIMB RIGHT UP TO  
14 HERE, WE'VE NOT SEEN ANY WAY, AND SO IT NEEDS FEDERAL  
15 INTERVENTION AND HOPEFULLY WHAT WE SHOULD DO IS ASK THE  
16 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO INTERVENE, TO FIND A WAY TO START  
17 BRINGING DOWN THE COST OF GAS PRICES THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY.

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** LET ME SAY THE BIGGEST WINDFALL IN THE  
20 INCREASE IN THE PRICE OF GASOLINE HAS BEEN THE INCREASE IN THE  
21 TAXES ON THAT GALLON OF GASOLINE AND HAD THAT GASOLINE NOT  
22 INCREASED PER GALLON, THE DOLLARS THAT ARE BEING PROJECTED  
23 WOULD BE A LOT LOWER. WHAT THIS PROPOSAL IS ATTEMPTING TO DO  
24 IS KEEP THOSE PRICES IN RANGE AS TO WHAT THE STATE WOULD BE  
25 RECEIVING HAD THEY NOT HAD THIS SPIKE IN THE PRICE OF



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 GASOLINE. SO TO HAVE A SALES TAX INCREASE AND AN INFLATED  
2 PRICE FOR GASOLINE AND THEN CRY THAT WE DON'T WANT TO REDUCE  
3 THE COST TO THE CONSUMER BECAUSE IT'S GOING TO PROTECT FUTURE  
4 SPENDING PROGRAMS THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE IS NOT HELPING  
5 THE CONSUMER OR HELPING THE ECONOMY. WHAT WE WANT TO BE DOING  
6 IS USING THOSE PROJECTIONS THAT THOSE DOLLARS IN TAXES GO FOR  
7 WHAT THEY'RE INTENDED TO DO AND, AS YOU ALL KNOW, THE GASOLINE  
8 TAX SUPPOSED TO GO TO ROADS BUT HAS BEEN USED IN THE GENERAL  
9 FUND. AND IN NOVEMBER, THERE'S A STATE, CONSTITUTIONAL  
10 AMENDMENT THAT HAS BEEN QUALIFIED BY THE VOTERS THAT WILL  
11 STIPULATE THAT PROP 42 FUNDS, GAS TAX, WILL GO EXCLUSIVELY TO  
12 ROADS AND NOT BE SIPHONED OFF FOR GENERAL FUND PURPOSES. SO  
13 ONE OF THE PROBLEMS WE HAVE, THE INFERIOR ROADS TODAY AND THE  
14 POTHOLE, IS THE DOLLARS THAT WERE SUPPOSED TO GO FOR THOSE  
15 ROAD PROGRAMS HAVE BEEN DIVERTED INTO OTHER POLITICAL BUDGETS  
16 AND NOT ROAD BUDGETS. SO I THINK SUPERVISOR KNABE IS CORRECT  
17 IN TRYING TO SUPPORT THAT TYPE OF LEGISLATION AND, AS WE ALL  
18 KNOW, NO LEGISLATION IS DEAD UNTIL THE LEGISLATURE ADJOURNS  
19 BECAUSE CONFERENCE COMMITTEES ARE VERY CREATIVE AND THEY CAN  
20 PUT IN OR TAKE OUT PROVISIONS THAT MANY PEOPLE THOUGHT WERE  
21 RESTING IN SOME CEMETERY ONLY TO FIND, IN THE LIGHT OF DAY  
22 AFTER THE BILL HAS BEEN SIGNED, THAT IT'S BEEN ENACTED INTO  
23 LAW.  
24



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. KNABE:** YEAH, I JUST WOULD ADD, I MEAN, AND WE'RE NOT  
2   TRYING TO SET THE PRICE OF GAS OR DO ANYTHING LIKE THAT, THAT  
3   IS GOING TO HAPPEN. BUT PART OF THIS RECOMMENDATION IS TO LOOK  
4   AT THRESHOLDS, SHOULD THE PRICE PER GALLON DROP TO A CERTAIN  
5   LEVEL, THEN THE 50% REDUCTION IS REINSTATED. I MEAN, THAT'S--  
6   YOU KNOW, YOU CAN DO THRESHOLDS DOWN THE WAY TO PROTECT THOSE  
7   DOLLARS IF THE COUNTY EXPECTS BUT I KNOW THERE'S A MOTION AND  
8   A SECOND ON THE FLOOR TO CONTINUE IT TO BUDGET. I'D BE  
9   RECORDED AS A "NO" VOTE.

10

11   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** CALL THE ROLL.

12

13   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

14

15   **SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR:** BUT IS THIS ON THE AMENDMENT?

16

17   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** TO CONTINUE.

18

19   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** TO CONTINUE THE ITEM.

20

21   **SUP. MOLINA:** ALL RIGHT. AYE.

22

23   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR BURKE?

24

25   **SUP. BURKE:** AYE.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YES.

5

6 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR KNABE?

7

8 **SUP. KNABE:** NO.

9

10 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

11

12 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** NO. SO THE MOTION WAS DEFEATED AND CONTINUED  
13 FOR THE BUDGET DELIBERATION.

14

15 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** MOTION WAS...

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THE ORIGINAL MOTION WAS DEFEATED AND  
18 THE MOTION TO SUBMIT IT...

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** NO, THE ORIGINAL MOTION WAS NOT DEFEATED, IT  
21 WAS JUST PUT OVER TO BUDGET.

22

23 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** IT WAS CONTINUED TO THE BUDGET.

24

25 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IT'S NOT APPROVED.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** IT WAS PUT OVER TO THE BUDGET. WE DIDN'T  
3 VOTE ON THE MOTION.

4

5 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WELL, IT WASN'T APPROVED TODAY, IT WAS  
6 PUT OVER FOR BUDGET.

7

8 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YOU'RE GETTING WARMER. (LAUGHTER).

9

10 **SUP. BURKE:** I SAID I WAS FINISHED. I'LL CALL A-3, THOUGH.

11

12 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** DR. CLAVREUL? A-3.

13

14 **DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:** GOOD AFTERNOON. GOOD MORNING, BOARD OF  
15 SUPERVISORS. DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. I AM CONCERNED ABOUT WHAT  
16 I AM READING AGAIN ABOUT KING/DREW MEDICAL CENTER. I AM VERY  
17 CONCERNED THAT DR. CHERNOF CHOOSES TO REFUSE TO SHARE  
18 INFORMATION ON THE QUALITY OF CARE. I THINK THAT INFORMATION  
19 IS ESSENTIAL FOR THE PUBLIC TO KNOW WHAT'S REALLY GOING ON  
20 THERE AND TRANSPARENCY IS ALWAYS A GOOD THING. WE STILL DON'T  
21 KNOW WHAT HAS HAPPENED TO THE SCOPE, THE UNFINISHED SCOPE OF  
22 WORK OF NAVIGANT AND, ACTUALLY, THE AMOUNT OF MONEY WE PAY  
23 THEM. TODAY ON THE AGENDA, YOU HAVE, AGAIN, ANOTHER SOLE  
24 VENDOR CONTRACT TO TRAIN PEOPLE IN CENTRAL SUPPLY TO STERILIZE  
25 PROPERLY THE EQUIPMENT. THAT WAS AN ITEM WAS PART OF THE SCOPE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 OF WORK, BOTH OF CAMDEN AND NAVIGANT AND, AGAIN, WE ARE PAYING  
2 FOR WORK WHO WAS SUPPOSED TO HAVE BEEN DONE. AND, ALTOGETHER,  
3 BETWEEN CAMDEN AND NAVIGANT, I AM SURE WE ARE CLOSE TO A TOTAL  
4 OF \$25 MILLION. WHEN ARE WE GOING TO ASK FOR ACCOUNTABILITY?  
5 AND, YOU KNOW, WHEN YOU DO A TYPE OF CONTRACT, BUILDING A  
6 HOUSE OR WHAT, IF THE CONTRACTOR DOES NOT DO WHAT THEY ARE  
7 SUPPOSED TO DO, YOU GET A REFUND. WHEN CAN WE EXPECT TO GET A  
8 REFUND FROM NAVIGANT? AND THE AUDIT, YOU KNOW, ON THE WEBSITE  
9 IS VERY DAMNING OF THE QUALITY OF WORK THEY HAVE DONE. ALSO,  
10 THE NURSING SHORTAGE IS HIGHER NOW AT KING/DREW THAN IT HAS  
11 EVER BEEN. THEY HAVE A HIGHER NUMBER OF REGISTERED NURSES OR  
12 TRAVEL NURSES THAN THEY EVER HAD BEFORE. WHAT'S GOING ON? AND  
13 WHEN ARE WE GOING TO GET ANSWERS? THANK YOU.

14

15 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU, DOCTOR. SUPERVISOR BURKE.

16

17 **SUP. BURKE:** I'LL MOVE THE ADOPTION.

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WHICH ONE?

20

21 **SUP. BURKE:** A-3.

22

23 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IT'S NOT ON THE...

24

25 **SUP. BURKE:** OKAY. I HAVE NOTHING ELSE.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IT'S NOT AN ACTION ITEM.

3

4 **SUP. BURKE:** I HAVE NOTHING ELSE.

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

7

8 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THANK YOU. I HAVE SEVERAL ADJOURNING  
9 MOTIONS, MR. CHAIRMAN. FIRST, I'D LIKE TO ASK THAT THE BOARD  
10 ADJOURN IN MEMORY REX E. LAYTON. REX LAYTON WAS A FORMER CITY  
11 CLERK OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES WHEN I WAS FIRST ELECTED TO  
12 THE CITY COUNCIL, HE WAS A CITY CLERK, HE SWORE ME IN IN MY  
13 FIRST TERM. HE PASSED AWAY LAST WEEK AT THE AGE OF 85 AT HIS  
14 HOME IN SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE  
15 HELENE, TWO SONS, ROBERT AND STEVE, AND THREE DAUGHTERS, KRIS  
16 JONES, LINDA SLOCUM AND KATHY WESTPHAL, 14 GRANDCHILDREN AND  
17 FOUR GREAT- GRANDCHILDREN. REX WAS...

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** PUT ALL MEMBERS ON THAT.

20

21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** ALL MEMBERS. HE WAS A CLASS ACT, HE WAS AN  
22 ICON IN CITY HALL AND I DON'T THINK THEY'VE HAD A CITY CLERK  
23 QUITE LIKE HIM SINCE HE RETIRED. I'D LIKE TO ASK THAT WE  
24 ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF THELMA BERNSTEIN, A LONG-TIME  
25 RESIDENT OF BEVERLY HILLS, A FORMER SINGER AND ACTRESS WHO





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 RECENTLY PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 95. SHE'S SURVIVED BY THREE  
2 SONS, FILMMAKER ALBERT BROOKS, COMEDY WRITER AND ACTOR AND  
3 FRIEND OF MINE, BOB EINSTEIN, AND ADVERTISING EXECUTIVE, ALSO  
4 A FRIEND AND SUPPORTER OF OUR MUSEUM, CLIFFORD EINSTEIN, FIVE  
5 GRANDCHILDREN AND FIVE GREAT- GRANDCHILDREN. AND I HAVE ONE  
6 THAT I JUST GOT EMAILED AND I WANT TO-- SORRY. HANG ON ONE  
7 SECOND HERE. ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF PIADAD  
8 ALAMEIDA LANDAZURI, WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 96. SHE IS  
9 SURVIVED BY HER FIVE CHILDREN, 11 GRANDCHILDREN INCLUDING MY  
10 RECEPTIONIST, CHRISTINA GAVINO GREY, FOUR GREAT- GRANDCHILDREN  
11 AND ONE GREAT, GREAT-GRANDCHILD, PASSED AWAY IN ECUADOR--  
12 RIGHT? IN ECUADOR. AND, LAST, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE BOARD TO  
13 ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF AUGUSTINE CONTRERAS, 17-YEAR-OLD HIGH  
14 SCHOOL STUDENT AT VENICE HIGH SCHOOL, WHO WAS KILLED IN A  
15 GANG-RELATED SHOOTING TWO DAYS AGO AT THE HIGH SCHOOL. IT'S A  
16 VERY TRAGIC-- MS. BURKE WILL JOIN.

17

18 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** PUT ALL MEMBERS.

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** ALL MEMBERS AND I WILL GET THE INFORMATION  
21 TO THE BOARD SECRETARY. THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNING MOTIONS. I  
22 WANT TO READ THIS MOTION IN AT THIS TIME, MR. CHAIRMAN, AND  
23 THIS WOULD BE FOR-- IT WOULD BE A REPORT BACK. ON JUNE 1ST,  
24 2006, THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH RELEASED A 2005 EARLY  
25 SYPHILIS SURVEILLANCE SUMMARY FOR LOS ANGELES COUNTY. THERE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WAS 1,217 REPORTED CASES OF EARLY SYPHILIS IN 2005,  
2 REPRESENTING A 41% INCREASE FROM 2004 AND A 188% INCREASE FROM  
3 2001. THE CASES OF EARLY SYPHILIS ARE STILL CONCENTRATED AMONG  
4 MEN WHO HAVE SEX WITH MEN AND MEN WHO HAVE SEX WITH MEN AND  
5 WOMEN. HOWEVER, A DISPROPORTIONATE INCREASE IN EARLY SYPHILIS  
6 CASES HAS BEEN REPORTED IN AFRICAN-AMERICAN AND LATINO WOMEN,  
7 WHO ACCOUNTED FOR 42% OF REPORTED EARLY SYPHILIS CASES IN  
8 2005. WHILE EFFECTIVE TREATMENT FOR SYPHILIS IS AVAILABLE, THE  
9 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH MUST DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT  
10 ADDITIONAL STRATEGIES TO PREVENT, IDENTIFY AND TREAT THOSE WHO  
11 ARE INFECTED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. I WANT TO ALSO ADD EDUCATE  
12 PEOPLE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. ANYTHING LESS WILL LEAD TO A  
13 CONTINUAL SPREAD OF SYPHILIS AND OTHER SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED  
14 DISEASES. I THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
15 INSTRUCT THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH TO DEVELOP A  
16 COMPREHENSIVE STRATEGY AND MEDIA CAMPAIGN DIRECTED AT  
17 PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION OF SYPHILIS AND OTHER S.T.D.S AND  
18 ENCOURAGING SAFE SEX PRACTICES AMONG ALL SECTORS OF THE  
19 POPULATION, PARTICULARLY COMMUNITIES OF COLOR, AND I FURTHER  
20 MOVE THAT THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH REPORT TO THE BOARD IN  
21 30 DAYS ON THIS COMPREHENSIVE STRATEGY AND MEDIA CAMPAIGN,  
22 INCLUDING AN ESTIMATED BUDGET AND TIMELINE. ACTUALLY, IT'S NOT  
23 A REPORT BACK. PUT IT ON THE AGENDA FOR NEXT WEEK.  
24



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. MOLINA:** MR. CHAIRMAN, I'D LIKE TO AMEND YOUR MOTION, IF  
2   YOU DON'T MIND, BECAUSE I THINK THAT ONE OF THE PROBLEMS, AND  
3   I'M ASKING THE QUESTION NOW, IS WHAT THEY SPENT A MILLION  
4   DOLLARS ON A PUBLIC INFORMATION CAMPAIGN LAST YEAR AND IT'S MY  
5   UNDERSTANDING NONE OF THE MATERIALS WERE IN SPANISH. SO IF  
6   THEY DON'T DO THE KIND OF OUTREACH AND, I MEAN, THIS IS A,  
7   QUOTE, PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT, YOU'D THINK THEY'D KNOW THEIR  
8   OWN AUDIENCE. I'D LIKE THEM TO PRESENT TO US WHAT THEY'RE  
9   DOING AND WHAT MATERIALS THEY HAVE NOW, WHAT KIND OF OUTREACH  
10   THEY ARE DOING TO THE SPANISH SPEAKING, TO-- AND TO THE INNER  
11   CITY COMMUNITY AS WELL. IF IT IS JUST, YOU KNOW, BUS ADS OR  
12   THINGS OF THAT SORT, I'D LIKE TO KNOW EXACTLY WHAT THEY'RE  
13   DOING, SO I'D LIKE TO AMEND IT TO INCLUDE THE SPANISH SPEAKING  
14   MATERIAL.

15

16   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I THINK THAT'S AN EXCELLENT AMENDMENT.

17

18   **SUP. MOLINA:** BEFORE YOU ASK THEM, YOU KNOW, TO DO SOMETHING  
19   ELSE, THEY REALLY HAVE TO KNOW WHAT THEY'RE DOING.

20

21   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** TOTALLY AGREE. THAT'S A EXCELLENT POINT. IN  
22   FACT, I THINK, AS DAVID WAS JUST SAYING, I THINK THEY OUGHT TO  
23   START ANSWERING THAT QUESTION EVEN BEFORE WE TAKE THIS UP NEXT  
24   WEEK, BECAUSE ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE STATISTICS AND WHERE  
25   THE MOVEMENT IS THAT MS. MOLINA'S COMMENT IS EVEN MORE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 COMPELLING. I DON'T KNOW THAT I WAS HOLDING ANYTHING. NO, I'M  
2 NOT HOLDING ANYTHING ELSE.

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. SUPERVISOR KNABE.

5

6 **SUP. KNABE:** THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. I, TOO, HAVE A NUMBER OF  
7 ADJOURNMENTS, UNFORTUNATELY. SOME GOOD NEWS BEFORE I DO THE  
8 ADJOURNMENTS, THOUGH, THAT I WAS JUST INFORMED A FEW MINUTES  
9 AGO THAT WE HAD ANOTHER SAFE SURRENDER. THIS IS BABY NUMBER 45  
10 SINCE WE BEGAN THE PROGRAM HERE IN THE COUNTY IN 2001. THE  
11 BABY GIRL WAS SURRENDERED LAST NIGHT AT POMONA VALLEY MEDICAL  
12 CENTER AND THE BABY IS HEALTHY. THIS IS THE NINTH SAFE  
13 SURRENDER SO FAR THIS YEAR AND THE FOURTH IN THE PAST 30 DAYS.  
14 SO SOME GOOD NEWS. SOME ADJOURNMENTS, MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF  
15 THE BOARD. I WOULD MOVE THAT WE ADJOURN TODAY IN MEMORY OF  
16 CAROL KLOSTERMAN. YOU HEARD JIM'S STORY. SHE PASSED AWAY EARLY  
17 THIS MORNING AFTER FIGHTING A COURAGEOUS THREE-YEAR BATTLE  
18 WITH SCLERODERMA. SHE WAS 64 YEARS OLD. AS YOU HEARD JIM SAY,  
19 CAROL IS ONE OF THREE IN THE KLOSTERMAN FAMILY THAT HAS LOST  
20 THEIR BATTLE. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER BROTHER JIM AND OTHERS.  
21 ALSO, SUPERVISOR MENTIONED THIS BUT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF  
22 BRIAN SHERIDAN, WHO PASSED AWAY ON MAY 30TH. BRIAN'S MOTHER IS  
23 CAROL ROSE AND IS A MEMBER OF OUR LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
24 COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PROCEDURES. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS  
25 MOTHER, CAROL, FATHER, JOSEPH, SISTER, KELLY AND HE WILL BE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 DEEPLY MISSED BY HIS FAMILY AND FRIENDS. VERY SAD. ALSO THAT  
2 WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF JOE VILARINO, 80-YEAR-OLD RESIDENT OF  
3 SOUTH BAY, VERY ACTIVE MEMBER OF THE REPUBLICAN STATE CENTER  
4 COMMITTEE OVER THERE, INGLEWOOD 20/30 CLUB, THE Y.M.C.A., PAST  
5 PRESIDENT OF THE REDONDO BEACH AND THE RIVERA VILLAGE BUSINESS  
6 ASSOCIATION. HE PASSED AWAY ON THURSDAY, JUNE 1ST. HE IS  
7 SURVIVED BY HIS COMPANION OF 30 YEARS, CLAUDINE, SONS, JEFF,  
8 RONALD, STEVE, THREE SISTERS AND A BROTHER. ALSO THAT WE  
9 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF PAUL, LINDA AND AMY MARCHIOTTO. PAUL AND  
10 LINDA BOTH LONG-TIME EMPLOYEES OF NORTHROP- GRUMMAN AND THEIR  
11 DAUGHTER, AMY, PERISHED IN A PLANE CRASH AS THEY HEADED TO A  
12 FAMILY VACATION. PAUL WAS 49 AND HE WAS VERY ACTIVE AT  
13 NORTHROP-GRUMMAN AND HE WAS A VICE PRESIDENT AT THE TIME. HE  
14 WAS A VERY CHARISMATIC GUY, GREAT PERSONALITY WITH A GREAT  
15 SENSE OF HUMOR. HIS WIFE, LINDA, 48, ALSO AN ENGINEER WORKED  
16 AT THE NEWPORT BEACH BAY SECTOR DIVISION. SHE WAS A VERY  
17 BRIGHT AND CARING WOMAN WHO SERVED AS PRESIDENT OF THE  
18 NEEDLEWORK CLUB OF GRUMMAN AND WAS VERY ACTIVE IN THE GIRL  
19 SCOUTS FOR SOME 40 YEARS. AMY, THEIR DAUGHTER, WAS A JAZZ BAND  
20 PIANIST, ATHLETE AND SCHOLAR AT LONG BEACH POLY HIGH SCHOOL.  
21 SHE ALSO WAS A GREAT YOUNG GIRL, VERY PERSONABLE AND FUN  
22 LOVING. THEY'RE SURVIVED BY THEIR DAUGHTER, JENNIFER, WHO WAS  
23 NOT ON THE TRIP, PAUL'S SISTER, LISA, LINDA'S BROTHERS, CHRIS  
24 AND JIMMY, LINDA'S PARENTS, JACK AND JANET, AND PAUL'S  
25 PARENTS, JOHN AND ANGELA. VERY SAD. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 MEMORY OF JOHN FORD, THE LEGENDARY LAKEWOOD LANCERS FOOTBALL  
2 COACH WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 78. HE TURNED THE LAKEWOOD  
3 PROGRAM TO CIF POWER IN THE '60S AND '70S. HE, EVEN AFTER  
4 RETIREMENT, HE CONTINUED GOING TO PRACTICES AND GAMES. HE WAS  
5 A GREAT SUPPORTER OF THE PROGRAM. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE  
6 OF 55 YEARS, FERN, AND HIS TWO SONS, DALE AND TEE. ALSO THAT  
7 WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF HANNAH BODNAR, VERY INVOLVED IN THE  
8 LAKEWOOD HIGH SCHOOL AS WELL. IT'S VERY TRAGIC. SHE PASSED  
9 AWAY AT THE YOUNG AGE OF 14. SHE VOLUNTEERED FOR THE CITY OF  
10 LAKEWOOD WITH THE TEAM BOARD AND HELPED THEM DELIVERING MEALS  
11 ON THANKSGIVING. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER PARENTS, ANDREA AND  
12 LOU, SIBLINGS ANDREW, MIN AND JOEY, HER GRANDMOTHER, MARY,  
13 NIECE, ANDREA, AND A HOST OF FAMILY AND FRIENDS. ALSO THAT WE  
14 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF RUBIN METTLER, FORMER TRW CHAIRMAN AND  
15 C.E.O. WHO OVERSAW THE DEVELOPMENT OF OUR NATION'S BALLISTIC  
16 PROGRAM AND CREATION OF THE AEROSPACE CORPORATION IN EL  
17 SEGUNDO. HE WAS 82. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, DONNA, TWO  
18 SONS, MATTHEW AND DANIEL. FINALLY, THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY  
19 OF ANNE MINNICK, WHO PASSED AWAY IN THE CITY OF BELLFLOWER AT  
20 THE AGE OF 77. SHE WAS A RESIDENT FOR OVER 50 YEARS, VERY  
21 ACTIVE IN THE ST. DOMINIC SAVIO CHURCH AND SHE IS SURVIVED BY  
22 HER DAUGHTER, KATHY, AND SON, HOWARD, GRANDCHILDREN, VINCE,  
23 MELISSA, LAUREN, KENNETH, GREAT- GRANDCHILDREN DILLON, JUSTIN,  
24 SISTERS JEAN, MERCEDES AND EVELYN. THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNMENTS.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO  
2   ORDERED.

3

4   **SUP. KNABE:** I DON'T BELIEVE I WAS HOLDING ANYTHING.

5

6   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NUMBER 6.

7

8   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** NUMBER 8.

9

10   **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** NUMBER 8. THE LEASE.

11

12   **SUP. KNABE:** OH, THE LEASE, YEAH. THE QUESTION I HAD, VERY  
13   FAMILIAR WITH THIS PROPERTY, VERY EXPENSIVE PROPERTY IN  
14   DOWNTOWN LONG BEACH, OCEAN BOULEVARD. HAS IT-- I KNOW THERE'S  
15   A RATIONALE SAYING THAT, IF WE MOVED IT TO SOME OTHER PLACE BY  
16   THE TIME WE RENOVATE IT, DID THE REAL ESTATE COMMISSION LOOK  
17   AT THIS LEASE?

18

19   **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** MR. MAYOR, SUPERVISOR KNABE, THEY DID NOT LOOK  
20   AT THE LEASE. THE THRESHOLD FOR THEIR REVIEW IS EITHER A 10-  
21   YEAR LEASE OR, IF IT'S ADMINISTRATIVE, \$7,500 A MONTH. THIS  
22   IS-- 90,000 A YEAR. THIS IS LESS THAN THAT AND I REALLY WOULD  
23   NOT RECOMMEND THAT A LEASE OF THIS SIZE GO TO THE COMMISSION.  
24   WE DO HAVE THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, THE ALTERNATE DISTRICT  
25   ATTORNEY, PUBLIC DEFENDER IN THE BUILDING, SAME FLOOR. IT IS





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 ON THE HIGH END. IT IS NO LONGER A CLASS "A" BUILDING ONLY  
2 BECAUSE IT'S AGED. IT'S IN VERY GOOD SHAPE, HOWEVER, BUT WE  
3 DID LOOK AT OTHER ALTERNATIVES AND, BECAUSE OF THE SMALL  
4 AMOUNT OF MONEY, THE TIS WOULD COST MORE THAN STAYING THERE  
5 FOR FIVE YEARS, WE CERTAINLY, OVER THE PERIOD OF THIS LEASE  
6 AND WE CAN CANCEL IT IF NECESSARY, WE'LL LOOK AT OTHER  
7 ALTERNATIVES.

8

9 **SUP. KNABE:** OKAY. BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, AT SOME POINT, THAT WAS  
10 THE OTHER THING, THE LENGTH, WE STILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO  
11 CANCEL BECAUSE OF...

12

13 **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** YES.

14

15 **SUP. KNABE:** ...LOOKING AT SOME OF OUR TRANSITIONS, THE  
16 COURTHOUSE, THAT KIND OF THING...

17

18 **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** WE DO.

19

20 **SUP. KNABE:** WITH THAT, I'D MOVE THE ITEM.

21

22 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION SECONDED, ITEM 8, WITHOUT  
23 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. 18. WHAT NUMBER DID YOU SAY NEXT?

24

25 **SUP. KNABE:** THAT WAS NUMBER 8.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NO. WHAT NUMBERS...

3

4 **SUP. KNABE:** I THINK THAT'S ALL THAT I HAD HELD.

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** LET ME MOVE THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY  
7 OF JIM SMITH, JAMES WALTER SMITH, WHO PASSED AWAY ON MAY 31ST.  
8 COACH SMITH WAS A FORMER COACH AT GLENDALE COMMUNITY COLLEGE  
9 AND WAS THE DEAN OF MEN. HE WAS NAMED TRACK OFFICIAL OF THE  
10 YEAR IN 1993. WILLIAM "BILL" GUY STEELE, JR., OF SAN MARINO,  
11 WHO PASSED AWAY ON MAY 24TH, QUITE ACTIVE AT THE BOY SCOUTS.  
12 HE WAS ALSO AN EAGLE SCOUT HIMSELF. SERVED ON THE HUNTINGTON  
13 LIBRARY BOARD, PAST PRESIDENT OF THE SAN MARINO ROTARY, A  
14 MEMBER OF THE SAN MARINO SCHOOLS EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATION  
15 ADVISORY BOARD, SERVED ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION, WAS ONE OF  
16 THE FOUNDERS OF TOWER REALTY AND ALSO WAS ON THE BOARD OF  
17 GOVERNORS OF THE U.S.C. GENERAL ALUMNI ASSOCIATION, WHERE HE  
18 CHAIRED THE PLANNING COMMITTEE IN 1990/'91. CLARA LOUISE  
19 GILLESPIE, FORMER PROFESSOR OF MATHEMATICS AT LOS ANGELES  
20 VALLEY COLLEGE BUT WAS A VOLUNTEER AT THE DESCANSO GARDENS IN  
21 LA CANADA AND SHE WAS AFFECTIONATELY KNOWN AS THE HERB LADY  
22 BECAUSE OF HER EXTENSIVE KNOWLEDGE IN HERBS. AND RETIRED LOS  
23 ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPUTY RICHARD GOLLEDGE, WHO RETIRED  
24 ON JANUARY 5TH, 1987. HIS LAST ASSIGNMENT WAS AT THE SANTA



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 CLARITA VALLEY STATION. SECONDED BY BURKE. WITHOUT OBJECTION,  
2 SO ORDERED. ITEM NUMBER 47, WE DID.

3

4 **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** I THINK 32, MR. MAYOR.

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ITEM NUMBER 67. 67.

7

8 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** THIS IS THE PUBLIC HEARING ITEM AND ALL  
9 THOSE WHO PLAN TO TESTIFY FOR ITEM 67 BEFORE THE BOARD, PLEASE  
10 STAND AND RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN IN. IS THERE  
11 ANYBODY?

12

13 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IS STAFF GOING TO MAKE A REPORT?

14

15 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** OKAY. IN THE-- OH. THE PUBLIC HEARING ITEM  
16 67, COMBINED HEARING ON ZONE CHANGE AND CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT  
17 CASE NUMBER 03-010-5 TO AUTHORIZE THE CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION  
18 AND MAINTENANCE OF A PRIVATE RECREATIONAL FACILITY TO INCLUDE  
19 AN OUTDOOR FIELD, INDOOR ARCHERY RANGE, SPORTS RETAIL SHOP AND  
20 A RECREATIONAL VEHICLE SALES FACILITY.

21

22 **SAMUEL DEA:** GOOD MORNING, MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, MY  
23 NAME IS SAMUEL DEA. I'M AN ACTING SUPERVISING REGIONAL PLANNER  
24 WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING. TO MY LEFT IS DENNIS  
25 HUNTER OF DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. ON SEPTEMBER 8TH, 2005,



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDED TO THE BOARD THE  
2 APPROVAL OF THE ZONE CHANGE REQUEST TO REDESIGNATE THE PORTION  
3 OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY LOCATED AT 33418 SUNRISE VIEW STREET  
4 IN THE SOLEDAD ZONE DISTRICT FROM MAY 1-1 LIGHT AGRICULTURE,  
5 ONE ACRE REQUIRED AREA, TO C-3-DP, UNLIMITED COMMERCIAL  
6 DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM AND A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT WAS ALSO PART  
7 OF THIS APPROVAL TO IMPLEMENT THE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM OF THE  
8 PROPOSED C-3-DP ZONE. THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION  
9 DETERMINED THE PROPOSED C-3 ZONING IS SUITABLE FOR THE SUBJECT  
10 PROPERTY AND CONSISTENT WITH THE ANTELOPE VALLEY AREA WIDE  
11 GENERAL PLAN. THE COMMISSION FINDS THE REQUEST IS COMPATIBLE  
12 WITH THE SURROUNDING USE AND WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT  
13 IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENT AND RECOMMEND THE BOARD TO ADOPT THE  
14 ZONE CHANGE AND APPROVE THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. THIS  
15 CONCLUDES MY REPORT AND WE ARE AVAILABLE FOR ANY QUESTIONS.

16  
17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THIS ITEM HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE  
18 ACTON TOWN COUNCIL AS WELL.

19  
20 **SAMUEL DEA:** YES.

21  
22 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** I WILL MOVE THE MOTION AND CLOSE THE  
23 PUBLIC HEARING, MOVE THE MOTION AND SECONDED BY BURKE. WITHOUT  
24 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. THANK YOU. OKAY. ITEM NUMBER 32.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** I UNDERSTOOD, MR. MAYOR, THAT YOU HAD AN  
2   AMENDMENT ON THIS ITEM.

3

4   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** THIS WAS THE ITEM THAT YOU WERE HOLDING.

5

6   **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** DO YOU HAVE AN AMENDMENT? THIS IS A PUBLIC  
7   WORKS ITEM TO APPROVE THE PACIFIC CREST PARK LANDSCAPE  
8   IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT AT A COST OF \$843,000, WHICH INCLUDES  
9   CONSTRUCTION, UTILITY CONNECTION FEES IN THE AMOUNT OF  
10   \$315,000, FULLY FUNDED BY PARK IN LIEU FEES AND/OR APPROVE THE  
11   PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE SUCH IMPROVEMENTS AND CALL  
12   FOR BIDS TO BE RECEIVED ON JULY THE 6TH.

13

14   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WHAT WE'RE DOING IN MOVING THE MOTION,  
15   WE'D ALSO MOVE THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION  
16   INCLUDE IN THE DESIGN FOR THE PROJECT APPROPRIATE PLAY  
17   EQUIPMENT FOR THE PARK SITE TO ALLOW THE YOUNGEST MEMBERS OF  
18   THE SAUGUS AREA TO ENJOY THE FACILITY AND TO FULLY FUND ANY  
19   ADDITIONAL COSTS FOR THIS PLAY EQUIPMENT WITH ADDITIONAL PARK  
20   IN LIEU FEES FROM OUR PARK PLANNING AREA 35 FROM THE AREA. SO  
21   I'LL MAKE THAT MOTION. SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT  
22   OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ITEM NUMBER 1. ANDREW AHLERING. YES,  
23   SIR.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **ANDREW AHLERING:** YES. GOOD MORNING. EARLIER YOU ALL RECEIVED  
2   ALLIGATOR BOOKS. I EXPECT TO SEE THAT IN YOUR POLITICAL  
3   DISCLOSURES AS A GIFT. IF NOT, I WILL BE COMPLAINING. ANYWAYS,  
4   REGARDING APPOINTMENTS, YESTERDAY'S ELECTION DEFINITELY PROVES  
5   ONE THING. REGARDLESS OF THE COUNTY'S MANTRA "PERFORMANCE  
6   COUNTS" AND L.A. COUNTY, IT DOESN'T. WHAT DOES COUNT IS RACIAL  
7   AND PARTISAN WEDGE POLITICS. THESE APPOINTMENTS THAT YOU ARE  
8   MAKING ARE PART OF THAT BUT LET'S GO BACK TO YESTERDAY'S  
9   ELECTION. SUPERVISOR MOLINA, CONGRATULATIONS, YOU FINISHED  
10   FIRST IN THE RACE. IT IS SAID RUNNING FOR OFFICE IS LIKE  
11   RUNNING THE MARATHON, AND SINCE I'M A MARATHON RUNNER, LET'S  
12   EXAMINE THE FIRST DISTRICT SUPERVISOR RACE IN THAT LIGHT. AN  
13   UNKNOWN 26-YEAR-OLD COUNTY EMPLOYEE WITH A MASTER'S IN PUBLIC  
14   ADMINISTRATION FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
15   SOUGHT TO HELP HIS COMMUNITY. EIGHT DAYS LATER, HE WAS  
16   RETALIATED BY THE COUNTY'S DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS IN THE  
17   COURSE OF HIS JOB. HE BEGAN ADDRESSING THE BOARD. HE RECEIVED  
18   CONGRATULATIONS FROM NUMEROUS COUNTY EMPLOYEES WHO WERE GLAD  
19   TO SEE MOLINA GO, HOPEFULLY. SHE'S NOT VERY POPULAR. HE EVEN  
20   RECEIVED CONGRATULATIONS FROM A DEPUTY IN SD-4. HE COMPLAINED  
21   ABOUT HARASSMENT BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. THE NEXT  
22   DAY, HE WAS ARRESTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. APRIL  
23   18TH, HE GAVE FLOWERS TO SUPERVISOR MOLINA AND, WITHIN AN  
24   HOUR, HE WAS ARRESTED AND JAILED FOR 23 DAYS. THIS SHOULD BE A  
25   SCANDAL IN L.A. COUNTY BUT, HEY, BRAD PITT AND ANGELINA JOLIE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 JUST HAD A BABY PITT. LET'S LEAD THE NEWS WITH THAT.  
2 SUPERVISOR MOLINA, GOOD THING YOU RAN ON THE ISSUES. LET'S  
3 TAKE A LOOK AT YOUR ISSUES. OH, WAIT. I DIDN'T SEE ANY. YOU  
4 DIDN'T DISCUSS KING/DREW, YOU DIDN'T DISCUSS PROBATION  
5 ESCAPES, A FAILING DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN'S SERVICES, A  
6 FAILING DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH. YOU LOOKED BACK TO THE  
7 PAST AND YOU IMPROPERLY USED COUNTY FUNDS FOR YOUR OWN  
8 POLITICAL GAIN. THAT IS BUSINESS AS USUAL IN THIS COUNTY AND  
9 EACH AND EVERY SUPERVISOR, YOU SHOULD BE ASHAMED. I WANT TO  
10 SAY, AND THIS IS BACK TO THE T-SHIRT I WORE ON THE DAY I WAS  
11 ARRESTED, F THIS BOARD. YES, THAT'S CORRECT, I FORGIVE THIS  
12 BOARD FOR ITS UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTIONS. LET'S CHANGE THE  
13 DIALOGUE IN L.A. COUNTY AND LET'S CHANGE THIS OLIGARCHY THAT'S  
14 ILLEGITIMATELY ATTEMPTING TO RUN US. THANK YOU.

15

16 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. OKAY. MOTION NUMBER 1,  
17 MOVED, SECONDED BY MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ITEM  
18 NUMBER 21, DR. CLAVREUL.

19

20 **DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:** GOOD MORNING, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.  
21 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. ON ITEM 21, I HAD REQUESTED A SUPPORT  
22 DOCUMENT ABOUT THE INCREASE \_\_\_\_\_ AMENDMENT OF AGREEMENT TO.  
23 INCREASE THE CURRENT CONTRACT FUNDING FOR H.I.V. AND A.I.D.S.  
24 COUNSELING AND TESTING BY \$670,128, WHICH IS OVER HALF A  
25 MILLION. THERE IS NO SUPPORTING DOCUMENT ON THAT ITEM. AND I





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 AM CONCERNED THAT WE ARE INCREASING TO THAT EXTENT WHEN WE ARE  
2 SUPPOSED TO GO TO NAME REPORTING, WHICH WOULD HAVE COST LESS  
3 TO TEST, LESS IN COUNSELING. THERE IS NO IDENTIFICATION TO  
4 WHOM THOSE MONEY IS GOING. CAN I GET SOME RESPONSE?

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MR. JANSSEN?

7

8 **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** I THINK THE DEPARTMENT'S HERE, JOHN SCHUNHOFF.

9

10 **DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF:** SUPERVISORS, WE'LL BE HAPPY TO GET MISS  
11 CLAVREUL THE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SHE REQUESTED. I DIDN'T  
12 KNOW THAT SHE HAD REQUESTED IT. WE'LL GET HER THE COPIES OF  
13 THE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

14

15 **DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:** WELL, THOSE ITEMS SHOULD BE AVAILABLE  
16 IN THE OFFICE ON THE DAY OF THE MEETING AND I WOULD LIKE TO  
17 KNOW WHAT THOSE FOUR AMENDMENTS, TO WHOM THEY ARE GOING.

18

19 **DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF:** ALL THE FIRMS THAT ARE RECEIVING AMENDED  
20 CONTRACTS ARE LISTED IN ATTACHMENT (B) TO THE BOARD LETTER,  
21 WHICH I BELIEVE WAS PART OF THE PACKET THAT WAS DISTRIBUTED  
22 NOT ONLY AT THE HEALTH DEPUTY MEETING BUT AS PART OF THE  
23 PACKET THAT SHOULD BE AVAILABLE RIGHT NOW.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:** IT'S STILL A HUGE INCREASE. BUT,  
2 ANYWAY, I THINK THAT'S-- THOSE DOCUMENTS SHOULD BE AVAILABLE  
3 BEFORE THE MEETING. NO, THEY WERE NOT. I WENT-- NOT ONLY I  
4 REQUEST THEM BY EMAIL, I WENT IN PERSON THIS MORNING AND THEY  
5 WERE NOT AVAILABLE. THANK YOU.

6

7 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. ON ITEM NUMBER 21, MOVED,  
8 SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. IS DR.  
9 CHERNOF HERE? DR. CHERNOF, TO FOLLOW UP ON OUR DISCUSSION WE  
10 HAD YESTERDAY ON THE-- COULD YOU CLARIFY THE ISSUE RELATIVE TO  
11 RELEASING INFORMATION, WHAT HAD BEEN RELEASED PREVIOUSLY, WHAT  
12 THE POLICY IS ON RELEASING THAT INFORMATION AND DO PRIVATE  
13 HOSPITALS RELEASE THAT INFORMATION AND HAS THERE BEEN A POLICY  
14 CHANGE WITH THAT INFORMATION?

15

16 **DR. BRUCE CHERNOF:** ABSOLUTELY MAYOR, SUPERVISORS. THANK YOU  
17 FOR THE CHANCE TO SPEAK WITH YOU TODAY. LET ME BEGIN BY SAYING  
18 THAT THE DEPARTMENT ABSOLUTELY SUPPORTS APPROPRIATE  
19 TRANSPARENCY IN ALL HEALTHCARE, ESPECIALLY IN OUR OWN  
20 INSTITUTIONS. WE VIEW THAT AS PART OF OUR PUBLIC MISSION AND  
21 WE WORK VERY HARD WITH KEY SECTORS OF THE HOSPITAL INDUSTRY  
22 AND THE OVERSIGHT COMPONENTS OF HEALTH, C.M.S. AND OTHERS, TO  
23 MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE APPROPRIATELY REPORTING. I WOULD POINT  
24 OUT TO YOU ALL THE PLACES WHERE WE ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED. WE  
25 MAKE DATA AVAILABLE, INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO PATIENTS THROUGH



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THE C.M.S. WEBSITE, THERE'S TREMENDOUS INFORMATION ABOUT ALL  
2 OF OUR FACILITIES, IT'S PRESENTED IN A BENCHMARKED WAY SO THAT  
3 CONSUMERS, OUR CONSTITUENTS CAN MAKE GOOD COMPARISONS ABOUT  
4 THE QUALITY OF CARE AND USE THAT INFORMATION. SO WE'RE  
5 ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN C.M.S. REPORTING. WE'RE ACTIVELY INVOLVED  
6 LOCALLY WITH THE HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION AND OTHER REPORTING  
7 INITIATIVES, WE LOOK FORWARD TO PARTICIPATING IN C.M.S.'S NEW  
8 EFFORTS AROUND EXPERIENCE-OF-CARE REPORTING, WHICH IS A  
9 VOLUNTARY PROCESS, BUT WE'RE GOING TO BE PART OF IT. SO THAT  
10 IS THE DEPARTMENT'S POSITION. THAT'S NOT A CHANGE. THE KEY  
11 THING ABOUT 1157 IS IT'S A STATE EVIDENCE CODE THAT ALLOWS  
12 HOSPITALS TO ESTABLISH SECURE AND PROTECTED CHANNELS FOR  
13 EMPLOYEES TO REPORT ERRORS PROMPTLY. THAT'S A CRITICAL THING  
14 AND IT'S SOMETHING THAT THE CALIFORNIA HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION  
15 AND OTHERS STRONGLY SUPPORT. IT'S NOT UNIQUE TO OUR  
16 FACILITIES, IT'S FOR ALL FACILITIES AND THIS IS A PRACTICE  
17 THAT EMPLOYEES-- IS PUT IN PLACE SO THAT EMPLOYEES DO NOT  
18 WITHHOLD KEY INFORMATION. THIS IS A FUNDAMENTAL PUBLIC SAFETY  
19 CONCERN FOR EVERY HOSPITAL AND THE REASON IS THAT THE ABILITY  
20 TO COLLECT QUALITY IMPROVEMENT DATA IS FUNDAMENTAL TO  
21 PROTECTING THE HEALTH OF THE PUBLIC. FIRST OF ALL, IF AN ERROR  
22 DOES OCCUR, AND ALL HOSPITALS ARE RISKY PLACES, IT IS VERY  
23 IMPORTANT THAT WE GET THAT INFORMATION IMMEDIATELY BECAUSE  
24 THERE'S POTENTIALLY A PATIENT INVOLVED AND, IF A PATIENT IS  
25 INVOLVED, WE NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT, IF THERE IS ANY POTENTIAL



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SEQUELLA OR RISK TO THE ERROR, THAT THE PATIENT IS TAKEN CARE  
2 OF. THE NEXT MOST IMPORTANT THING IS WHAT WAS THE CAUSE OF  
3 THAT ERROR? IF IT'S A KNOWLEDGE OR SKILLS ISSUE WITH RESPECT  
4 TO AN INDIVIDUAL, WE NEED TO ADDRESS THAT. IF IT'S AN  
5 EQUIPMENT ISSUE, PROCESS OF CARE ISSUE, THOSE THINGS NEED TO  
6 BE ADDRESSED. THE DEPARTMENT WAS-- HAS A VERY ACTIVE RESPONSE  
7 PROCESS WITH RESPECT TO PEER REVIEW REQUESTS. WE DO WANT AN  
8 OPEN AND TRANSPARENT SYSTEM. WE RECENTLY RECEIVED A REQUEST, A  
9 PUBLIC RECORDS ACT REQUEST FOR A DOCUMENT THAT WAS NOT A  
10 PUBLICLY RELEASED DOCUMENT, IT WAS LABELED DRAFT, DATED TO  
11 LAST YEAR FROM ONE OF OUR FACILITIES. WE DETERMINED THAT LARGE  
12 PORTIONS OF THAT DATA ARE PERFECTLY APPROPRIATE TO REPORT  
13 PUBLICLY AND THAT DATA HAS BEEN PROVIDED. THERE IS AN AREA IN  
14 THAT DOCUMENT THAT CONTAINS CLASSIC PEER REVIEW PROTECTED  
15 INFORMATION THAT WOULD NOT BE PUBLICLY RELEASED BY ANY OF OUR  
16 HOSPITALS NOR ANY PRIVATE HOSPITAL UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES. IN  
17 THIS CIRCUMSTANCE, IT IS CLEAR THAT A FEW OF THE MEASURES THAT  
18 WERE ON THIS DRAFT REPORT WERE REPORTED TO YOUR BOARD ON  
19 OCCASION AS PART OF NAVIGANT REPORTING. THERE WAS A SPECIFIC  
20 DECISION MADE TO DO THAT. THE CHOICE WAS FOCUSED AND LIMITED  
21 AND IT WAS FOR THE EXPRESS PURPOSE OF HELPING GIVE GUIDANCE AT  
22 A VERY DIFFICULT TIME. THAT WAS A POLICY DECISION AT THE TIME  
23 BUT IT WAS VERY SPECIFIC AND LIMITED. THE DEPARTMENT AS A  
24 WHOLE HAS NEVER RELEASED THIS DATA FOR OUR OTHER HOSPITALS AND  
25 THE VAST MAJORITY OF THE PEER REVIEW PROTECTED INFORMATION WAS



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 NEVER RELEASED FOR KING/DREW OR ANY OF THE OTHER FACILITIES IN  
2 OUR NETWORK. THE KEY THINGS HERE IS THAT THIS IS NOT A  
3 WHOLESALE CHANGE IN POLICY. THE PREVIOUS DIRECTOR DOES NOT  
4 REMEMBER SPECIFICALLY RELEASING THE DOCUMENT IN QUESTION THAT  
5 IS THE BASE OF THE P.R.A. AND, WHAT'S MORE, THE DIRECTOR, THE  
6 PREVIOUS DIRECTOR NEVER RELEASED THE VAST MAJORITY OF THIS  
7 DATA THAT WAS REQUESTED THAT IS PEER REVIEW PROTECTED FOR THIS  
8 HOSPITAL OR ANY OTHER HOSPITAL IN OUR NETWORK. WE WORK, LIKE I  
9 SAID, VERY CLOSELY TO SUPPORT TRANSPARENCY WHERE IT'S  
10 APPROPRIATE AND THE DEPARTMENT'S POLICY REMAINS THAT BEING AN  
11 ACTIVE PART OF PROVIDING PUBLIC INFORMATION SO THAT  
12 INDIVIDUALS CAN MAKE GOOD CHOICES ABOUT THEIR HEALTHCARE IS  
13 FUNDAMENTAL TO WHAT WE DO. SO, WITH THAT, I'LL BE GLAD TO TAKE  
14 ANY QUESTIONS. THANK YOU.

15

16 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ANY QUESTIONS?

17

18 **DR. BRUCE CHERNOF:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MAYOR, SUPERVISORS.

19

20 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. ON PUBLIC COMMENT, WE HAVE DONNA  
21 CULPEPPER AND JESSE JAY MORALES AND JOSE CASTANEDA. IS DONNA  
22 HERE? SOMEBODY FROM SUPERVISOR BURKE'S OFFICE...

23

24 **DEPUTY:** IF YOU HEARD YOUR NAME CALLED, STEP FORWARD.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MS. BURKE IS-- OKAY. DO WE HAVE A  
2   DEPUTY FOR MRS. BURKE? OKAY. MRS. BURKE'S NOT HERE BUT SHE HAS  
3   A DEPUTY THAT'S HERE, IF YOU WANT TO SPEAK TO THE DEPUTY OR...

4

5   **SPEAKER:** (OFF-MIKE).

6

7   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SHE-- WHAT TIME IS SHE RETURNING?  
8   SHE'S COMING BACK AT 1:00.

9

10   **SPEAKER:** I CAN WAIT.

11

12   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IN THE MEANTIME, COULD YOU TALK TO HER  
13   DEPUTY IN HER ABSENCE? HER DEPUTY IS OVER HERE. SHE'LL MEET  
14   YOU AT THE CORNER THERE AND LET'S SEE IF WE CAN RESOLVE IT.  
15   OKAY. JESSE MORALES. ONE MORE SECOND. AND JOSE CASTANEDA.  
16   YOU'RE JOSE. AND MICHAEL JACKSON-- MIKI JACKSON. MIKI. OKAY.  
17   YOU WANT TO COME UP. AND JESSE MORALES IS NOT HERE. OKAY. YES,  
18   SIR. JUST GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD BEFORE YOU SPEAK.

19

20   **JOSE CASTANEDA:** JOSE CASTANEDA AND I WAS JUST BRINGING TO THE  
21   COURT'S-- I MEAN THE SUPERVISORS' ATTENTION A SETTLEMENT THAT  
22   TOOK PLACE YEARS AGO. WE JUST DISCOVERED THAT SOMETHING  
23   INAPPROPRIATE WAS NOT HANDLED AND IT IS BASICALLY THE WRONG  
24   DISTRIBUTIONAL SETTLEMENT THAT WAS APPROVED BY THE BOARD.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **MALE VOICE:** DID YOU WANT TO SUBMIT THESE?

2

3   **JOSE CASTANEDA:** YES.

4

5   **MALE VOICE:** OKAY. I'D BE HAPPY TO SUBMIT THEM.

6

7   **JOSE CASTANEDA:** THAT'S ALL. THANK YOU, SIR.

8

9   **MALE VOICE:** THANK YOU.

10

11   **MIKI JACKSON:** OKAY. MIKI JACKSON. I WANT TO FIRST THANK  
12   SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY FOR HIS MOTION ON TRYING TO DO  
13   SOMETHING ABOUT THE THIRD ROUND OF THE SYPHILIS PROBLEM HERE.  
14   I THINK IT'S THREE ROUNDS WE'VE GONE. I JUST WANTED TO KIND OF  
15   OUTLINE WHAT WE SEE AS IMPORTANT COMPONENTS OF THAT CAMPAIGN,  
16   ACTUALLY, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY DID A PRETTY THOROUGH JOB OF  
17   OUTLINING THEM, BUT WE THINK WE SHOULD HAVE A MEDIA CAMPAIGN  
18   THAT EDUCATES, AS WELL AS MAKES PEOPLE AWARE OF SYPHILIS, THAT  
19   EDUCATES THEM ABOUT SYPHILIS, THAT BRINGS PEOPLE IN FOR  
20   TESTING, THAT INCLUDES RISK REDUCTION INFORMATION, THAT TALKS  
21   ABOUT THINGS SUCH AS CONDOMS, WHICH IS NOT A HUNDRED PERCENT  
22   FOR SYPHILIS BUT IT HELPS, AND IT REALLY HELPS IN THE  
23   TRANSMISSION OF H.I.V., WHICH WE'RE GETTING A LOT OF PEOPLE  
24   WITH H.I.V. HERE WHO HAVE SYPHILIS AND IF THEY'RE TRANSMITTING  
25   SYPHILIS, THEY COULD BE TRANSMITTING H.I.V. WE NEED TO EDUCATE





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 OUR PROVIDERS, THE PROVIDERS THAT COULD POSSIBLY-- WE NEED TO  
2 REACH OUT TO MORE PROVIDERS THAN WE HAVE HAD BEFORE AND WE  
3 NEED TO EDUCATE THOSE PROVIDERS SO THEY CAN HELP US EDUCATE  
4 PEOPLE AND GET PEOPLE INTO TESTING FOR SYPHILIS. WE NEED  
5 STREET LEVEL TESTING FOR SYPHILIS. WE NEED TO STRENGTHEN OUR  
6 COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS, WE NEED TO HAVE THIS TESTING AVAILABLE  
7 IN SEX CLUBS AND, IN SHORT, WE NEED A MULTIFACETED STRATEGY,  
8 AND WE NEED IT NOT TO STOP THE MINUTE THE NUMBERS GO DOWN A  
9 LITTLE BIT. THAT'S HOW WE'VE ENDED UP HERE WITH THREE  
10 DIFFERENT PHASES OF THIS IS, THE MINUTE THE NUMBERS GO DOWN A  
11 LITTLE BIT, WE STOP, WHICH IS NOT IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF US  
12 OR OF THE PUBLIC. WE URGE YOU TO CONSIDER A PROGRAM THAT MIGHT  
13 BE A THREE-YEAR PROGRAM SO THAT WE DON'T HAVE YET A FOURTH  
14 ITERATION OF THIS AND HAVE IT SPREAD TO EVEN MORE COMMUNITIES.  
15 THANK YOU.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. OKAY. WE ARE GOING TO  
18 RECESS INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION.

19

20 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** IN ACCORDANCE WITH BROWN ACT REQUIREMENTS,  
21 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL  
22 CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEM CS-2, CONFERENCE  
23 WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS, DAVID E. JANSSEN AND STAFF AS  
24 INDICATED ON THE POSTED SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA. WE WILL RECONVENE  
25 THE BOARD MEETING AT 1:00 TO HEAR ITEM 68.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. MOLINA:** ARE WE ADJOURNING?

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NO. EXECUTIVE SESSION.

5

6 **SUP. MOLINA:** OKAY, BEFORE WE GO INTO CLOSED SESSION, I HAVE A  
7 CONCERN. I'D LIKE TO PUT IN A MOTION. I'VE BEEN TRYING TO  
8 FOLLOW AROUND THIS CORRECTIVE ACTION ON THE STELLA CASE IN  
9 D.P.S.S. AND I'M VERY TROUBLED THAT WE HAVE ANOTHER LEGAL CASE  
10 HERE DID NOT GO THROUGH THE COST LITIGATION MANAGER, THERE WAS  
11 NO EVALUATION THAT WAS DONE BY OUR DEPARTMENT, BY OUR COUNTY  
12 COUNSEL AND SO I'M GOING TO ASK THAT WE GET A FORMAL AUDIT  
13 DONE OF ALL OF THE CASES. THIS ISN'T HIT AND MISS. WE DID A  
14 COST LITIGATION MANAGER OVER THREE YEARS AGO. WE SAID ALL OF  
15 OUR CASES WERE TO GO THROUGH ROUNDTABLE. THESE LAWYERS ARE  
16 TAKING US IN AND OUT OF TOWN, SPENDING \$145,000 TO RESOLVE A  
17 CASE THAT SHOULD HAVE COST US A LOT LESS THAN THAT AND THEY  
18 DID ANY OF THE WORK AND I'M JUST REALLY UPSET ABOUT IT. MR.  
19 FORTNER TELLS ME HE HAD A DEPARTMENT HEAD WHO DIDN'T BELIEVE  
20 IN THE ROUNDTABLE PROCESS AND I DON'T THINK THEY'RE ENTITLED  
21 TO DO THAT, NOT WHEN BOARD MEMBERS HAVE PASSED THIS AND SO I'M  
22 ASKING FOR AN AUDIT. I THINK ALL OF THESE CASES NEED TO BE  
23 REVIEWED AND MAKE SURE THAT THEY ARE ON TRACK. THERE'S NONE OF  
24 THESE CASES SHOULD BE GOING OUTSIDE OF THE SYSTEM. THESE  
25 PRIVATE LAWYERS ARE TAKING US ALL OVER TOWN. THE REPORTS THAT



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SHE PREPARED ARE PROBABLY WORTH \$145,000, ONLY TO TELL US,  
2 EVERY SINGLE REPORT, THAT WE'RE GOING TO LOSE THE CASE.  
3 BECAUSE WE HAD NO DEFENSE FROM DAY ONE. SO I'D LIKE TO ASK FOR  
4 AN AUDIT.

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. WE'D ASK FOR A REPORT ON THAT.  
7 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** I WANT AN AUDIT DONE, SO WHAT WOULD I DO, ASK FOR  
10 A REPORT?

11

12 **SUP. KNABE:** YOU HAVE TO DO THE AUDIT. YOU PROBABLY HAVE TO DO  
13 A MOTION.

14

15 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YOU WANT TO DO A REPORT BACK ON THE  
16 AUDIT OR DO YOU WANT TO PUT A MOTION IN TODAY FOR NEXT WEEK'S  
17 AGENDA?

18

19 **SUP. MOLINA:** I'LL PUT A MOTION IN TODAY.

20

21 **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** FOR NEXT WEEK.

22

23 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT THIS BE A FULL SCALE AUDIT OF COUNTY COUNSEL  
24 MAKING SURE THEY'RE FOLLOWING THEIR OWN PROCESSES AND



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 PROCEDURES. I CAN'T CONTINUE TO BE DOING THIS. THIS IS  
2 OUTRAGEOUS.

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. WE'LL GO INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION.

5

6 **[RECESS FOR EXECUTIVE SESSION]**

7

8 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. THE MEETING WILL CONTINUE FOR  
9 THE JUNE 7TH MEETING OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF  
10 SUPERVISORS AND WE HAVE A SET ITEM. EXECUTIVE OFFICE WILL CALL  
11 US TO ORDER.

12

13 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, FIRST OF  
14 ALL, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MET TODAY, WEDNESDAY, JUNE 7TH,  
15 2006, IN CLOSED SESSION ON ITEM CS-2, CONFERENCE WITH LABOR  
16 NEGOTIATORS DAVID E. JANSSEN AND DESIGNATED STAFF. THERE WAS  
17 NO REPORTABLE ACTION. ON PUBLIC HEARING ITEM 68, ALL THOSE WHO  
18 PLAN TO TESTIFY BEFORE THE BOARD, PLEASE STAND AND RAISE YOUR  
19 RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN IN. [ ADMINISTERING OATH ]

20

21 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** THANK YOU. ON ITEM 68, DE NOVO HEARING ON  
22 CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT, CASE NUMBER 00-194-5, AN ADDENDUM TO  
23 FILE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT AND FINAL SUBSEQUENT  
24 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, TO AUTHORIZE THE CONTINUED  
25 OPERATION OF THE SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFALL, A CLASS 3



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 NONHAZARDOUS SOLID WASTE LANDFALL FACILITY, AND MODIFICATIONS  
2 TO PREVIOUSLY APPROVED CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT CASE NUMBER 86-  
3 312-5.

4

5 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. HAVE STAFF RESPOND.

6

7 **FRANK MENESES:** GOOD MORNING, MAYOR ANTONOVICH, MEMBERS OF THE  
8 BOARD. MY NAME IS FRANK MENESES, I'M THE ADMINISTRATOR WITH  
9 THE CURRENT PLANNING DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL  
10 PLANNING. TO MY RIGHT I HAVE MARIA MASIS AND DR. KOUTNIK FROM  
11 MY STAFF WHO WILL BE HERE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY  
12 HAVE. WE ALSO HAVE REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF  
13 PUBLIC WORKS AND THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES THAT WILL  
14 BE AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE. THE  
15 CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT BEFORE YOU WOULD ESSENTIALLY SUPERSEDE  
16 AN EXISTING C.U.P. THAT WAS PREVIOUSLY APPROVED BY YOUR BOARD  
17 IN 1993. BY WAY OF BACKGROUND, THE SUBJECT LANDFILL WAS  
18 OPERATING ON THE CITY'S SIDE OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY SINCE  
19 1958. IN 1991, A CITY VARIANCE EXPIRED AND THE OPERATOR, BFI,  
20 THEN APPLIED FOR AN EXTENSION INTO THE COUNTY PORTION OF THE  
21 PROPERTY. IN 1993, YOUR BOARD AGAIN APPROVED THE COUNTY  
22 LANDFILL AFTER A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT HAD BEEN REACHED WITH  
23 THE CITY WHEREBY THE CITY WITHDREW A LAWSUIT THAT THEY HAD  
24 FILED IN EXCHANGE FOR THE COUNTY DROPPING A CITY EXCLUSION  
25 PROVISION WHICH WOULD HAVE PROHIBITED CITY TRASH FROM BEING



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 PLACED IN THE COUNTY LANDFILL IF THE CITY DID NOT APPROVAL  
2 ADDITIONAL LANDFILL CAPACITY WITHIN THEIR JURISDICTION. AT  
3 THAT TIME, IT WAS THE BOARD'S STATED INTENT TO ENCOURAGE THE  
4 CITY OF LOS ANGELES TO PERMIT SUBSTANTIAL LANDFILL CAPACITY IN  
5 THEIR RESPECTIVE JURISDICTION IN ORDER TO MEET THE CITY'S NEED  
6 FOR SUCH DISPOSAL. THE BOARD'S INTENT AT THAT TIME WAS ALSO TO  
7 MAXIMIZE AND CONSERVE, TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, LANDFILL  
8 CAPACITY DUE TO CONCERNS AT THAT TIME OVER IMPENDING SHORTAGES  
9 IN THE FUTURE DUE TO ANTICIPATED CLOSURES OF OTHER LANDFILLS  
10 IN THE COUNTY. ONE OF THE WAYS TO DO THIS WAS TO EMPLOY  
11 MAXIMIZATION OF WASTE COMPACTION TECHNIQUES. IT WAS ALSO  
12 INDICATED BY THE BOARD'S APPROVAL THAT A CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL  
13 WAS ENVISIONED THAT WOULD GENERALLY BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH A  
14 DESIGN THAT HAD BEEN DESCRIBED IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT  
15 REPORT AT THAT TIME. THE LANDFILL INITIALLY DESCRIBED IN THE  
16 E.I.R. WAS A 215 MILLION TON CAPACITY DESIGN THAT WOULD  
17 STRADDLE THE CITY/COUNTY BOUNDARY AND MITIGATION MEASURES WERE  
18 RECOMMENDED FOR THIS LARGER LANDFILL, WHICH WERE INCORPORATED  
19 IN A MUCH SMALLER LANDFILL THAT WAS ACTUALLY APPROVED. THE  
20 COUNTY PERMIT ALSO ALLOWED FOR AN AUTOMATIC INCREMENTAL  
21 EXPANSION IN THE COUNTY FROM AN ESTIMATED 17 MILLION TONS TO  
22 35 MILLION TONS, MAINLY BY FILLING OF A SO-CALLED BRIDGE AREA  
23 BETWEEN THE LANDFALL AND THE CITY/COUNTY LINE. THIS WOULD BE  
24 SUBJECT TO THE CITY'S APPROVAL AND ALSO WHEN FOUND TO BE  
25 NECESSARY BY THE COUNTY. IN ACCORDANCE WITH YOUR DIRECTION,



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THE OPERATOR INDEED PURSUED AND WON APPROVAL FOR A LANDFILL  
2 CAPACITY IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES. THE CITY, IN 1999,  
3 APPROVED A GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT AND A ZONE CHANGE TO ALLOW  
4 FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A 55 MILLION TON CAPACITY LANDFILL  
5 DESIGNED TO EVENTUALLY TIE INTO THE COUNTY LANDFILL. IN ORDER  
6 TO PROVIDE FOR A MORE EFFICIENT OPERATION OF A SINGLE  
7 LANDFILL, THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES CONDITIONED THE PROJECT,  
8 SUBJECT TO GETTING THE APPROPRIATE COUNTY APPROVALS FOR  
9 RECIPROCAL LANDFILLING ONCE THE CITY COUNTY LANDFILL KICKED  
10 IN. THAT IS, THAT BOTH THE COUNTY'S AND CITY'S DAILY INTAKE  
11 CAPACITIES, TOTALING AN AVERAGE OF 11,000 TONS PER DAY, 6,000  
12 IN THE COUNTY AND 5,000 IN THE CITY, COULD BE DEPOSITED ON  
13 EITHER SIDE OF THE JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARY LINE. HOWEVER, THE  
14 CITY REQUIRED A THREE PHASE APPROVAL PROCESS, STIPULATING  
15 THAT, PRIOR TO GOING BEYOND PHASE I, WHICH IS CHARACTERIZED AS  
16 THE CITY LANDFILL IN THE CITY'S APPROVAL DOCUMENTS, A NUMBER  
17 OF THINGS WOULD HAVE TO OCCUR, INCLUDING A JOINT POWERS  
18 AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND THE CITY, AND THIS AGREEMENT  
19 WOULD ALLOW FOR COLLABORATION ON PERMITTING, INSPECTION,  
20 CLOSURE, SUPERVISION AND ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITY AT THE LANDFILL.  
21 ALTHOUGH THERE WERE SOME INITIAL DISCUSSIONS ON THIS, WHILE WE  
22 WERE REVIEWING THIS CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT, IT IS ANTICIPATED  
23 THAT THIS J.P.A. WOULD FOLLOW AFTER AN APPROVAL ACTION OF THE  
24 PERMIT THAT'S BEFORE YOU. AS A RESULT OF THE NEED TO HAVE  
25 COUNTY APPROVAL FOR RECIPROCAL FILLING ARRANGEMENT AS WELL AS





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 TO MAKE THE TWO APPROVALS MORE CONSISTENT WITH EACH OTHER, THE  
2 APPLICANT APPLIED FOR THE C.U.P. THAT IS NOW BEFORE YOU.  
3 ADDITIONALLY, HOWEVER, COUNTY STAFF TOOK THE OPPORTUNITY TO  
4 REVISIT THE ENTIRE C.U.P. AND HAVE, IN OUR BELIEF,  
5 STRENGTHENED MANY OF THE EXISTING CONDITIONS, INCLUDING  
6 PLACING PENALTIES FOR NONCOMPLIANCE, INSURANCE, FINANCIAL  
7 ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS, ENHANCED LINER REQUIREMENTS, ENHANCED  
8 OVERSIGHT AND MONITORING OF WASTE ORIGIN, ENHANCED FEES FOR  
9 COMMUNITY BENEFITS, APPROXIMATELY 65 MILLION IS OUR ESTIMATE,  
10 AMONG MANY OTHERS. IN ADDITION AND MORE IMPORTANTLY IS THE  
11 FACT THAT THE COUNTY IS ALSO AGAIN RECOMMENDING A CITY  
12 EXCLUSION PROVISION THAT WOULD BAR CITY TRASH IN THE EVENT OF  
13 THE CITY TERMINATING THEIR APPROVAL OR FRUSTRATING PROGRESSION  
14 INTO THE CITY/COUNTY DESIGN IN THE FUTURE. AS YOUR BOARD  
15 KNOWS, BASED ON AN OPEN DISCUSSION THAT YOU HAD IN 2002, THERE  
16 HAS BEEN MUCH CONCERN EXPRESSED OVER THE THEN CITY MAYOR'S  
17 WILLINGNESS TO CLOSE THE CITY LANDFILL, CONTRARY TO THE  
18 DIRECTION THAT YOUR BOARD EXPRESSED IN 1993 AND,  
19 COINCIDENTALLY, THERE WAS ANOTHER ARTICLE IN THE NEWSPAPER  
20 RECENTLY INDICATING OPPOSITION FROM THE CURRENT MAYOR AS WELL.  
21 DESPITE THIS, THE CITY HAS OBJECTED TO THE COUNTY'S PROPOSED  
22 CITY EXCLUSION, CITING A VIOLATION OF INTERSTATE LAW. I WOULD  
23 MENTION HERE, HOWEVER, THAT THE CITY'S APPROVAL ITSELF BANS  
24 OUT-OF-COUNTY TRASH, WHICH WOULD BE APPEAR TO BE SOMEWHAT  
25 INCONSISTENT WITH THE SENTIMENT THAT THEY'VE EXPRESSED. WITH



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 RESPECT TO THE C.U.P. BEFORE YOU, I WOULD LIKE MISS MASIS HERE  
2 TO RELATE TO YOU THE PROCEEDINGS THAT TOOK PLACE BEFORE THE  
3 PLANNING COMMISSION, INCLUDING THEIR DENIAL ACTION, UNLESS YOU  
4 HAVE ANY IMMEDIATE QUESTIONS FOR ME RIGHT NOW. THANK YOU.

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** LET ME ASK JUST ONE QUESTION ON THE--  
7 WE PUT A CAP ON THE NUMBER OF YEARS, I KNOW QUANTY LANDFILL,  
8 CHIQUITA, COULD BE IN OPERATION. WERE YOU INVOLVED IN THAT  
9 PROCESS?

10

11 **FRANK MENESES:** NO, SUPERVISOR-- MAYOR, I WAS NOT INVOLVED IN  
12 THE CRAFTING OF THE CONDITIONS ON THAT PARTICULAR...

13

14 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WE DID THAT AT THE SANITATION  
15 DISTRICT.

16

17 **FRANK MENESES:** THAT IS CORRECT.

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YES, MA'AM.

20

21 **MARIA MASIS:** YES. GOOD AFTERNOON. MARIA MASIS, REGIONAL  
22 PLANNING. AS STATED, THE LANDFILL OPERATOR, BFI, HAS APPLIED  
23 FOR A REPLACEMENT C.U.P. TO ALLOW FOR THE RECIPROCAL FILLING  
24 ARRANGEMENT, AS WELL AS TO MAKE THE CITY AND COUNTY APPROVALS  
25 MORE CONSISTENT WITH EACH OTHER. THE REGIONAL PLANNING



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 COMMISSION HEARD THIS REQUEST AT FIVE PUBLIC HEARINGS BETWEEN  
2 DECEMBER 2004 AND NOVEMBER 2005. ONE OF THESE HEARINGS WERE  
3 HELD IN THE COMMUNITY AT THE GRANADA HILLS HIGH SCHOOL AND THE  
4 COMMISSION ALSO TOOK A FIELD TRIP TO THE LANDFILL AND THE  
5 SURROUNDING AREA IN MARCH OF 2005. AFTER HEARING SUBSTANTIAL  
6 TESTIMONY ON THIS CASE, THE COMMISSION STATED ITS INTENT TO  
7 DENY THE PROJECT AFTER A 3-2 VOTE ON NOVEMBER 21ST, 2005. THE  
8 DENIAL WAS THEREAFTER CONFIRMED ON DECEMBER 21ST, 2005. THE  
9 COMMISSION BASES DENIAL ON MAINLY TWO ISSUES: FIRSTLY, THE  
10 LACK OF A SET CLOSURE DATE FOR THE LANDFILL AND THE EFFECT  
11 THIS WOULD HAVE ON THE COMMUNITY AND, SECONDLY, THE COMMISSION  
12 MADE THE FINDING THAT THE TRAFFIC IMPACTS OF THE LANDFILL  
13 OPERATION HAVE NOT BEEN MITIGATED TO ACCEPTABLE LEVELS.  
14 REGARDING THE CLOSURE DATE, THE COUNTY AS WELL AS THE CITY  
15 PORTION OF THE SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFILL ARE BOTH CURRENTLY  
16 OPERATING WITHOUT A SET CLOSURE DATE. INSTEAD, LANDFILLING  
17 LIMITS ARE SET BY ELEVATION AND APPROVED FILL DESIGNS. THE  
18 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS HAS CALCULATED THAT, TO COMPLETE  
19 THE ESTIMATED 90 MILLION TON CAPACITY OF THE COUNTY LANDFILL  
20 AND OPERATING AT AN AVERAGE DAILY DISPOSAL RATE OF AT LEAST  
21 8,000 TONS, IT WOULD TAKE APPROXIMATELY 30 YEARS TO ALLOW FULL  
22 USE OF THE LANDFILL. REGARDING THE TRAFFIC IMPACTS, TRAFFIC  
23 IMPACTS IDENTIFIED IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS FOR THE  
24 LANDFILL OPERATION HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN DETERMINED MITIGATED,  
25 AS REQUIRED IN THE PROJECT E.I.R.S. IN ADDITION, UNDER THE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 REPLACEMENT C.U.P., A 50-CENT PER TON DISPOSAL FEE WOULD BE  
2 PAID BY BFI TO FUND MITIGATION OF RECURRING TRAFFIC IMPACTS.  
3 THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES HAS ALSO INDICATED IN A LETTER TO THE  
4 COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS THAT ALL TRAFFIC IMPACTS  
5 HAVE BEEN SATISFACTORILY MITIGATED. MITIGATION FOR THE  
6 PROJECT-RELATED TRAFFIC IMPACTS HAVE TODAY BEEN COMPLETED BY  
7 THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, AS ALL AFFECTED INTERSECTION AND  
8 ROADWAYS ARE LOCATED IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND NONE IN  
9 THE UNINCORPORATED COUNTY. IN ADDITION TO THE ISSUES OF A  
10 CLOSURE DATE AND TRAFFIC IMPACTS, THE NORTH VALLEY COALITION,  
11 WHICH REPRESENT THE KEY OPPOSITION IN THIS CASE, HAS STATED  
12 SEVERAL CONCERNS ABOUT THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. CONDITIONS SUCH  
13 THAT THE CITY'S CONDITIONS ARE MORE PROTECTIVE AND PROVIDE  
14 STRICTER CONDITIONS AND THAT LOOPHOLES EXIST IN THE DRAFT  
15 CONDITIONS OF THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. MANY OF THESE ISSUES WILL  
16 SURELY BE BROUGHT FORWARD TODAY AS THE BOARD HEARS THE  
17 APPLICANTS' APPEAL OF THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION'S  
18 DECISIONS TO DENY THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. APPLICATION. AND,  
19 FINALLY, AS MENTIONED, WE DO HAVE STAFF HERE FROM PLANNING  
20 DEPARTMENT, AS WELL AS PUBLIC WORKS AND THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT  
21 TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY HAVE. AND THAT WILL  
22 CONCLUDE OUR PRESENTATION.

23

24 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** LET ME ASK, IS STAFF FAMILIAR WITH THE  
25 LETTER WE HAD RECEIVED MAY 17TH RELATIVE-- FROM THE SOUTH



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, WHERE THEY STATE AND  
2 I'LL QUOTE: "THE AQMD STAFF REQUEST THAT THE MODIFIED C.U.P.  
3 INCLUDE A PROVISION THAT WOULD REQUIRE THE OPERATION OF  
4 ALTERNATIVE FUEL TRUCKS AT THE ENTIRE LANDFILL, CONSISTENT  
5 WITH ALTERNATIVE FUEL TRUCK OPERATION REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE  
6 CURRENTLY APPLICABLE TO CITY'S SIDE OF THE LANDFILL."

7

8 **FRANK MENESES:** MAYOR, WE HAVE NOT READ THAT LETTER. I JUST GOT  
9 A COPY OF IT RIGHT NOW. WE'LL BE HAPPY TO LOOK AT IT.

10

11 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** I WANT TO HAVE THAT INFORMATION  
12 INCLUDED IN WHATEVER COMES OUT OF THIS HEARING TODAY. THANK  
13 YOU.

14

15 **FRANK MENESES:** THAT CONCLUDES STAFF'S PRESENTATION.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THIS ISSUE HAS BEEN BEFORE US SINCE  
18 1958, WHICH PREDATES ANY OF OUR SERVICE ON THIS BOARD.  
19 RESIDENTS HAVE HAD TO DEAL WITH THE ADVERSE IMPACTS OF THIS  
20 LANDFILL, AMONGST OTHERS, THE TRUCK TRAFFIC. I'VE PERSONALLY  
21 BEEN OUT THERE AND SEEN THE DEBRIS BLOWING ALONG AT THE SITE,  
22 IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND ALONG THE FREEWAY THAT I TRAVEL, ALONG  
23 WITH THE-- YOU HAVE THE PROBLEM HERE OF FUMES AND ODORS AND  
24 THE HEALTH HAZARDS, EMISSIONS FROM THE DIESEL TRUCKS AND  
25 EQUIPMENT AND THAT'S WHY THAT INFORMATION FROM AQMD IS SO



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 RELEVANT. IN PARTICULAR, THEY ARE JUSTIFIABLY ALARMED OVER THE  
2 HIGH LEVEL OF CANCER RATES SOME OF THE NEIGHBORS HAVE HAD.  
3 FURTHERMORE, THEY'RE QUITE FRUSTRATED OVER FALSE ASSURANCES  
4 THAT HAVE BEEN GIVEN FROM TIME TO TIME OVER THIS TIME OF 48  
5 YEARS, WHERE THEY'VE BEEN ASSURED THAT THERE WOULD BE AN END  
6 IN SIGHT, ONLY TO HAVE THOSE PROMISES FAIL TO MATERIALIZE AND  
7 SIMILAR TO OTHER RESIDENTS WHO RESIDE, FOR EXAMPLE, IN SAN  
8 GABRIEL VALLEY AT THE LA PUENTE LANDFILL WHERE WE DID PUT IN A  
9 CAP, A TIMEFRAME THAT THEY WOULD HAVE TO CEASE OPERATION.  
10 THAT, HERE, THEY DON'T HAVE SUCH A GUARANTEE. AS A RESULT,  
11 AGAIN, BRINGS BACK FALSE PROMISES THAT HAVE BEEN MADE IN THE  
12 PAST, SO, HAVING SOME TYPE OF TIMEFRAME IS IMPORTANT IF THIS  
13 ISSUE PROCEEDS.

14

15 **SUP. KNABE:** THAT TIMEFRAME WAS PUT IN ABOUT THE SECOND OR  
16 THIRD TIME AROUND. THE FIRST FEW TIMES IT WAS DENIED.

17

18 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AND AT CHIQUITA CANYON, THEY ALSO HAVE  
19 ONE AS WELL THAT WE PUT IN. LET ME FIRST CALL THE APPLICANT  
20 AND THEN WE HAVE OTHERS FROM THE COMMUNITY WHO WISH TO  
21 PARTICIPATE. WE HAVE DAVID EDWARDS AND THEN JUAN NOGUEZ, N-O-  
22 G-U-E-Z, GREGORY NORDBACK, RON GASTELUM AND I'M GOING TO CALL  
23 YOU UP ONE AT A TIME SO, AFTER YOU CONCLUDE YOUR SPEAKING,  
24 I'LL CALL UP THE NEXT PERSON WHEN YOU RETURN TO YOUR SEAT, SO  
25 WE'LL KEEP FOUR PEOPLE UP HERE AT ALL TIMES, OKAY? THREE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 MINUTES EACH OR, IF YOU WANT TO REDUCE IT, YOU CAN DO THAT AS  
2 WELL. SO, DAVID, JUAN IS HERE, AND GREGORY IS HERE. AND IS RON  
3 HERE? JUST BEFORE YOU SPEAK, GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD SO  
4 THE CLERK WILL HAVE THAT INFORMATION.

5

6 **DAVID EDWARDS:** DAVID EDWARDS, BFI. MR. MAYOR, AS AN APPLICANT,  
7 WOULD IT BE OKAY FOR ME TO GIVE ABOUT SIX MINUTES FOR A  
8 PREPARED SPEECH THAT I HAVE?

9

10 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YES, FOR THE APPLICANT, YES.

11

12 **DAVID EDWARDS:** THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON, BOARD MEMBERS. MY  
13 NAME IS DAVE EDWARDS. I AM THE PROJECT DIRECTOR FOR THE  
14 SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFILL PROJECT. I'M HERE TODAY TO REQUEST  
15 YOUR APPROVAL OF A REPLACEMENT C.U.P. WHICH WILL ALLOW THE  
16 SEPARATE OPERATING L.A. COUNTY AND CITY LANDFILLS IN SUNSHINE  
17 CANYON TO COMBINE AND OPERATE AS A SINGLE, MORE EFFICIENT  
18 LANDFILL. AS PART OF THE APPROVAL FOR THE CURRENT CONDITIONAL  
19 USE PERMIT FOR THE LANDFILL ISSUED IN 1993, THE BOARD OF  
20 SUPERVISORS DIRECTED BFI TO OBTAIN ALL PERMITS NEEDED TO  
21 RESUME LANDFILL OPERATIONS ON THE CITY'S SIDE OF SUNSHINE  
22 CANYON AND THEN PROVIDE FOR A COMBINED COUNTY/CITY LANDFILL  
23 OPERATION. WE HAVE DONE THAT. BFI RECEIVED ALL PERMITS FROM  
24 THE CITY IN 1999 AND THE CITY LANDFILL BEGAN OPERATION IN JULY  
25 2005. WE ARE NOW AT THE FINAL STEP OF THIS PROCESS OBTAINING





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THIS BOARD'S APPROVAL OF THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. THAT WILL  
2 ALLOW FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMBINED LANDFILL. THERE ARE  
3 THREE ISSUES I'D LIKE TO COVER TODAY. FIRST, WHAT THE  
4 REPLACEMENT C.U.P. WILL DO. SECOND, AND JUST AS IMPORTANT,  
5 WHAT THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. DOES NOT DO AND, THIRD, A RESPONSE  
6 TO THE COMMISSION'S DENIAL FINDINGS WHICH, IN LIGHT OF THE  
7 FACTS, ACTUALLY SUPPORT APPROVAL, NOT DENIAL. WHAT DOES THE  
8 REPLACEMENT C.U.P. DO? IT WILL ALLOW FOR A SINGLE, MORE  
9 EFFICIENT LANDFILL OPERATION AS OPPOSED TO TWO SEPARATE  
10 OPERATIONS. IT WILL RESULT IN LESS AIR POLLUTION SINCE  
11 EQUIPMENT AND TRUCKS WILL BE FOCUSED ON A SINGLE WORKING FACE  
12 RATHER THAN TWO AND IT WILL MAXIMIZE COUNTY REVENUES BY FULLY  
13 UTILIZING AVAILABLE AND ALREADY PERMITTED LANDFILL CAPACITY.  
14 IN FACT, THE COUNTY WILL RECEIVE MORE THAN \$65 MILLION IN NEW  
15 FEES AND BENEFITS, IN ADDITION TO A 10% BUSINESS TAX APPLIED  
16 TO WASTE PLACED ON THE COUNTY'S SIDE OF THE LANDFILL. AS FOR  
17 WHAT THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. WILL NOT DO, IT WILL NOT INCREASE  
18 THE ALREADY APPROVED TOTAL WASTE INTAKE RATE AT SUNSHINE. IT  
19 WILL NOT INCREASE THE ALREADY APPROVED WASTE DISPOSAL CAPACITY  
20 OF THE LANDFILL, IT WILL NOT CHANGE THE HORIZONTAL LIMITS OR  
21 VERTICAL CONTOURS. IT WILL NOT INCREASE THE PROJECT LIFE  
22 BEYOND THAT ALREADY PROVIDED FOR IN THE COUNTY AND CITY  
23 APPROVALS. IN FACT, A COMBINED LANDFILL WILL ACTUALLY HAVE A  
24 PROJECTED LIFE SHORTER THAN THE LIFE OF THE SEPARATE CITY  
25 LANDFILL. AND, FINALLY, IT WILL NOT CAUSE ANY ENVIRONMENTAL



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 IMPACTS THAT EXCEED THOSE ALREADY CONSIDERED AND MITIGATED IN  
2 TWO CERTIFIED E.I.R.S. IF THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. IS NOT  
3 APPROVED, NOTHING WILL CHANGE REGARDING THE INDEPENDENT  
4 OPERATIONS OF THE COUNTY SIDE OR CITY SIDE OF THE LANDFILL.  
5 BOTH WILL CONTINUE TO OPERATE UNDER CURRENT APPROVALS TO FULL  
6 DAILY AND OVERALL CAPACITY. REGARDING THE COMMISSION'S DENIAL  
7 FINDINGS, WE FIND THEM CONFUSING AND, IN SOME AREAS, JUST  
8 SIMPLY INCORRECT. OUR SUBMITTAL MATERIALS RESPOND TO FINDINGS  
9 IN DETAIL BUT LET ME CORRECT A FEW ERRORS. FIRST, THE  
10 COMMISSION HAS STATED THAT THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. DOES NOT  
11 SERVE A SUBSTANTIAL NEED FOR WASTE DISPOSAL CAPACITY IN THAT  
12 ANY SERVICE OF NEED IS OUTWEIGHED BY IMPACTS ON THE COMMUNITY.  
13 THEY BASE THIS PRIMARILY ON THE CLAIM THAT THERE WOULD BE  
14 UNMITIGATED TRAFFIC IMPACTS. THE FACTS SHOW JUST THE OPPOSITE.  
15 THE COUNTYWIDE SIGHTING ELEMENT STATES THAT SUNSHINE CANYON  
16 LANDFILL IS NEEDED TO SERVE THE WASTE DISPOSAL NEEDS OF L.A.  
17 COUNTY AND, REGARDING TRAFFIC, YOUR OWN EXPERTS IN PUBLIC  
18 WORKS HAVE STATED THAT THE PROJECTED TRAFFIC IMPACTS OF THE  
19 COMBINED COUNTY/CITY LANDFILL HAVE BEEN MITIGATED TO  
20 ACCEPTABLE LEVELS. THE COMMISSION IS ALSO MISTAKEN IN CLAIMING  
21 THAT THE PROPOSED PROJECT DOES NOT MEET THE C.U.P. BURDEN OF  
22 PROOF. THE TWO E.I.R.S FOR THE LANDFILL ESTABLISH THAT JOINING  
23 THE TWO LANDFILLS WILL NOT ADVERSELY IMPACT THE COMMUNITY. I  
24 WISH TO STRESS THAT WE HAVE WORKED EXTREMELY HARD WITH COUNTY  
25 DEPARTMENTS, THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION, YOUR BOARD



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 OFFICES AND THE COMMUNITY IN PROCESSING THIS REPLACEMENT  
2 C.U.P. THE RESULTING C.U.P. MAKES GOOD SENSE FOR A NUMBER OF  
3 REASONS. FIRST, A COMBINED CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL IS GOOD FOR  
4 THE COMMUNITY AND THE ENVIRONMENT. THE COMBINED OPERATION,  
5 WITH LESS EQUIPMENT AND A MORE EFFICIENT TRUCK PATTERN, WILL  
6 LOWER AIR EMISSIONS AND POLLUTANTS. IT'S GOOD FOR THE COUNTY  
7 AND THAT IS A KEY COMPONENT OF THE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN  
8 AND IT ASSISTS THE CITIES WITHIN THE COUNTY IN MEETING THEIR  
9 AB-939 REQUIREMENTS. FINALLY, AS SAID BEFORE, IT WILL PROVIDE  
10 OVER \$65 MILLION TO SUPPORT ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS, RECYCLING  
11 AND ALTERNATIVE TECHNOLOGIES, TRAFFIC IMPROVEMENTS AND  
12 COMMUNITY PROGRAMS. ADDITIONALLY, AS YOU CAN SEE FROM LETTERS  
13 CONTAINED IN YOUR APPEAL MATERIAL BINDER, THERE IS SUBSTANTIAL  
14 COUNTYWIDE SUPPORT FOR THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. FROM THE  
15 COMMUNITY, BUSINESSES AND BUSINESS GROUPS AND ELECTED  
16 OFFICIALS. I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO SUBMIT TO THE BOARD A SUPPORT  
17 LETTER FROM OPERATING ENGINEERS LOCAL 12 WHO REPRESENT OUR  
18 LANDFILL EMPLOYEES AND A SECOND LETTER FROM OVER 190 DRIVERS  
19 AND MECHANICS FROM OUR HAULING COMPANIES HERE IN THE L.A. AREA  
20 WHO ARE REPRESENTED BY THE TEAMSTERS LOCAL 396. THANK YOU FOR  
21 THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TODAY. I RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT  
22 YOU APPROVE THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. FOR A COMBINED OPERATION OF  
23 SUNSHINE CANYON. AND, AGAIN, OUR TEAM IS HERE TO ANSWER ANY  
24 QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY HAVE. THANK YOU.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. OKAY. JUAN.

2

3    **JUAN NOGUEZ:** GOOD AFTERNOON.

4

5    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** LIMIT YOU EACH NOW TO THREE MINUTES OR  
6    LESS.

7

8    **JUAN NOGUEZ:** GOOD AFTERNOON. I COME FROM THE CITY OF  
9    HUNTINGTON PARK, I BELIEVE YOUR BIRTHPLACE.

10

11    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MY BIRTHPLACE.

12

13    **JUAN NOGUEZ:** AND WE ARE TURNING 100 YEARS IN SEPTEMBER, SO I  
14    HOPE THAT MAYBE YOU'LL RECEIVE THE INVITATION AND COME AND  
15    VISIT US.

16

17    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IS MISSION HOSPITAL GOING TO BE OPEN?

18

19    **JUAN NOGUEZ:** MISSION HOSPITAL IS STILL OPEN AND IT LOOKS  
20    FORWARD TO SEEING ONE OF IT FAVORITE SONS COME BACK HOME. IN  
21    SAYING SO, I THINK A HUNDRED YEARS AGO, THE CITY OF HUNTINGTON  
22    PARK REALIZED ITS TRASH NEEDS; HOWEVER, MONTEBELLO CLOSED AND  
23    THEN LA PUENTE IS GETTING FULL. AS PART OF THE MAYORALSHIP, WE  
24    SIT ON THE SANITATION DISTRICT BOARD AND I SEE THAT, IN THE  
25    DISTANT FUTURE-- NOT TOO DISTANT FUTURE, WE WILL BE LOOKING AT



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 RAIL HAULING OUR TRASH FROM L.A. COUNTY TO THOSE LOCATIONS.  
2 IT'S, OF COURSE, SOMETHING THAT WE NEED TO ADDRESS DAILY.  
3 RECYCLING IS VERY IMPORTANT AND I DEFINITELY ENCOURAGE  
4 EVERYBODY TO CONTINUE THAT RECYCLING EFFORT, BUT OUR LANDFILLS  
5 ARE VERY IMPORTANT TO US, WHAT WE CURRENTLY HAVE. I HAD THE  
6 OPPORTUNITY TO VISIT THE SUNSHINE CANYON IN PART OF MY  
7 INVESTIGATION AND I SAW THE NEIGHBORING COMMUNITIES, BEAUTIFUL  
8 COMMUNITIES. THEY ARE CLOSE BUT STILL WITHIN A PROXIMITY THAT  
9 I DON'T FEEL THERE WAS A DANGER. I DON'T LIVE IN THOSE  
10 COMMUNITIES. THE DAY THAT I WAS THERE WAS A BEAUTIFUL DAY, IT  
11 DIDN'T SMELL. IN SAYING THAT, I SAW ECONOMIES OF SCALE AND/OR  
12 POTENTIAL OF THE ECONOMIES OF SCALE THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO  
13 HAVING THIS MERGER. IN SAYING THAT, I THINK THAT THIS IS  
14 SOMETHING THAT THE COUNTY WILL CONTINUE TO BENEFIT BY HAVING,  
15 EITHER WITH THE CITY OR AS A COUNTY BY ITSELF. I THINK YOU'VE  
16 HEARD TESTIMONY OR HAVE RECEIVED THE PACKET THAT INDICATES  
17 THAT ECONOMIES OF SCALE ARE POSSIBLE AND I AM HERE  
18 REPRESENTING ONE OF THE CITIES THAT BENEFITS FROM THE SUNSHINE  
19 CANYON LANDFILL AND BELIEVE THAT THE MERGER WOULD ONLY ADD  
20 THAT MUCH MORE OF A POSITIVE OVERALL. YOU WOULD HAVE ONE  
21 COMPACTOR, ONE TRUCK INSTEAD OF THE MULTIPLE LEVELS THAT YOU  
22 HAVE ON THE DUAL CAPACITY AS WE KNOW IT TODAY. SO, WITH THAT,  
23 I THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR ATTENTION THIS AFTERNOON AND WE  
24 LOOK FORWARD TO SEEING YOU IN SEPTEMBER AT OUR 100TH BIRTHDAY.  
25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. THANK YOU, MAYOR.

2

3    **JUAN NOGUEZ:** THANK YOU.

4

5    **GREG NORDBACK:** GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS GREG NORDBACK AND  
6    I'M STARTING MY 13TH YEAR AS A COUNCIL MEMBER IN THE CITY OF  
7    WHITTIER, THREE-TIME MAYOR AND ALSO I SIT AS THE CHAIR OF THE  
8    15TH DISTRICT L.A. COUNTY SANITATION BOARD. FIRST, I'D LIKE TO  
9    CONGRATULATE GLORIA AND ZEV ON YOUR RE-ELECTIONS. WHAT A  
10    WONDERFUL OPPORTUNITY YOU HAVE NOW TO PROTECT YOUR  
11    CONSTITUENTS' RIGHTS AND POCKETBOOKS. I APPRECIATE THE  
12    OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO YOU ABOUT THE SUNSHINE CANYON  
13    LANDFILL. IN SHORT, I URGE YOU TO APPROVE THE REPLACEMENT  
14    C.U.P. SO THE LANDFILL CAN OPERATE AS ONE UNIT MORE  
15    EFFICIENTLY, AS OPPOSED TO BEING SPLIT. WE NEED TO MOVE  
16    FORWARD IN AFFIRMING THE COUNTY'S ABILITY TO MANAGE ITS SOLID  
17    WASTE. THE CITY OF WHITTIER COMPLETELY UNDERSTANDS THE ABILITY  
18    OF SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT. WE OPERATE OUR OWN LANDFILL AND WE  
19    HAVE THE PUENTE HILLS LANDFILL AS OUR NEIGHBOR. THERE ARE MANY  
20    POSITIVES AND NEGATIVES ON THE SIDES OF IT BUT I THINK THAT,  
21    IN LOOKING AT YOUR C.U.P. AS IT'S BEING PROPOSED TO YOU, I  
22    THINK THEY'VE DONE A WONDERFUL JOB IN COMING UP WITH A  
23    COMPROMISE THAT WOULD WORK TO THE BENEFIT OF ALL YOUR  
24    CONSTITUENTS. LANDFILLS ARE A FACT OF LIFE FOR BUSINESSES AND  
25    RESIDENTS. IT'S UP TO US TO PROVIDE A SAFE AND LOW COST



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SERVICE TO BOTH OUR BUSINESSES AND OUR RESIDENTS. I BELIEVE,  
2 IF YOU APPROVE THIS REPLACEMENT C.U.P., YOU'LL BE ENHANCING  
3 THE ABILITY OF YOUR CONSTITUENTS. WHILE NEW AND FLEXIBLE  
4 ALTERNATIVES ARE BEING STUDIED AND PRESENTED DAILY, I THINK WE  
5 ALL KNOW THAT IT'S GOING TO BE RAIL HAUL. RAIL HAUL IS GOING  
6 TO BE VERY, VERY EXPENSIVE TO ALL OF OUR CONSTITUENTS. SO,  
7 WITH THAT, I ENCOURAGE YOU TO APPROVE THIS REPLACEMENT C.U.P.  
8 THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME.

9

10 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. LET ME ALSO CALL UP MARLENE  
11 BANE, RUTH GALANTER AND BRENDAN HUFFMAN. YES, RON? MAYOR, YOU  
12 FORGOT YOUR WALLET OR-- GOOD AFTERNOON.

13

14 **RON GASTELUM:** MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF THE BOARD OF  
15 SUPERVISORS, MY NAME IS RON GASTELUM. I'M HERE REPRESENTING  
16 THE L.A. AREA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE TODAY. AT THE L.A. AREA  
17 CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, WE ARE LOOKING AT INFRASTRUCTURE THAT  
18 SERVES OUR COUNTY AND THE ASSETS, THE EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE  
19 THAT IS SO VITALLY IMPORTANT TO SUPPORT OUR ECONOMY AND OUR  
20 PUBLIC HEALTH. INCLUDED AMONG THOSE ASSETS ARE EXISTING  
21 LANDFILL FACILITIES LIKE THE ONE THAT IS BEFORE YOU TODAY. IT  
22 IS NO SURPRISE TO YOU THAT, AS A BUSINESS PRINCIPAL THAT WE  
23 STAND BEHIND, WHEN YOU HAVE EXISTING ASSETS, WHEN YOU CAN  
24 EXPAND THEM, WHEN YOU CAN BETTER UTILIZE THEM, WHEN YOU CAN  
25 MAKE THEM MORE EFFICIENT, THAT IS THE RIGHT THING TO DO. I





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WOULD OBSERVE THAT THE PUBLIC, THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY ALL  
2 EXPECT US TO UTILIZE THE ASSETS THAT WE HAVE TO THE GREATEST  
3 EXTENT WE CAN, RATHER THAN INCUR MUCH HIGHER EXPENSES FOR  
4 SECURING NEW ASSETS AND, IN THIS CASE, IT MAY NOT BE POSSIBLE  
5 TO SECURE NEW LANDFILL ASSETS WITHIN OUR COUNTY. SO WHERE DOES  
6 THAT LEAVE US? OUTSIDE OUR COUNTY. WELL, WE THINK THAT MAY BE  
7 POSSIBLE. THERE IS MUCH THAT YET HAS TO BE DONE AND, AS WE GO  
8 FORWARD TO DEVELOP THESE ALTERNATIVES, IT'S NOT OUR DECISION  
9 ALONE. THERE ARE JURISDICTIONS BEYOND LOS ANGELES. WE SEE THAT  
10 IN THE GOODS MOVEMENT AREA. WE SEE THAT CERTAINLY IN THE  
11 ENERGY AREA. THIS IS ONE, A GOOD EXAMPLE WHERE LOS ANGELES  
12 COUNTY CAN DO WHAT I BELIEVE IS RIGHT FOR OUR BUSINESSES,  
13 RIGHT FOR OUR PUBLIC TO MAKE THE BEST USE OF THIS EXISTING  
14 ASSET. OF COURSE YOU HAVE TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC HEALTH, OF  
15 COURSE THE CONDITIONS HAVE TO BE ESTABLISHED TO MAKE SURE THAT  
16 THIS ASSET IS PROPERLY OPERATED. WE SUPPORT THE ISSUANCE OF  
17 THIS CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. VICTOR FRANCO, JR. OKAY.  
20 MARLENE.

21

22 **MARLENE BANE:** MY NAME IS MARLENE BANE. I LIVE AT 5816 ETIWANDA  
23 AVENUE IN TARZANA AND MY PERSONAL CONGRATULATIONS TO  
24 SUPERVISORS YAROSLAVSKY AND MOLINA ON YOUR REELECTION. BUT  
25 TODAY I'M HERE TO SPEAK IN FAVOR OF THE BOARD APPROVING THE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 C.U.P. FOR SUNSHINE CANYON. LANDFILL. APPROVING THE  
2 REPLACEMENT C.U.P. MAKES SENSE TO ME. WHAT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE  
3 IS FOR THE LANDFILL TO CONTINUE OPERATING AS TWO SEPARATE  
4 ENTITIES. I'VE HEARD THAT NOT APPROVING THE NEW C.U.P. WILL  
5 NEITHER STOP NOR CHANGE THE LANDFILL FROM OPERATING, IT WILL  
6 JUST CONTINUE TO OPERATE AS TWO SEPARATE AND PERMITTED  
7 ENTITIES BUT TOGETHER I BELIEVE THEY CAN BE MORE EFFICIENT,  
8 LESS EQUIPMENT WILL BE USED, TRUCK EMISSIONS WILL BE REDUCED  
9 AND ALL TRUCKS WILL GO TO A SINGLE WORKING FACE INSTEAD OF  
10 TWO. THE COUNTY WILL SEE ADDITIONAL REVENUE AND IT CAN BE  
11 USED, I HOPE, TO SUPPORT RECYCLING AND ALTERNATIVE  
12 TECHNOLOGIES. AND A COMBINED LANDFILL WOULD MEAN THAT SUNSHINE  
13 CANYON POTENTIALLY COULD COULD CLOSE SOONER, AND I'D THINK  
14 THAT THE LOCAL COMMUNITY WOULD APPRECIATE THAT. AND, AS A  
15 LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF THE VALLEY, I HOPE THE BOARD SEES THE  
16 LOGIC OF COMBINING THE SEPARATE CITY AND COUNTY LANDFILLS INTO  
17 A SINGLE OPERATION. I HOPE YOU APPROVE THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P.  
18 I DON'T KNOW WHY WE HAVE TWO AND I THINK ONE IS BETTER AND  
19 THANK YOU.

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU, MARLENE. WAYNE TSUDA. WAYNE  
22 TSUDA. MS. GALANTER.

23

24 **RUTH GALANTER:** THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON. IT'S NICE TO SEE YOU  
25 ALL AGAIN. I'M SPEAKING FOR MYSELF TODAY. I AM NOT



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 REPRESENTING ANYBODY BUT ME. MY NAME IS RUTH GALANTER, FOR THE  
2 RECORD. MOST OF YOU HAVE WORKED WITH ME DURING MY 16 YEARS AS  
3 A MEMBER OF THE LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL DURING ALL OF WHICH I  
4 SPENT A GREAT DEAL OF EFFORT TRYING TO IMPROVE RECYCLING,  
5 DIVERTING WASTE FROM THIS LANDFILL AND ALL OTHER LANDFILLS  
6 BUT, WITHOUT REPEATING THE ARGUMENTS THAT YOU'VE ALREADY HEARD  
7 FROM THE OTHER PEOPLE, I WANT TO JUST CALL YOUR ATTENTION TO  
8 THE LETTER I SENT YOU DATED APRIL 24TH, WHICH IS PROBABLY IN  
9 YOUR PACKET, AND ADD TO THAT ONLY THAT WE ARE A LONG WAY FROM  
10 BEING ABLE TO DO WITHOUT LANDFILL CAPACITY AND I THINK THAT  
11 EVERYONE'S BEEN VERY POLITE IN HOW THEY FRAME IT. BUT THE FACT  
12 IS, NOBODY ELSE WANTS OUR GARBAGE AND IT IS A RESPONSIBILITY  
13 WE ARE SIMPLY GOING TO BE STUCK WITH, BOTH IN THE CITY AND IN  
14 THE COUNTY. IT'S MY PERSONAL BELIEF THERE SHOULD NEVER HAVE  
15 BEEN TWO SEPARATE LANDFILLS TO BEGIN WITH BUT YOU'VE HEARD THE  
16 WHOLE HISTORY OF HOW THAT CAME ABOUT AND, FOR ALL THE REASONS  
17 THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN MENTIONED, IT SEEMS TO ME BOTH  
18 ENVIRONMENTALLY AND ECONOMICALLY SENSIBLE TO REPAIR THE  
19 MISTAKE OF HAVING TWO SEPARATE LANDFILLS, TO HAVE A SINGLE  
20 OPERATION, TRY TO KEEP THE COSTS DOWN. AS A TAXPAYER, I WOULD  
21 APPRECIATE THAT A GREAT DEAL. YES, WE NEED TO CONTINUE TO DO  
22 ALL OF THE OTHER EFFORTS TO RECYCLE, TO NOT BUY ALL THAT STUFF  
23 IN THE FIRST PLACE BUT UNTIL WE START REFUSING THINGS THAT  
24 HAVE TOO MUCH PACKAGING, WE ARE SIMPLY GOING TO BE FILLING  
25 LANDFILLS AT A MUCH TOO RAPID RATE AND, WHILE WE'VE GOT THEM,



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WE NEED TO BE ABLE TO USE THEM EFFICIENTLY, SO I THANK YOU FOR  
2 YOUR TIME. AND DO I GET A PRIZE FOR BEING LESS THAN THREE  
3 MINUTES?

4

5 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. GOOD TO SEE YOU. HI,  
6 BRENDAN.

7

8 **BRENDAN HUFFMAN:** GOOD AFTERNOON. I'M BRENDAN HUFFMAN, I'M THE  
9 NEW PRESIDENT OF VICA, THE VALLEY INDUSTRY AND COMMERCE  
10 ASSOCIATION AND I'M ALSO A VALLEY RESIDENT. VICA HAS BEEN A  
11 CONSISTENT SUPPORTER OF THE LANDFILL, PARTICULARLY ITS ROLE IN  
12 MANAGING THE REGION'S WASTE DISPOSAL IN A COST EFFECTIVE  
13 MANNER. WE ARE A BUSINESS ASSOCIATION AND THEREFORE WE CLOSELY  
14 EXAMINE ISSUES THAT IMPACT BUSINESS COSTS AS WELL AS TO THE  
15 TAXPAYER, SUCH AS THE COST OF WASTE DISPOSAL. WE UNDERSTAND  
16 THE CONCERNS THAT HAVE BEEN RAISED BY THE COMMUNITY AS WELL AS  
17 THOSE OF THE OPERATORS OF SUNSHINE CANYON AND WE BELIEVE THAT,  
18 UNTIL A LONG-TERM ALTERNATIVE WASTE DISPOSAL METHOD IS  
19 IDENTIFIED AND IMPLEMENTED, WE MUST CONTINUE TO RELY ON  
20 LANDFILLING FOR SAFE AND EFFECTIVE DISPOSAL. BUSINESSES AND  
21 RESIDENTS IN THE COUNTY ALREADY GENERATE 40,000 TONS OF WASTE  
22 EACH DAY. AN ECONOMIC AND POPULATION FORECAST STRONGLY  
23 INDICATE THAT THESE NUMBERS WILL CONTINUE TO RISE, CREATING AN  
24 EVEN GREATER DEMAND FOR DISPOSAL CAPACITY AND A NEED FOR MORE  
25 RECYCLING. FROM A BUSINESS STANDPOINT, VICA IS NOT CLEAR WHY



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THIS REPLACEMENT C.U.P. HAS BEEN SUCH A LENGTHY, LABOR-  
2 INTENSIVE PROCESS. THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. DOES NOT MAKE THE  
3 LANDFILL LARGER, IT DOES NOT MAKE THE LANDFILL STAY OPEN  
4 LONGER AND IT DOES NOT MEAN THE LANDFILL CAN ACCEPT MORE  
5 TONNAGE. NOT APPROVING A REPLACEMENT C.U.P. ONLY MEANS THAT  
6 THE LANDFILL WILL CONTINUE TO OPERATE AS TWO SEPARATE  
7 ENTITIES, THE CITY SIDE AND THE COUNTY SIDE, BOTH OF WHICH ARE  
8 FULLY PERMITTED AND CURRENTLY OPERATIONAL. AND, FROM WHAT VICA  
9 UNDERSTANDS, IT APPEARS THAT SOME OF THE OPPONENTS BELIEVE  
10 THAT BY NOT APPROVING THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. WILL SOMEHOW STOP  
11 THE LANDFILL FROM OPERATING ENTIRELY. CLEARLY THAT'S NOT THE  
12 CASE. THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. IS SIMPLY A PERMIT THAT  
13 INTEGRATES THE CITY SIDE LANDFILL REGULATIONS AND THE COUNTY  
14 SIDE LANDFILL REGULATIONS, CREATING A SINGLE SET OF STANDARDS  
15 AND REQUIREMENTS. AND, AGAIN, FROM A BUSINESS STANDPOINT, I  
16 CAN ONLY SEE POSITIVES IN A SINGLE OPERATION THAT IS MORE  
17 EFFICIENT AND MORE ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY AND MORE COST  
18 EFFECTIVE AND ONE THAT WILL PROVIDE INCOME TO THE COUNTY TO  
19 PURSUE RECYCLING AND ALTERNATIVE WASTE DISPOSAL TECHNOLOGIES.  
20 ON BEHALF OF THE BUSINESS MEMBERS OF VICA, I ENCOURAGE YOU TO  
21 APPROVE THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. FOR SUNSHINE CANYON CITY/COUNTY  
22 LANDFILL. THANK YOU.

23

24 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. MICHAEL TU AND WAYNE  
25 HUNTER.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1  
2 **VICTOR FRANCO, JR.:** GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS VICTOR FRANCO,  
3 JR., I'M THE SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT OF GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS FOR  
4 CENTRAL CITY ASSOCIATION IN LOS ANGELES. ESTABLISHED IN 1924,  
5 CCA'S 450 MEMBERS EMPLOY ABOUT 300,000 PEOPLE HERE IN THE LOS  
6 ANGELES REGION. CCA SUPPORTS A REVISED CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT  
7 FOR A JOINT CITY AND COUNTY OPERATION OF THIS SUNSHINE CANYON  
8 LANDFILL. WHILE LOS ANGELES CITY AND COUNTY HAVE MADE GREAT  
9 STRIDES IN REDUCTION OF WASTE MATERIALS THROUGH RECYCLING AND  
10 OTHER TRASH DISPOSAL SYSTEMS, OUR NEED FOR A LANDFILL SPACE  
11 STILL EXISTS. A COMBINED OPERATION OF SUNSHINE CANYON WOULD  
12 RESULT IN THE REDUCTION OF AIR POLLUTION AS A SINGLE WORKING  
13 PHASE REDUCES THE AMOUNT OF EQUIPMENT NECESSARY AND PROVIDES A  
14 MORE EFFICIENT TRAFFIC PATTERN FOR TRUCKS. CCA AND ITS MEMBERS  
15 RECOGNIZE THE IMPORTANCE OF WASTE AND TRASH DISPOSAL. WHILE  
16 NOT AS GLAMOROUS OR TOPICAL AS WATER AND POWER DELIVERY, WASTE  
17 DISPOSAL IS A VITAL MUNICIPAL SERVICE. AS OUR POPULATION  
18 CONTINUES TO GROW AT STAGGERING RATES, THE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE  
19 SECTOR MUST DO ITS BEST TO MANAGE OUR GROWING DISPOSAL NEEDS.  
20 A REVISED C.U.P. FOR SUNSHINE CANYON IS NOT A FINAL SOLUTION;  
21 RATHER, A STEP IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION, AS WE LOOK FOR NEW AND  
22 BETTER METHODS OF TRASH DISPOSAL, INCLUDING THE POTENTIAL OF  
23 ENERGY GENERATION. CCA SUPPORTS A REPLACEMENT C.U.P. FOR  
24 SUNSHINE CANYON AND RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS YOUR SUPPORT. THANK  
25 YOU.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU.

3

4 **WAYNE TSUDA:** I'M WAYNE TSUDA AND I WORK FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL  
5 AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND I AM THE  
6 DIRECTOR OF THE LOCAL ENFORCEMENT AGENCY PROGRAM. I AM HERE  
7 NEITHER IN SUPPORT OR IN OPPOSITION OF THE C.U.P.; HOWEVER, I  
8 AM HERE TO REQUEST THAT TWO ITEMS BE REVISED IN THE C.U.P.  
9 ITEM Q IN THE DEFINITION STATES, IN PART, "ANY  
10 RESPONSIBILITIES ASSIGNED TO THE L.E.A. THROUGH THE CONDITIONS  
11 OF THIS GRANT, WHICH ARE NOT BY LAW THE PREROGATIVE OF THE  
12 L.E.A., SHALL BE PERFORMED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH  
13 SERVICES SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM." WE RECOMMEND THAT  
14 THE LAST PART OF THAT, THE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM  
15 REFERENCE, BE DELETED AND THE REASON FOR THAT IS THAT, WHEN  
16 THE J.P.A. AND FINALLY WHEN A PERMIT IS ISSUED FOR THE  
17 FACILITY, IT WILL HAVE TO BE ISSUED BY AN L.E.A. THAT IS  
18 FORMED BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE COUNTY STAFF. IT WOULD BE AN  
19 ENTIRELY SEPARATE L.E.A. AND A REFERENCE TO THE SOLID WASTE  
20 MANAGEMENT PROGRAM OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES WOULD  
21 BE CONFUSING. SO THAT SHOULD BE ELIMINATED. SECONDLY, IF THERE  
22 ARE HEALTH ISSUES, AND THERE HAVE BEEN IN THE PAST, HEALTH  
23 ISSUES INVESTIGATED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES, THAT  
24 WOULD BE FINE BECAUSE THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES IS THE  
25 CITY'S HEALTH DEPARTMENT, SO, AGAIN, THE REFERENCE TO THE





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM WOULD BE REDUNDANT, IT WOULD  
2 FOCUS ATTENTION BACK ON THE L.E.A., WHO WOULD NOT BE THE LEAD  
3 OF THAT. THE SECOND ITEM CONCERNS CONDITION 16-A AND IT STATES  
4 THAT THE COUNTY L.E.A. PROPOSES TO BE DESIGNATED IN ANY J.P.A.  
5 AS THE LEAD AGENCY FOR ALL SOLID WASTE PERMIT ACTIVITIES AND  
6 THE SINGLE POINT OF CONTACT FOR CORDONING FOR ALL PERMITTING,  
7 INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT AT THE FACILITY. THIS, AGAIN,  
8 CANNOT BE A CONDITION OF THIS PERMIT BECAUSE THE L.E.A. WOULD  
9 BE FORMED FROM BOTH THE COUNTY AND THE CITY INTO AN ENTIRELY  
10 SEPARATE L.E.A. AND, AGAIN, THE REFERENCE BACK TO THE COUNTY  
11 L.E.A. WOULD BE CONFUSING AND IT WOULD BE INCORRECT.

12  
13 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU.

14  
15 **SUP. MOLINA:** MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD I ASK A QUESTION JUST ON THAT  
16 BECAUSE THAT'S ONE OF THE QUESTIONS I HAD IS ABOUT  
17 JURISDICTION OF ENFORCEMENT. IS THAT CORRECT, WHAT WAS JUST  
18 STATED?

19  
20 **FRANK MENESES:** WE WERE SIMPLY RECITING WHAT THE CITY HAS  
21 PLACED IN THEIR OWN PERMIT. THEY HAVE PROPOSED TO BE THE LEAD  
22 IN ANY SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT-- SOLID WASTE FACILITY'S PERMIT  
23 APPROVAL AS THE L.E.A., AS THE LEAD L.E.A., SO WE DON'T SEE  
24 THAT AS A MAJOR ISSUE. WE SEE THAT AS SOMETHING THAT CAN BE  
25 RESOLVED WHEN THE JOINT POWERS AGREEMENT IS DONE OR AT THE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 TIME THAT THE L.E.A. IS FORMED. WE CAN'T MAKE IT A CONDITION  
2 IN THIS CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT.

3

4 **SUP. MOLINA:** AND SO THAT, RIGHT NOW, WE'RE NOT GOING TO  
5 DETERMINE THAT AT ALL, THAT IS GOING TO BE DETERMINED IN  
6 NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE CITY AND THE COUNTY SHOULD JUST MOVE  
7 FORWARD?

8

9 **FRANK MENESES:** THAT'S CORRECT. THAT'S A SUBORDINATE PERMIT TO  
10 WHICH YOU'RE CONSIDERING TODAY.

11

12 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** DR. WAYNE ALLER AND JOE STRINGER. YES,  
13 MICHAEL.

14

15 **MICHAEL TU:** GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF THE  
16 BOARD. MY NAME IS MICHAEL TU, I'M POLICY DEPUTY FOR  
17 CONGRESSMAN BRAD SHERMAN AND WOULD LIKE TO READ A BRIEF  
18 STATEMENT ON HIS BEHALF TO YOU. I URGE THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
19 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO CLOSELY SCRUTINIZE BROWNING-FERRIS  
20 INDUSTRIES' APPEAL OF THE DENIAL OF A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT  
21 APPLICATION TO REPLACE THE EXISTING C.U.P. FOR THE SUNSHINE  
22 CANYON LANDFILL. I'M PLEASED THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HAS  
23 AFFORDED THE COMMUNITY AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS THIS OPPORTUNITY  
24 TO EXPRESS OUR OPPOSITION TO THE NEW COUNTY C.U.P. SHOULD THE  
25 BOARD DECIDE TO OVERTURN THE DENIAL OF THE C.U.P., I URGE THE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BOARD TO AFFIRM A CLOSURE DATE AND/OR TONNAGE LIMIT AT THE  
2 LANDFILL, AS WELL AS ADDRESS THE ISSUE OF THE OPERATORS POST-  
3 CLOSURE FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AND ADOPT THE MOST STRINGENT  
4 CONDITIONS CURRENTLY IN EFFECT, NOT ONLY IN THE COUNTY BUT  
5 FROM THE CITY SIDE EXPANSION AS WELL. STRICT CONDITIONS WILL  
6 PROTECT THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES AND HELP CLARIFY BFI'S  
7 FINANCIAL LIABILITIES AND ITS ACCOUNTABILITY TO THE TAXPAYERS.  
8 SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFILL IS LOCATED IN MY CONGRESSIONAL  
9 DISTRICT AND IS IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY  
10 GROUNDWATER BASIN AND FACILITIES THAT SUPPLY MUCH OF THE  
11 DRINKING WATER TO SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. LANDFILL IS ALSO  
12 LOCATED THREE MILES FROM THE HISTORICALLY ACTIVE SAN FERNANDO  
13 SIERRA MADRE FAULT, 6.2 MILES FROM THE NORTHRIDGE BLIND THRUST  
14 FAULT AND SITS INSIDE THE HEEL OF THE SANTA SUZANNA FAULT,  
15 BOTH ENDS OF WHICH ARE ALSO HISTORICALLY ACTIVE. THE  
16 POSSIBILITY OF A LANDFILL FAILURE AT SUNSHINE CANYON IS VERY  
17 REAL AND WOULD HAVE DISASTROUS PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
18 CONSEQUENCES. IN THE HIGHLY POPULATED SETTING OF THE SAN  
19 FERNANDO VALLEY, CLEAN-UP COSTS COULD EASILY EXCEED 100  
20 MILLION. AS THE SITUATION NOW STANDS, LANDFILL OPERATORS ARE  
21 ONLY REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN INSURANCE AT THE POST-CLOSURE  
22 PERIOD, WHICH IS GENERALLY THREE YEARS. THAT REQUIREMENT IS  
23 INADEQUATE TO GUARD AGAINST THE TRUE, LONG-TERM DANGERS TO THE  
24 ENVIRONMENT AND RESULTING FINANCIAL LIABILITY POSED BY  
25 LANDFILLS BECAUSE LANDFILLS REMAIN A THREAT TO THE ENVIRONMENT



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 LONG AFTER THE POST-CLOSURE PERIOD. INSURANCE OBLIGATIONS  
2 SHOULD THEREFORE NOT TERMINATE AFTER 30 YEARS. AS A RESULT OF  
3 THESE ONGOING CONCERNS, I'M REQUESTING THAT THE U.S.  
4 GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE REVIEW AND REPORT ON THE U.S.  
5 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY'S FINANCIAL ASSURANCE  
6 PROCEDURES FOR MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE LANDFILLS. THE PURPOSE OF  
7 THIS IS TO DETERMINE WHETHER THESE RULES PROTECT THE AMERICAN  
8 TAXPAYER FROM THE COSTS OF MAINTENANCE AND CORRECTIVE ACTION  
9 AFTER THE POST-CLOSURE PERIOD ENDS AND DETERMINE THE MAGNITUDE  
10 OF THE RISK THE TAXPAYERS MAY FACE UNDER THE RANGE OF  
11 POTENTIAL SCENARIOS. AGAIN, IF THE BOARD SHOULD DECIDE TO  
12 OVERRIDE THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION'S DECISION, IT  
13 SHOULD, AT A MINIMUM, IMPOSE RESTRICTIONS THAT (1) ASSERT A  
14 CLOSURE DATE AND/OR TONNAGE LIMIT, ADDRESS THE OPERATORS  
15 FINANCIAL ASSURANCE OBLIGATIONS FOLLOWING THE POST-CLOSURE  
16 PERIOD AND INTERPRET THE STRICTEST REGULATIONS FOR LANDFILL  
17 OPERATIONS. THIS WILL PROTECT THE TAXPAYERS AND LOCAL  
18 GOVERNMENT WHO COULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR MILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN  
19 REMEDIATION COSTS. THANK YOU FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY TO LEND MY  
20 VOICE TO AN IMPORTANT ISSUE IN THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY. PLEASE  
21 KEEP ME APPRISED DURING YOUR DECISION-MAKING PROCESS. THANK  
22 YOU.

23  
24 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU, MICHAEL. RALPH KROY.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **WADE HUNTER:** THANK YOU. MY NAME IS WADE HUNTER, I'M THE  
2   PRESIDENT OF THE NORTH VALLEY COALITION. WE ARE OPPOSED TO THE  
3   GRANTING OF THE NEW C.U.P. BUT, IF THIS BOARD DECIDES  
4   OTHERWISE, THEN WE ASK THAT YOU HEED OUR CONCERNS. I WANT TO  
5   REMIND THIS BOARD THAT YOU ONLY ASKED THE CITY TO APPROVE A 20  
6   MILLION TON LANDFILL ON THE CITY SIDE. INSTEAD, THEY APPROVED  
7   A 55 MILLION TON IN THE CITY AND 18 MILLION IN THE BRIDGE  
8   AREA, FAR IN EXCESS OF YOUR EXPECTATIONS. CERTAINLY MINE. AND  
9   I AM CONCERNED THAT THE CLOSURE DATES BEING CONSIDERED ARE FAR  
10  IN EXCESS OF WHAT WOULD HAVE BEEN ASSIGNED HAD THE APPROVED  
11  CITY/COUNTY APPROVALS BEEN KNOWN. INDEED, PUBLIC WORKS WOULD  
12  HAVE USED THE COMBINED PERMITTED DAILY INFLOW DIVIDED INTO THE  
13  TOTAL TONNAGE AVAILABLE AS DETERMINED BY THE AVAILABLE AIR  
14  SPACE IN ORDER TO ARRIVE AT A SITE LIFE. CERTAINLY NOT ONE  
15  BASED ON CURRENT INFLOW. LEAKING LINERS, FLARES THAT DON'T  
16  MEET AQMD STANDARDS, VIOLATIONS, TRASH BLOWING OVER OUR  
17  STREETS AND FREEWAYS AND PEOPLE SICK WITH ASTHMA AND CANCER -  
18  THIS IS WHAT YOUR APPROVAL WOULD CONTINUE TO GIVE US. IN  
19  FAIRNESS TO THE RESIDENTS, WE NEED A SHORTER TIME, NOT A  
20  LONGER TIME AND WE NEED THE MOST STRINGENT CONDITIONS, BOTH  
21  COUNTY AND CITY COMBINED, TO PROTECT THE HEALTH, SAFETY AND  
22  WELFARE OF THE RESIDENTS OF THE AREA. THANK YOU.  
23  
24  **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU, WADE. BILL CORCORAN. BILL  
25  CORCORAN. YES.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **WAYNE ALLER:** MY NAME IS WAYNE ALLER, I REPRESENT THE CITY AND

3 THE COUNTY, SUNSHINE CANNON CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEES. AND,

4 AS THE SECRETARY OF THE CITY'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE, I'M A

5 LITTLE DISAPPOINTED THAT WE NEVER HEARD A RESPONSE FROM YOU TO

6 OUR LETTER OF MAY 15TH IN WHICH WE MAKE 15 POINTS, ONE OF

7 WHICH IS THAT THE MOST RESTRICTIVE CONDITIONS FROM THE CITY

8 AND THE COUNTY C.U.P.S BE ADOPTED IN THE COMBINED CITY/COUNTY

9 C.U.P. I, LIKE WADE, WHO PRECEDED ME IN TALKING, AM

10 DISAPPOINTED THAT THE ENVISIONING THAT WENT ON WHEN THE

11 TONNAGE WAS AGREED UPON BY THE COUNTY DIDN'T IMAGINE THE CITY

12 EXPANSION AND I WOULD LIKE TO CALL FOR SOME SORT OF A DATE

13 CERTAIN OR A TIME CERTAIN LIMIT ON HOW MUCH-- HOW MANY YEARS,

14 HOW MANY DECADES TRASH CAN BE HAULED INTO OUR AREA. I THINK

15 IT'S SORT OF FUNNY THAT I HEARD-- I THINK I HEARD THAT THE

16 CITY CLAIMS THAT THE TRAFFIC MITIGATION PROBLEM HAS BEEN MET.

17 I LIVE IN THAT AREA AND, BELIEVE ME, THE TRAFFIC MITIGATION

18 HAS NOT BEEN MET, AND WE ALL KNOW THAT ASTHMA AND HEART

19 ATTACKS ARE THE RESULT OF DIESEL PARTICULATES. THE TRAFFIC IS

20 ALREADY CAUSING JUST NIGHTMARES. IN FACT, IT'S SORT OF IRONIC

21 THAT THE COUNTY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE NO LONGER EVEN

22 MEETS AT THE LANDFILL. THE TRAFFIC IS SO BAD, WE CAN'T GET

23 THERE. WE'RE NOW MEETING AT A RESTAURANT CLOSER TO WHERE MOST

24 OF US LIVE. IT'S A NIGHTMARE AND IT'S GOING TO GET WORSE.

25 THERE ARE ALTERNATIVES IN SEVERAL RESPECTS. THERE'S, AS YOU



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 PROBABLY KNOW FROM THE WORK OF GREG SMITH'S RENEW L.A., THERE  
2 ARE ALTERNATIVE TRASH USES, ENERGY, THERE'S NEW TECHNIQUES,  
3 ANAEROBIC DIGESTION, WHICH ARE QUITE AMAZING, THAT WE SHOULD  
4 BE EXPLORING AND UTILIZING. THERE'S RAIL HAUL TO MESQUITE. I  
5 VISITED MESQUITE. THEY WANT OUR BUSINESS. BROWLY IS--  
6 UNEMPLOYMENT RATE IS VERY HIGH. WE SHOULD BE SERIOUSLY  
7 CONSIDERING ALTERNATIVES. IT'S GOING TO INCREASE OUR TAXES A  
8 BIT TO DO THIS BUT ARE HUMAN LIVES WORTH A FEW EXTRA DOLLARS  
9 PER YEAR? I THINK THEY ARE. SO I WOULD LIKE TO FINALLY JUST  
10 MENTION THE POST-CLOSURE ISSUE, THE FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY.  
11 WE ARE BUILDING THE COUNTRY'S LARGEST LANDFILL, 300 FEET ABOVE  
12 AND ABOUT A HALF MILE AWAY FROM THE LARGEST WATER SUPPLY IN  
13 THE UNITED STATES, 19 MILLION PEOPLE SERVED BY THAT WATER. MY  
14 SON WAS ON THE STAFF AT CAL TECH DURING THE NORTHRIDGE  
15 EARTHQUAKE. HE AND LUCY JONES TELL ME THAT THAT'S THE MOST  
16 SEISMICALLY ACTIVE AREA IN THE WHOLE UNITED STATES. IF WE HAVE  
17 A THRUST FAULT THERE AND THOSE LINERS BREAK, THE CITY'S ENTIRE  
18 TREATED WATER SUPPLY COULD BE IN JEOPARDY. WE NEED SOME KIND  
19 OF FINANCIAL INSURANCE BEYOND THE 30 YEARS. IT'S GOING TO COST  
20 HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS TO REPAIR THE DAMAGE THAT MAY  
21 WELL HAPPEN. IN FACT, IT'S LIKELY TO HAPPEN, I THINK. OKAY?  
22 THANK YOU.  
23





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. LET ME ASK, IS JOE STRINGER  
2   HERE? YES. ARE YOU JOE? LET ME ALSO CALL UP KIM THOMPSON, KIM  
3   THOMPSON. YES, SIR. OKAY.

4

5   **RALPH KROY:** MY NAME IS RALPH KROY. I'M A MEMBER OF THE NORTH  
6   VALLEY COALITION AND ALSO A MEMBER OF THE CITY SUNSHINE CANYON  
7   C.A.C. I HAVE PROVIDED A STACK OF LITERATURE HERE, I HOPE IT  
8   WAS HANDED OUT, IN REFERENCE TO TRASH AND LITTER. TRASH PICKUP  
9   BY BFI WITHIN ONE-MILE RADIUS OF THE LANDFILL IS NOT ENOUGH.  
10   TRASH BLOWN OUT OF ARRIVING TRUCKS AND DEPARTING VEHICLES IS  
11   LITTERING THE FREEWAY AND ROADS DOWNWIND OF THE EAST OF THE  
12   LANDFILL ON CITY AND CALTRANS PROPERTY. BFI MUST BE MADE TO  
13   CLEAN UP A TWO-MILE RADIUS OR HAVE THE ROADS AND FREEWAYS TO  
14   THE EAST AND SOUTHEAST AS FAR AS THE I-5 AND 405 INTERCHANGE  
15   INCLUDED, AND I HAVE PICTURES THAT SHOW THE TRASH LITTERING  
16   THE AREA. THE ROXFORD ONRAMP AND OFF RAMP, THE CITY-APPROVED  
17   THE CITY SIDE EXPANSION AFTER BFI CLEANED AND IMPROVED THEIR  
18   TURNING RADIUS PROBLEMS AT ROXFORD ONRAMP TO THE SOUTHBOUND I-  
19   5. THE IMPROVEMENTS DID NOT CORRECT THE ONRAMP TO SOUTHBOUND  
20   I-5 NOR THE PROBLEM OF NORTHBOUND TRUCKS TURNING FROM ROXFORD  
21   NORTH TO SEPULVEDA BOULEVARD. THESE PROBLEMS MUST BE CORRECTED  
22   AND THERE ARE PICTURES THAT SHOW THE TRUCKS DRIVING ON THE  
23   WRONG SIDE OF THE ROAD, ENDANGERING ONCOMING VEHICLES. IN  
24   REGARDS TO CONCERNS OF TRAFFIC, MAJOR SUBJECTS OF DISCUSSION  
25   AND CONCERN BEFORE RPC MITIGATION IS INADEQUATE. ACTUAL



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 TRAFFIC COUNTS TAKEN ON MAY 2005 DOCUMENT THAT TRAFFIC IS FAR  
2 WORSE THAN PROJECTED IN THE ADDENDUM. THUS, MITIGATION  
3 PROPOSED IN THE ADDENDUM CLEARLY IS INADEQUATE. ADDITIONAL  
4 MITIGATION BEYOND WHAT IS NOW IN THE C.U.P. IS REQUIRED TO  
5 MITIGATE ACTUAL TRAFFIC IN THE AREA. STAFF CONTENDS THE  
6 TRAFFIC COUNTS THAT NBC AND ITS COALITION PARTNERS SUBMITTED  
7 ARE NOT ACCURATE BECAUSE CALTRANS PROJECT WAS CONDUCTED ON I-5  
8 WHEN THE COUNTS WERE TAKEN. BUT THE LANE CLOSURES ASSOCIATED  
9 WITH THE PROJECT, ONE, OCCURRED AT DIFFERENT TIMES OF THE DAY  
10 WHEN THEIR COUNTS WERE MADE. TWO, THE ENTIRE-- ENTIRELY  
11 DIFFERENT LOCATIONS AT LEAST 2-1/2 MILES AWAY, ANOTHER  
12 EXAMPLE; THUS THE CALTRANS PROJECT DID NOT AFFECT OUR TRAFFIC  
13 STUDY. MORE MITIGATIONS IS REQUIRED. WE PROPOSE LANGUAGE TO BE  
14 ADDED TO CONDITION 56 REQUIRING BFI TO MAKE FUNDS AVAILABLE  
15 FOR ADDITIONAL LANES AT TWO HEAVILY IMPACTED INTERSECTIONS.  
16 ONE, THE INTERSECTION OF SAN FERNANDO ROAD AND SUNSHINE CANYON  
17 ROAD AND, TWO, THE INTERSECTION OF I-5 SOUTHBOUND OFF-RAMP AT  
18 SAN FERNANDO ROAD. IF BFI CAN'T GET APPROVALS FOR THESE LANE  
19 CONDITIONS, THEN IT SHOULD DEPOSIT THE MONEY IN THE  
20 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT TRUST FUND CREATED BY THE C.U.P.  
21 THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

22

23 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU.

24

25 **RALPH KROY:** ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS?



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NOT YET. THERE'LL BE SOME TALK  
3 AFTERWARDS.

4

5 **RALPH KROY:** DID YOU GET THE COPY OF THIS, BY THE WAY?

6

7 **MALE VOICE:** YES, WE HAVE IT.

8

9 **RALPH KROY:** THANK YOU.

10

11 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** DAVID CAMERON. OKAY. YES, SIR.

12

13 **BILL CORCORAN:** GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS BILL CORCORAN, I'M  
14 SENIOR REGIONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
15 FIELD OFFICE OF THE NATIONAL SIERRA CLUB. IT'S A PLEASURE TO  
16 BE HERE TODAY. THE PURPOSE OF THE C.U.P. IS TO ALLOW BFI TO  
17 COMBINE OPERATIONS. THE SIERRA CLUB FEELS IT'S CRITICAL THAT  
18 THE COUNTY C.U.P. NOT PICK AND CHOOSE FROM AMONG THE CITY  
19 CONDITIONS. I'M GOING TO LIMIT MY COMMENTS TO ONE SPECIFIC  
20 ITEM THAT RELATES TO THE LETTER SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH  
21 MENTIONED EARLIER. OTHERS WILL MAKE BROADER COMMENTS THAT  
22 SIERRA CLUB SUPPORTS. IF THE C.U.P. WERE TO ALLOW BFI TO  
23 COMBINE OPERATIONS BUT OMIT ENVIRONMENTALLY PROTECTIVE CITY  
24 CONDITIONS, THEN 5,500 TONS PER DAY OF WASTE THAT WOULD  
25 OTHERWISE BE HAULED TO THE CITY SIDE WHERE THOSE CONDITIONS



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 APPLY COULD INSTEAD BE HAULED TO THE COUNTY SIDE WHERE THEY  
2 WOULD NOT IN THAT SCENARIO. WHY DOES THAT MATTER? EXPERT  
3 TESTIMONY WAS SUPPLIED TO THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION  
4 THAT FOUND THAT, ABSENT ADOPTION OF THOSE STANDARDS, BETWEEN  
5 28.7 AND 93.1 ADDITIONAL TONS OF NOX EMISSIONS AND BETWEEN 0.6  
6 AND 1.8 ADDITIONAL TONS OF PM 10 PARTICULATE WOULD BE RELEASED  
7 PER YEAR. AND I WOULD CALL ATTENTION TO SOME ELEMENTS OF THE  
8 SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT LETTER THAT WAS  
9 REFERENCED EARLIER. THE STAFF RECOMMENDS IN THE LETTER THAT A  
10 MODIFIED C.U.P. INCLUDE A PROVISION THAT WOULD REQUIRE THE  
11 OPERATION OF ALTERNATIVE FUEL TRUCKS AT THE ENTIRE LANDFILL,  
12 CONSISTENT WITH ALTERNATIVE FUEL TRUCK OPERATION REQUIREMENTS  
13 THAT ARE CURRENTLY APPLICABLE TO THE CITY'S SIDE OF THE  
14 LANDFILL. THE LETTER GOES ON TO EXPLAIN THAT ALTERNATIVE FUELS  
15 ARE THE CLEANEST EMISSION CONTROL TECHNOLOGY FOR THE HEAVY-  
16 DUTY VEHICLE SECTOR IN TERMS OF PARTICULATE MATTER AND OXIDES  
17 OF NITROGEN EMISSIONS AND THAT USE OF ALTERNATIVE FUEL  
18 VEHICLES IS TECHNICALLY AND ECONOMICALLY FEASIBLE, SINCE  
19 SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFILL OPERATOR, BFI, CURRENTLY OPERATES  
20 ALTERNATIVE FUELED REFUSE TRUCKS AND, IN FACT, THERE'S  
21 \$500,000 ON THE TABLE FOR AN LNG FUELING STATION FOR BFI FROM  
22 THE SCHUMD. THUS, THE SIERRA CLUB, ALONG WITH OTHERS, PROPOSED  
23 ADOPTION OF A PHASE-IN REQUIREMENT LIKE THAT CONTAINED IN THE  
24 CITY ORDINANCE, WITH ONE SPECIFIC CHANGE. THE CITY  
25 REQUIREMENTS WERE TRIGGERED BY A FEASIBILITY FINDING. THAT WAS



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BACK IN 1999. THAT, FROM OUR POINT OF VIEW, IS NO LONGER  
2 NECESSARY, GIVEN ADVANCES IN TECHNOLOGY, AND IT CAN BE CLEARLY  
3 STATES THAT THE TECHNOLOGY IS TECHNICALLY AND ECONOMICALLY  
4 FEASIBLE AND I APPRECIATE YOUR TIME TODAY. THANK YOU.

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. LET ME ASK JAN CHATTEN-  
7 BROWN. YES. YES, SIR.

8

9 **JOE STRINGER:** GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS JOE STRINGER. I'M A  
10 MEMBER OF THE COMMUNITY GROUP, ACORN, AND OUR LOW AND MODERATE  
11 INCOME MEMBERS HAVE FACED LANDFILLS AND OTHERS HAZARDS IN  
12 OTHER COMMUNITIES FOR OVER 20 YEARS, SO WE KNOW ABOUT THE  
13 DAMAGE OF THESE DUMPS. WE KNOW THAT THE RISK OF THE SUNSHINE  
14 CANYON ARE NOT JUST DAMAGE FOR GRANADA HILLS AND SYLMAR.  
15 BECAUSE THE LANDFILL IS LOCATED SO CLOSE TO THE WATER  
16 SUPPLIES, IT'S A DAMAGE FOR ALL OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. THIS  
17 IS WHY OVER 750 ACORN MEMBERS HAVE SIGNED POSTCARDS, WHICH  
18 THEY'RE HERE, OKAY, YOU HAVE THEM. THANK YOU. THEY WAS TO--  
19 FOR SUPERVISOR BURKE, WHICH SHE'S IN OUR DISTRICT. THANK YOU  
20 VERY MUCH. THE CURRENT PERMIT DOES NOT INCLUDE THE IMPORTANT  
21 SAFETY MEASURES THAT WE NEED TO PROTECT THIS COMMUNITY. UNLESS  
22 ITEMS LIKE A FIRM CLOSE DATE, STRONG ELEMENT STANDARDS AND  
23 FINANCIAL PROTECTION FOR THE COMMUNITY FOR CLEAN-UP COSTS ARE  
24 INCLUDED, THIS PERMIT SHOULD BE REJECTED. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU, SIR. LINDA LYE. YES?

2

3    **KIM THOMPSON:** HI. MY NAME IS KIM THOMPSON AND I'M CHAIR OF  
4    GRANADA HILLS NORTH NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL AND WE REPRESENT  
5    20,600 RESIDENTS IN GRANADA HILLS. AND I WANT TO SAY THAT, YOU  
6    KNOW, WE'VE ALREADY SUBMITTED THIS LETTER AS OF MAY 18TH BUT  
7    WE WERE NOT INCLUDED IN THE RESPONSE TO COMMENTS. I ALSO WANT  
8    TO SAY CONGRATULATIONS TO SUPERVISORS MOLINA AND YAROSLAVSKY.  
9    WE ARE HERE ASKING YOU TO-- I'M JUST GOING TO REITERATE OUR  
10    LETTER-- TO DENY THE APPEAL AND RESTATE OUR OPPOSITION. AND  
11    THEN THE MOST IMPORTANT RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WE WERE-- THAT WE  
12    GET-- THAT WE GAVE TO YOU BEFORE, IN OUR MAY 18TH LETTER, WERE  
13    THAT WE WANT AN EXACT LIMIT BE PLACED ON THE AMOUNT OF TRASH  
14    IN TONNAGE TO BE LANDFILLED ON AN EXACT DATE TO BE GIVEN WHEN  
15    THE LANDFILL IS CLOSED BUT, UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHOULD IT  
16    EXCEED 90 MILLION TONS. WE WISH THAT NO OUT OF COUNTY TRASH IS  
17    ACCEPTED AND THAT THE LIST OF MATERIALS RESTRICTED BY THE CITY  
18    ORDINANCE FROM BEING USED AS ALTERNATIVE DAILY COVER ARE  
19    INCLUDED IN THE LIST OF PROHIBITED WASTES. THE MOST IMPORTANT  
20    THING WE ASK IS THAT MOST STRINGENT CONDITIONS OF THE COMBINED  
21    LANDFILLS MUST BE IMPLEMENTED. I DON'T THINK I HAVE ANYTHING  
22    ELSE TO ADD THAT THE ATTORNEYS WON'T DO IT. THANK YOU.

23

24    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. ARNOLD SACHS. YES.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **DAVID CAMERON:** HI, MY NAME IS DAVID CAMERON AND I'M WITH THE  
2   INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS IN WASHINGTON, D.C.,  
3   AND I'M HERE REPRESENTING THEM AS WELL AS LOCAL 396 IN LOS  
4   ANGELES. TO MY LEFT ON THE WALL, YOU HAVE ENGRAVED THAT THIS  
5   COUNTRY IS FOUNDED ON FREE ENTERPRISE, CHERISH AND HELP  
6   PRESERVE IT TO THE RIGHT, THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF THE PEOPLE,  
7   BY THE PEOPLE AND FOR THE PEOPLE SHALL NOT PERISH FROM THIS  
8   EARTH. AND WE ARE HERE-- YOU ARE HERE TODAY TO BALANCE THOSE  
9   INTERESTS. BUT I WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT, IN ALL DUE RESPECT TO  
10   MR. DAVE EDWARDS OF BROWNING-FERRIS INDUSTRIES, YOU HAVE BEEN  
11   MISLED. HE STATED THAT HE HAS A LETTER FROM LOCAL 396  
12   SUPPORTING THIS EXPANSION AND THE APPROVAL OF THE C.U.P. THAT  
13   WAS THE CASE, THAT IS A LETTER FROM THREE YEARS AGO. MR.  
14   EDWARDS IS CLEARLY AWARE THAT THE TEAMSTERS OPPOSE THE  
15   APPROVAL OF THIS C.U.P. AND HIS STATEMENT TODAY, KNOWING OUR  
16   POSITION, BRINGS INTO QUESTION ALL OF THE LETTERS OF APPROVAL  
17   THAT HE CLAIMS THAT HE HAS FROM CITIZENS IN THIS COMMUNITY.  
18   ONE OF THE PRIMARY GOALS OF THE TEAMSTERS IS TO ENSURE SAFE  
19   AND HEALTHY WORKING CONDITIONS IN AN ENVIRONMENT FOR OUR  
20   MEMBERS AND FOR THE COMMUNITY. AT WEIGH SIGHTS ACROSS THIS  
21   NATION, THE FIRST TO SUFFER FROM UNSAFE AND UNHEALTHY  
22   CONDITIONS ARE THE WORKERS AND THE NEIGHBORING COMMUNITIES.  
23   THE TEAMSTERS' EFFORT AT SUNSHINE CANYON IS PART OF A NATIONAL  
24   STRATEGY TO WORK WITH THE COMMUNITY AND ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS  
25   TO REALIZE A MORE SUSTAINABLE WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM THAT





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 PROVIDES FOR HEALTHIER AND SAFER CONDITIONS FOR THE WORKERS IN  
2 THE COMMUNITIES THAT THEY SERVE. THROUGH OUR WORK WITH THEM,  
3 WE HAVE COME TO THE CONCLUSION THAT SUNSHINE CANYON PERMIT, AS  
4 PRESENTLY CONSTITUTED, POSES AN UNACCEPTABLE RISK TO THE  
5 COMMUNITY. AS A UNION REPRESENTING WORKERS, FRONTLINE  
6 SANITATION WORKERS, WE ARE ACUTELY AWARE OF THESE DANGERS.  
7 SUNSHINE CANYON IS ONE OF THE BIGGEST LANDFILLS IN THE NATION.  
8 THE BIGGER THE LANDFILL, THE HARDER THEY FALL, THE GREATER THE  
9 DANGER TO THE COMMUNITY. WE ARE HERE TO ASK THE LOS ANGELES  
10 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO DENY BFI'S REQUEST FOR A  
11 CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. BARRING THAT, WE SEEK, WITH OUR  
12 PARTNERS IN THE POWER COALITION, STRICT ENVIRONMENTAL  
13 CONDITIONS, FINANCIAL ASSURANCES SO THAT THE COMMUNITY DOES  
14 NOT GET SHAFTED WITH THE BILL DECADES HENCE AND A FIRM CLOSURE  
15 DATE. THANK YOU.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU.

18

19 **JAN CHATTEN-BROWN:** MY NAME IS JAN CHATTEN-BROWN, I AM ONE OF  
20 THE ATTORNEYS REPRESENTING POWER TO PROTECT OUR WATER AND  
21 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES. THAT GROUP IS COMPOSED NOT JUST OF  
22 THE NORTH VALLEY COALITION, WHICH HAS SO LONG FOUGHT THIS  
23 BATTLE BY THEMSELVES AND THE TEAMSTERS, BUT ALSO THE NATURAL  
24 RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL, SIERRA CLUB, PLANNING AND  
25 CONSERVATION LEAGUE, OF WHICH I'M ALSO A BOARD MEMBER, AND THE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 ENVIRONMENTAL LAW FOUNDATION. NOW, THE REASON WE ORIGINALLY--  
2 THE REASON WE ORIGINALLY OPPOSED THE-- URGED YOU TO SUSTAIN  
3 THE POSITION OF THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION WAS BECAUSE  
4 WE BELIEVE THAT IT WOULD BENEFIT THE ULTIMATE CONSOLIDATION TO  
5 HAVE A PERIOD OF MONITORING OF IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S  
6 CONDITIONS. ALTHOUGH THE CITY'S CONDITIONS, MORE STRINGENT  
7 CONDITIONS WERE ADOPTED IN 1999, THE OPERATION DID NOT BEGIN  
8 UNTIL JULY OF 2005 AND THE CITY BELIEVES AND WE SUPPORT THE  
9 CONCEPT THAT HAVING THE BRIDGE IN PLACE WILL HELP MONITOR THE  
10 IMPLEMENTATION. THE SECOND REASON WAS BECAUSE WE BELIEVE THE  
11 STATE NOW RECOGNIZES THE NEED FOR ADDITIONAL FINANCIAL  
12 ASSURANCES AND WE WANT TO BE SURE FINANCIAL ASSURANCES ADOPTED  
13 IN THE FUTURE ARE APPLIED TO SUNSHINE CANYON, ESPECIALLY  
14 BECAUSE OF THE UNIQUE HAZARDS IT PRESENTS. WE RECOGNIZE,  
15 HOWEVER, THAT THERE IS NOT MUCH LIKELIHOOD, WOULD BE AN  
16 UNDERSTATEMENT, THAT WILL BE A DENIAL OF THE AMENDED C.U.P.  
17 AND, THEREFORE, WE THINK IT IS CRITICAL THAT THERE BE A  
18 REASONABLE CLOSURE DATE AND LINDA LYE WILL ADDRESS THAT ISSUE.  
19 THE OTHER ISSUES THAT I WANTED TO ADDRESS IS A CLOSURE DATE  
20 WILL PROVIDE THE IMPETUS TO MOVE TO THE NEXT GENERATION OF  
21 MATERIALS MANAGEMENT. THERE WAS DISCUSSION ABOUT THE NEED FOR  
22 RAIL AND, YES, THAT'S IN THE COUNTY PLANS BUT WE NEED TO MOVE  
23 BEYOND THAT AND WE ARE MOVING BEYOND THAT, AS IS THE CITY, TO  
24 GREATER RECYCLING, BUT ALSO RECOVERY AND CONVERSION. 20 TO 25  
25 YEARS IS A MORE THAN ADEQUATE TIME TO MOVE FULLY TO THAT NEXT



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 GENERATION AND, IF A LONGER DATE IS PROVIDED, IT WILL REDUCE  
2 THE IMPETUS TO DO THE THINGS THAT WE VERY MUCH NEED TO START  
3 DOING MORE AGGRESSIVELY TODAY. THE LAST TWO POINTS IS TO URGE  
4 YOU TO IMPOSE THE MORE STRINGENT CONDITIONS, WHETHER IT'S THE  
5 CITY OR THE COUNTY ISSUE IT, THE MOST STRINGENT CONDITIONS  
6 PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED AND THOSE PROPOSED APPLY NEED TO COVER, IN  
7 THE MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS, MAJOR AS WELL AS ROUTINE  
8 MAINTENANCE AND THE NEED TO ASSURE THAT ANY FUTURE ADOPTED  
9 FINANCIAL ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS BY THE STATE OR FEDERAL  
10 GOVERNMENT APPLY TO THIS CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. THANK YOU  
11 VERY MUCH.

12  
13 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. LET ME CALL UP BECKY  
14 BENDIKSON, B-E-N-D-I-K-S-O-N, AND MARYANNA KIENHOLTZ. YES.

15  
16 **LINDA LYE:** GOOD MORNING. LIND LYE, ALSO ON BEHALF OF THE POWER  
17 COALITION. I WANTED JUST TO ADDRESS THE ISSUE OF CLOSURE DATE.  
18 IT'S CLEAR THAT THE COMMUNITY NEEDS THE PROTECTION OF A FIRM  
19 CLOSURE DATE IN ADDITION TO ALL OF THE REASONS DISCUSSED BY MY  
20 COLLEAGUE, MS. CHATTEN- BROWN. THE QUESTION IS, WHEN SHOULD  
21 THAT CLOSURE DATE BE? OBVIOUSLY, WE'RE IN FAVOR OF AN EARLY  
22 CLOSURE DATE. YOU CAN SEE MY SUNSET SUNSHINE 2016 STICKER,  
23 BUT, AS A MATTER OF LAW AND AS A MATTER OF FAIRNESS, THAT  
24 CLOSURE DATE SHOULD BE NO FURTHER OUT THAN 25 YEARS FROM NOW  
25 AND LET ME EXPLAIN WHY. AS A MATTER OF FAIRNESS, IF THE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 CLOSURE DATE IS FURTHER THAN 14 YEARS OUT FROM NOW, SUNSHINE  
2 CANYON WILL BE THE LONGEST OPERATING LANDFILL IN THE ENTIRE  
3 COUNTY. IT WILL OUTSTRIP PUENTE HILLS WHICH, WHEN IT CLOSURES IN  
4 2013, AS IS REQUIRED BY ITS C.U.P., WILL HAVE BEEN IN  
5 OPERATION FOR 57 YEARS. ONCE WE GET TO 2020, SUNSHINE WILL  
6 HAVE BEEN IN OPERATION FOR 57 YEARS. AS A MATTER OF LAW, IT IS  
7 CRITICAL THAT THE CLOSURE DATE NOT BE PASSED 25 YEARS-- PAST  
8 THE RANGE OF 25 YEARS OR THERE WILL BE A VIOLATION OF THE  
9 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT. THE-- NO S.E.I.R. WAS  
10 PREPARED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS PROPOSED C.U.P. THE S.E.I.R.  
11 THAT WAS PREPARED WITH THE LAST SET OF APPROVALS ON THIS  
12 LANDFILL SAID THAT THE PROJECT WOULD HAVE A 90 MILLION TON  
13 CAPACITY AND CALCULATED ITS SITE LIFE BASED ON AN 11,000 TON  
14 PER DAY INTAKE RATE. THE CONCERN THAT WE RAISED BELOW IS THAT  
15 THE LACK OF A FIRM CLOSURE DATE IN THE C.U.P. WOULD MEAN THAT  
16 THIS FACILITY COULD BE IN OPERATION FOR SUBSTANTIALLY LONGER  
17 THAN WAS REPRESENTED IN THE S.E.I.R BECAUSE OF THE CHANGES IN  
18 TECHNOLOGIES, WHICH ALLOW SUBSTANTIALLY MORE THAN 90 MILLION  
19 TONS TO BE FIT WITHIN THE PERMITTED AIR SPACE OF SUNSHINE  
20 CANYON. EXPERT TESTIMONY WE HAVE SUBMITTED SHOWS THAT ACTUALLY  
21 THE CAPACITY OF THE FACILITY IS MORE LIKE 147 MILLION TONS.  
22 SO, ABSENT A FIRM CLOSURE DATE AND OUR TONNAGE LIMITATION, THE  
23 PROJECT, THIS PROJECT WILL BE SUBSTANTIALLY DIFFERENT FROM  
24 WHAT WAS ACTUALLY ANALYZED IN THE S.E.I.R AND, BECAUSE NO  
25 S.E.I.R WAS PREPARED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS PROPOSED C.U.P.,



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THERE WILL HAVE BEEN A VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA  
2 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT. IF WE KEEP BFI TO ITS LIMIT OF 90  
3 MILLION TONS AND THE WASTE DISPOSED TO DATE, WE CAN CALCULATE  
4 THE DIFFERENT SITE LIFES AND FIGURE OUT WHAT IS A FAIR CLOSURE  
5 DATE FROM NOW. 22 YEARS FROM NOW WOULD BE AN 11,000 TON PER  
6 DAY INTAKE RATE. THAT WAS THE INTAKE RATE THAT WAS USED IN THE  
7 S.E.I.R. 22 YEARS. 25 YEARS WOULD BE NINE THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED  
8 AND 15 THOUSAND TONS PER DAY AND, WHILE DIFFERENT FROM WHAT  
9 WAS IN-- CALCULATED IN THE S.E.I.R., IS AT LEAST SOMEWHAT  
10 WITHIN THE RANGE. WE UNDERSTAND THAT THERE'S A PROPOSAL ON THE  
11 TABLE FOR 40 YEARS FROM NOW. THAT, HOWEVER, WOULD ASSUME AN  
12 INTAKE RATE OF 6,000 TONS PER DAY, WHICH IS SUBSTANTIALLY,  
13 SUBSTANTIALLY DIFFERENT FROM WHAT WAS ANALYZED IN CALCULATING  
14 SITE LIFE IN THE S.E.I.R AND, IF THE PROJECT IS ALLOWED TO GO  
15 FORWARD WITH A 40-YEAR CLOSURE DATE, THEN THE PROJECT WILL BE  
16 SUBSTANTIALLY DIFFERENT FROM WHAT WAS PREVIOUSLY ANALYZED AND  
17 THE FAILURE TO PREPARE AN S.E.I.R. IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS  
18 C.U.P. WILL VIOLATE C.E.Q.A.; THEREFORE, WE URGE A CLOSURE  
19 DATE ABSOLUTELY NO LATER THAN 25 YEARS FROM NOW BUT IN NO  
20 EVENT SHOULD MORE THAN 90 MILLION TONS BE DEPOSITED AND THAT'S  
21 A MATTER OF FAIRNESS AND C.E.Q.A. THANK YOU.

22  
23 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. KNABE:** SUPERVISOR, COULD-- YEAH, THE C.E.Q.A. VIOLATION,  
2   NEVER HEARD OF THAT.

3

4   **RICHARD WEISS:** MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, WE  
5   RESPECTFULLY DISAGREE WITH THAT CONCLUSION. THE COUNTY'S  
6   ORIGINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THIS PROJECT BACK IN  
7   1991, WHEN THE BOARD FIRST APPROVED THE PROJECT, CONSIDERED A  
8   PROJECT ABOVE 215 MILLION TONS BETWEEN A CITY AND COUNTY  
9   COMBINED LANDFILL. WE BELIEVE THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL  
10   DOCUMENTATION, BOTH THE COUNTY'S S.E.I.R AND THE CITY'S  
11   SUBSEQUENT E.I.R., WOULD SUPPORT A LANDFILL-- REVISION TO THIS  
12   LANDFILL CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT THAT WOULD GIVE YOUR BOARD THE  
13   LATITUDE TO HAVE A CLOSURE DATE THAT WENT OUT AS FAR AS 40  
14   YEARS, ASSUMING THERE WAS SOME INTEREST IN DOING THAT.

15

16   **LINDA LYE:** MAY I RESPOND TO THAT, PLEASE? THE E.I.R. THAT WAS  
17   PREPARED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ORIGINAL 1993 C.U.P., THE ONE  
18   THAT THE COUNTY COUNSEL REFERRED TO, WHAT WAS PUT TOWARD IN  
19   THE DRAFT E.I.R. WAS A 215 MILLION TON FACILITY. THERE WAS AN  
20   ALTERNATIVE ANALYZED OF A SMALLER COUNTY-ONLY FACILITY. THAT  
21   WAS WHAT THIS BOARD ADOPTED IN 1993. SO TO SAY THAT YOU CAN  
22   RELY ON THE 215 MILLION TON PROPOSAL THAT WAS INITIALLY  
23   FORWARDED IN THE ORIGINAL E.I.R. WHEN THE COUNTY ADOPTED A  
24   DIFFERENT, SMALLER ONE BECAUSE IT WOULD HAVE SMALLER IMPACTS  
25   IS BIZARRE.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE TO THAT?

3

4 **RICHARD WEISS:** I WAS NOT SUGGESTING THAT THE BOARD WOULD  
5 APPROVE 215 MILLION TONS. WHAT IS WAS SUGGESTING WAS THAT THE  
6 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION ASSESSED A PROJECT THAT WAS  
7 SUBSTANTIALLY MORE THAN 90 MILLION AND YOU'RE NOT...

8

9 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I'M SORRY? ASSESSED A PROJECT...

10

11 **RICHARD WEISS:** OF SUBSTANTIALLY MORE THAN 90 MILLION TONS  
12 TOTAL AND YOU'RE NOT CONSTRAINED JUST TO 90 MILLION TONS.

13

14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** DID THE BOARD THEN CERTIFY-- WHAT DID IT  
15 CERTIFY AT THE TIME AFTER EVALUATING ALL OF THE ALTERNATIVES?

16

17 **RICHARD WEISS:** THE BOARD CERTIFIED THAT ENVIRONMENTAL  
18 DOCUMENT. THE BOARD DID...

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THE ONE WITH THE 90...

21

22 **RICHARD WEISS:** THE BOARD APPROVED A PROJECT THAT PROVIDED FOR  
23 AN ESTIMATE 90 MILLION TONS.

24





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** IS-- JUST-- I'M ASKING A QUESTION, IS-- ONCE  
2   THE BOARD CERTIFIES AN ALTERNATIVE, DOES IT NEGATE OR MOOT ALL  
3   OF THE OTHER ALTERNATIVES THAT HAVE BEEN EVALUATED OR ARE THEY  
4   STILL LIVE FOR AN INDEFINITE PERIOD OF TIME?

5

6   **RICHARD WEISS:** WELL, WHAT THE BOARD APPROVED AT THE TIME SETS  
7   THE LIMITS FOR THAT PERMIT BUT THAT DOESN'T MEAN THAT THE  
8   ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT COULDN'T SUPPORT REVISIONS TO THAT  
9   PROJECT THAT INVOLVE MORE THAN 90 MILLION TONS.

10

11   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WELL, OF COURSE, THEY COULD HAVE BUT THEY  
12   DIDN'T, SO THEIR THEIR ARGUMENT WOULD BE-- I WOULD IMAGINE  
13   THEIR ARGUMENT WOULD BE THAT THE COUNTY NEVER CERTIFIED THAT  
14   OTHER THING, SO THEREFORE THE ONLY THING BEFORE US, THE ONLY  
15   THING THAT WAS CERTIFIED BY THE COUNTY IN ITS INFINITE WISDOM  
16   IN 1993, WAS THE 90 MILLION TONS. IS THAT WHAT...?

17

18   **RICHARD WEISS:** WELL, NO. THE BOARD CERTIFIED THE ENVIRONMENTAL  
19   IMPACT REPORT THAT ANALYZED A PROJECT OF UP TO 215 MILLION  
20   TONS. THE BOARD CERTIFIED THAT E.I.R. IN ORDER TO SUPPORT A  
21   PROJECT THAT HAPPENED TO INCLUDE 90 MILLION TON, ROUGHLY 90  
22   MILLION TONS CAPACITY.

23

24   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** COULDN'T SOMEBODY, LOOKING FROM A DIFFERENT  
25   POINT OF VIEW, SAY THEY CERTIFIED THE 90 MILLION TONS BECAUSE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THEY HAD DETERMINED THAT THE 250 MILLION TONS WAS NOT  
2 SUPPORTABLE, THAT THEY WOULD NOT MITIGABLE OR, FOR A VARIETY  
3 OF REASONS, DECIDED THAT WAS JUST NOT POSSIBLE SO THEY WENT  
4 FOR THE 90 MILLION, A SMALLER PROJECT AS, IN PART, A  
5 MITIGATION FOR THE IMPACTS OF ALL OF THIS? COULDN'T THAT BE  
6 WHAT THEY WOULD HAVE ARGUE?

7

8 **RICHARD WEISS:** THAT IS POSSIBLE. I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT THE  
9 ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS ADOPTED BY THE BOARD INDICATED THAT NO  
10 MORE THAN 90 MILLION TONS WOULD BE PERMISSIBLE, GIVEN THE  
11 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT.

12

13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** SO WHAT WERE THE FINDINGS THAT THE BOARD  
14 MADE FOR THE 90 MILLION RATHER THAN GOING FOR A HIGHER NUMBER  
15 AT THE TIME?

16

17 **RICHARD WEISS:** AND I CAN ASK DR. KOUTNIK, PERHAPS, TO SUPPORT  
18 THIS. THE BOARD, AT THAT TIME, FELT THAT THAT WAS AN  
19 APPROPRIATE SIZED PROJECT, GIVEN THE PRACTICALITIES OF A  
20 COUNTY AND A CITY LANDFILL.

21

22 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WHAT WERE THE FINDINGS?

23



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **DR. DARYL KOUTNIK:** I ACTUALLY DON'T RECALL THE FINDINGS. I  
2 KNOW THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT WAS CERTIFIED FOR THE  
3 LARGER...

4

5 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I HAVE A SNEAKING SUSPICION THAT THEY MAY  
6 REMEMBER THE FINDINGS.

7

8 **LINDA LYE:** I HAVE SOME IN MY BRIEFCASE. CAN I GET THEM?

9

10 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** OH, NO, NO. I'M SORRY, YOU'RE RIGHT.

11

12 **DR. DARYL KOUTNIK:** THE CERTIFICATION WAS FOR THE ORIGINAL  
13 ANALYSIS OF THE LARGER LANDFILL, ALTHOUGH, AT THE TIME, THE  
14 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DID NOT, AS A LAND USE DECISION, ASSUME  
15 THAT THAT WAS A CORRECT DECISION FOR THE COUNTY TO MAKE, SO  
16 THEY APPROVED A SMALLER PROJECT AS FAR AS COMBINED CITY/COUNTY  
17 LANDFILL.

18

19 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** DID YOU SAY THEY CERTIFIED THE LARGER  
20 PROJECT?

21

22 **DR. DARYL KOUTNIK:** YES.

23

24 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** IS THAT-- THAT'S NOT WHAT OUR LAWYER SAID.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **RICHARD WEISS:** THE BOARD CERTIFIES AN ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT.  
2   THE BOARD THEN APPROVES A PROJECT, BASED ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL  
3   DOCUMENT. THE BOARD-- IN OTHER CONTEXTS, FOR EXAMPLE, AN  
4   ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT COULD BE PREPARED TO SUPPORT UP TO 500  
5   HOMES IN A SUBDIVISION. THE BOARD MAY DECIDE ONLY TO APPROVE  
6   300 HOMES ON DAY ONE. THE BOARD MAY CONSIDER REVISIONS TO THAT  
7   PERMIT AT SOME SUBSEQUENT TIME TO APPROVE MORE HOMES WITHIN  
8   THE ENVELOPE OF THAT ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT, WHICH HAD  
9   ASSESSED A LARGER NUMBER. IT WOULD BE UP TO THE BOARD TO  
10   DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT THAT WAS FULLY ADEQUATE.

11

12   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I'VE GOT TO TELL YOU, I'M NOT A LAWYER BUT  
13   I'VE NEVER HEARD OF SUCH A THING. I MEAN, LET'S-- BECAUSE--  
14   AND I APPRECIATE YOU USING THE HOME EXAMPLE BECAUSE IT'S THE  
15   ONE WITH WHICH MOST OF US ARE MORE FAMILIAR. IF YOU HAD A  
16   PROJECT OR AN APPLICATION FOR 500 HOMES AND A DECISION MAKING  
17   BODY SAID IT'S GOING TO BE 300, THAT DOESN'T ENTITLE THE  
18   DEVELOPER TO COME BACK 20 YEARS LATER, 10 YEARS LATER, RELYING  
19   ON A PREVIOUS E.I.R. THAT WAS NOT CERTIFIED FOR 500 HOMES, AND  
20   SAY, BY THE WAY THEY REVIEWED 500, SO I'D LIKE TO GO TO  
21   ANOTHER 200. YOU HAVE TO GO THROUGH A WHOLE NEW PROCESS, DO  
22   YOU NOT?

23

24   **RICHARD WEISS:** ABSOLUTELY. I'M NOT SUGGESTING IT'S A MATTER OF  
25   RIGHT. I'M SUGGESTING THAT THAT ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT, WHICH



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 HAD ANALYZED A LARGER PROJECT, COULD STILL SUPPORT A  
2 DISCRETIONARY DECISION TO ADD MORE CAPACITY.

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** BUT WE WOULD SUPERSEDE IT WITH THE  
5 ACTION THAT WE HAD TAKEN BECAUSE THE ACTION THAT WE HAD TAKEN,  
6 WE LIMITED IT TO 300 HOMES WITH THE DIFFERENT TYPES OF  
7 MITIGATION THAT WE IMPLIED. I MEAN, WE JUST HAD A CASE HERE  
8 WHERE A PERSON OR WE PUT ASIDE OPEN SPACE TRIED TO COME IN AND  
9 BUILD HOUSING ON THAT AND WE SAID, NO, WE ALREADY CONDITIONED  
10 THAT AS OPEN SPACE.

11

12 **RICHARD WEISS:** YES, MR. MAYOR, BUT MY POINT IS THAT  
13 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT CREATES AN ENVELOPE AND, IF THE  
14 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT, IT IS DONE CORRECTLY, THE BOARD IS  
15 AUTHORIZED TO APPROVE A PROJECT THAT GOES TO THAT ENVELOPE. IF  
16 THE BOARD DECIDES TO APPROVE A LESSER PROJECT, THAT DOES NOT  
17 MEAN THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT COULD NOT BE USED FOR  
18 SUBSEQUENT DECISIONS THAT WOULD BE DISCRETIONARY DECISIONS...

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** ALL RIGHT. HOW LONG CAN AN ENVIRONMENTAL  
21 DOCUMENT BE USED? 20 YEARS? 10 YEARS? 30 YEARS?

22

23 **RICHARD WEISS:** THERE IS NO SET TIME PERIOD. AN ENVIRONMENTAL  
24 DOCUMENT CAN BE USED AS LONG AS IT STILL ACCURATELY REFLECTS  
25 THE ENVIRONMENT.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** OKAY. IN THE LAST TEN YEARS, HAVE THERE BEEN  
3 ANY MEANINGFUL CHANGES IN THE NORTH SAN FERNANDO VALLEY AREA,  
4 THE RIM OF THE VALLEY WHERE-- THAT MIGHT REQUIRE AT LEAST A--  
5 WHAT DO YOU CALL IT...

6

7 **RICHARD WEISS:** SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENT DOCUMENT.

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** ...SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT?

10

11 **RICHARD WEISS:** THAT CERTAINLY WAS THE QUESTION THAT WAS POSED  
12 TO STAFF UNDER THE PLANNING COMMISSION WHEN THIS PROJECT WAS  
13 FIRST PROPOSED. YOUR BOARD APPROVED AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT  
14 REPORT THAT WENT BACK TO 1993. THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES CREATED  
15 A SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT THAT WAS CERTIFIED IN  
16 1999 AND AN ANALYSIS WAS DONE BY STAFF AS TO WHETHER OR NOT  
17 THOSE DOCUMENTS WERE STILL ACCURATE AND WHETHER OR NOT THEY  
18 STILL FAIRLY DESCRIBED THE ENVIRONMENTAL CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER  
19 WHICH THE PROJECT, AS PROPOSED NOW, EXISTS.

20

21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** AND WE'RE RELYING ON A DOCUMENT THAT  
22 DESCRIBES AN AREA 13 YEARS AGO, CORRECT?

23

24 **RICHARD WEISS:** WE ARE...

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THAT'S WHAT WE'RE BEING ASKED TO DO?

2

3    **RICHARD WEISS:** WE ARE RELYING, IN PART, ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL  
4    IMPACT REPORT THAT THE BOARD ADOPTED IN 1993, AS WELL AS THE  
5    SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT RELIED UPON BY THE CITY  
6    IN 1999. AND AN ANALYSIS WAS DONE TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT  
7    INFORMATION WAS STILL ACCURATE. STAFF DETERMINED THAT, AFTER  
8    DOING AN ANALYSIS, THAT, IN FACT, THAT CIRCUMSTANCES HAD NOT  
9    SIGNIFICANTLY CHANGED, THAT THE PROJECT DID NOT CREATE ANY NEW  
10    SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS OR SIGNIFICANTLY GREATER IMPACTS THAN WHAT  
11    HAD BEEN ANALYZED IN THOSE TWO DOCUMENTS.

12

13    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YOU KNOW, THERE WAS A CASE LIKE THIS, I  
14    DON'T REMEMBER WHAT IT WAS, I'M LOSING MY MEMORY HERE BUT I  
15    REMEMBER MY RESPONSE TO A SIMILAR ARGUMENT TO THIS WHICH IS,  
16    IT'S LIKE SAYING TO SOMEBODY WHO HAS GONE TO A-- WHO WENT TO  
17    THE DOCTOR IN 1993 AND GOT ONE OF THOSE THREE DIMENSIONAL  
18    SCANS OF THEIR HEART AND IT SHOWED NO BLOCKAGES ANYWHERE, 13  
19    YEARS LATER, THERE'S SOME PAIN IN THE HEART AND THE DOCTOR  
20    SAYS, "WELL, WE'LL RELY ON THE 1993 SCAN," WITHOUT TO THE FACT  
21    THAT YOUR... [ APPLAUSE ]

22

23    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** ...13 YEARS, THAT THINGS HAVE CHANGED. I  
24    MEAN, I'M NOT GOING TO ARGUE WITH YOU, RICK, BECAUSE YOU'RE A  
25    GOOD LAWYER.





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** JUST LOOK AT THE POPULATION AND THE  
3 TRAFFIC.

4

5 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** MY INSTINCTS TELL ME THAT-- REASON TELLS ME  
6 THAT THINGS HAVE CHANGED AND THAT YOU-- I'VE ALWAYS BEEN--  
7 MAYBE I'M MISTAKEN BUT I'VE ALWAYS BEEN UNDER THE IMPRESSION  
8 THAT YOU HAVE-- THAT THERE'S A LIMIT ON HOW LONG YOU CAN USE  
9 AN ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT. MAYBE IT'S JUST A PRACTICAL LIMIT,  
10 MAYBE THERE IS NO LEGAL LIMIT.

11

12 **RICHARD WEISS:** THERE IS NO SET TIME PERIOD IN WHICH AN  
13 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT CAN BE USED. IN SOME CONTEXTS, IT MAY  
14 NOT BE USEFUL FOR MORE THAN A SHORT PERIOD OF TIME. IN OTHERS--  
15 - AND IF I MAY, AND I'M NOT TRYING TO ARGUE, EITHER, BUT GIVEN  
16 YOUR ANALOGY, WHAT I'M TELLING YOU IS THAT, WHEN STAFF SET OUT  
17 TO EVALUATE THIS PROJECT, THEY TOOK ANOTHER THREE DIMENSIONAL  
18 PICTURE OF THE HEART TO MAKE SURE THAT THINGS HAD NOT  
19 SUBSTANTIALLY CHANGED FROM WHAT THEY HAD ANALYZED BEFORE AND  
20 THAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF THE ANALYSIS HERE AND STAFF  
21 DETERMINED, AND WE BELIEVE LEGALLY IT'S DEFENSIBLE, THAT,  
22 GIVEN WHAT IS IN FRONT OF THE BOARD, THIS IS NOT-- IN ESSENCE,  
23 THIS IS NOT AN INCREASE OF THE PROJECT. THIS IS TRYING TO  
24 HARMONIZE TWO APPROVALS THAT THE BOARD AND THE CITY COUNCIL  
25 HAVE GIVEN. THERE'S NO INCREASE IN THE SIZE OF THE LANDFILL,



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   THERE'S NO INCREASE IN THE AMOUNT OF DAILY TONNAGE CAPACITY  
2   THAT, GIVEN THAT AND GIVEN THE FACT THAT THERE HAS BEEN NO  
3   SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE IN THE CIRCUMSTANCES, AND REASONABLE PEOPLE  
4   CAN DISAGREE BUT THAT'S NONETHELESS WHAT WAS CONSIDERED, WE  
5   BELIEVE THAT THE LEGAL CRITERIA FOR CREATION OF A-- OR FOR A  
6   REQUIRED CREATION OF A SUBSEQUENT E.I.R. WAS NOT MET AND AN  
7   ADDENDUM WAS PREPARED AND WE BELIEVE THAT'S LEGALLY ADEQUATE  
8   IF YOUR BOARD CHOOSES TO APPROVE THIS PROPOSAL.

9

10   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YOU HAD A-- YOU LOOKED FOR A PIECE OF  
11   PAPER.

12

13   **LINDA LYE:** I JUST WANTED TO READ FROM THE FINDINGS ABOUT WHAT  
14   WAS APPROVED. THIS IS THE DOCUMENT CALLED "ADDITIONAL  
15   INFORMATION AND ANALYSIS REGARDING THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT  
16   REPORT FOR THE SUNSHINE LANDFILL EXTENSION DATED APRIL 1993."  
17   ONE OF THE PRIMARY ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE COUNTY IN RESPONSE TO  
18   CONCERNS RAISED DURING THE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS WAS TO  
19   APPROVE A 215-ACRE LANDFILL FILLING FOOTPRINT WITH AN  
20   APPROXIMATE DISPOSAL CAPACITY OF 16.9 MILLION TONS, INSTEAD OF  
21   OVER 500 ACRES WITHIN APPROXIMATELY DISPOSAL OF 70 MILLION  
22   TONS, AS REQUESTED BY THE PROJECT APPLICANT. BY TAILORING THE  
23   PROJECT APPROVALS TO THIS REDUCED LANDFILL FOOTPRINT, THE  
24   COUNTY WILL RETAIN CONTROL OVER ANY SUBSEQUENT EXPANSION OF  
25   THE LANDFILL. IF THE PROJECT APPLICANT SEEKS PERMISSION FROM



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THE COUNTY FOR AN EXPANSION OF THE LANDFILL WITHIN THE  
2 UNINCORPORATED AREA OF THE COUNTY, FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL  
3 REVIEW WILL BE REQUIRED AS NECESSARY. SO THE ORIGINAL PROJECT  
4 THAT WAS PROPOSED WAS...

5

6 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WHAT HE'S SAYING IS THAT FURTHER  
7 ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW WAS DONE. IT MAY NOT BE TO YOUR  
8 SATISFACTION BUT HE'S SAYING IT WAS DONE AND, AS HE SAID,  
9 REASONABLE PEOPLE CAN DIFFER ABOUT WHETHER IT'S ADEQUATE, BUT  
10 HE SAYS IT WAS DONE.

11

12 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU.

13

14 **LINDA LYE:** THANK YOU.

15

16 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.

17

18 **ARNOLD SACHS:** GOOD AFTERNOON. ARNOLD SACHS. I'M JUST READING  
19 THE SUMMARY...

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.

22

23 **ARNOLD SACHS:** ARNOLD SACHS. I'M JUST READING THE SUMMARY OF  
24 THE RECENTLY USED PERMIT CASE AND THEY WANT TO INCREASE DAILY  
25 INTAKE FROM 6,000 TONS TO 12,100 AND A WEEKLY INCREASE-- TO



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 MAKE IT FROM A WEEKLY INCREASE FROM 36,000 TO 66,000. THE  
2 NUMBERS JUST DON'T ADD UP. YOU HAVE 12,100 TONS DAILY TIMES  
3 SIX, THAT'S 72,600. I JUST DON'T KNOW WHY NOBODY SUGGESTS THE  
4 BASIC NUMBERS OF THE SUMMARY HERE. THAT'S IT.

5

6 **FRANK MENESES:** I BELIEVE I CAN ANSWER THAT. THE 11,000 TONS  
7 PER DAY REFERS TO TYPICAL TRASH, WHEREAS THE 12,100 INCLUDES  
8 EXEMPT MATERIALS SUCH AS DIRT AND MATERIALS THAT WOULD BE USED  
9 FOR BENEFICIAL USES, SO THAT ADDITIONAL 1,100 WOULD INCLUDE  
10 THE CITY'S ALLOWANCE FOR THAT AS WELL AS THE CITY.

11

12 **ARNOLD SACHS:** WELL, IT'S ACTUALLY-- IT'S AN ACTUAL 6,000 EXTRA  
13 TONS, YOU'RE GOING FROM 6,000 TO 12,000, DOUBLING IT.

14

15 **FRANK MENESES:** THE 11,000 INCLUDES THE CITIES AND THE  
16 COUNTIES, 6,000 AND 5,000. THAT'S 11,000.

17

18 **ARNOLD SACHS:** THERE'S NO 11,000 IN THIS SUMMARY. I'M JUST  
19 READING THE NUMBERS RIGHT FROM THE SUMMARY HERE. INTAKE FROM  
20 6,000 TONS PER DAY TO 12,100 TONS PER DAY. INCREASING THE  
21 WEEKLY INTAKE FROM 36,000 TO 66,000.

22

23 **FRANK MENESES:** WELL, ON THE COUNTY SIDE, THERE'S ONLY 6,000  
24 RIGHT NOW. BUT, ON THE CITY SIDE, THERE'S 5,000 AND WHAT THEY



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WANT TO DO IS COMBINE THE TWO SO THAT THEY CAN HAVE BOTH  
2 11,000 ON THE COUNTY OR THE CITY.

3

4 **ARNOLD SACHS:** AGAIN, I UNDERSTAND BUT THE NUMBERS THAT ARE IN  
5 THE SUMMARY...

6

7 **SUP. MOLINA:** CAN YOU JUST EITHER AGREE WITH THEM? IS THAT WHAT  
8 YOUR CONCERN IS, THAT THE MATH IS INCORRECT?

9

10 **ARNOLD SACHS:** EXACTLY. THE MATH IS INCORRECT.

11

12 **SPEAKER:** WE CAN EXPLAIN IT TO YOU LATER ON AND CLARIFY IT.

13

14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** CAN YOU GET AN EXPLANATION TO HIM?

15

16 **FRANK MENESES:** I WAS TRYING TO. RIGHT NOW, ON THE COUNTY SIDE,  
17 THEY'RE APPROVED FOR 6,000 TONS PER DAY. ON THE CITY SIDE,  
18 THEY HAVE 5,000 TONS PER DAY. THIS PROPOSAL IS TO COMBINE  
19 THOSE TWO, WHICH WOULD ADD UP TO 11,000, AND THEN THEY COULD  
20 USE THAT 11,000 ON EITHER THE CITY OR THE COUNTY. WHEN YOU  
21 REFER TO THE 12,100, THAT WOULD INCLUDE EXEMPT MATERIALS ON  
22 BOTH THE COUNTY AND THE CITY SIDE, SO THE 11,000 IS JUST  
23 TRASH. 12,100 INCLUDES TRASH AND EXEMPT MATERIALS.

24

25 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **ARNOLD SACHS:** THANK YOU.

3

4 **FRANK MENESES:** YOU'RE WELCOME.

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** CHERIE MANN.

7

8 **BECKY BENDIKSON:** I'M BECKY BENDIKSON. SOMEBODY CALLED MY NAME.

9

10 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. YOU'RE UP.

11

12 **BECKY BENDIKSON:** OKAY. GOOD AFTERNOON, MAYOR ANTONOVICH AND  
13 SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS BECKY BENDIKSON. I'M HONORED TO HAVE  
14 BEEN ASKED BY MARY EDWARDS TO BE HER VOICE HERE TODAY. AND  
15 THIS IS MARY'S TESTIMONY. FOR DECADES NOW, I HAVE COME BEFORE  
16 YOU IN THE HOPE OF CHANGING THE APPROVALS THAT SO DEEPLY  
17 IMPACTED THE LIVES OF MY NEIGHBORS. I HAVE CANCER NOW AND THIS  
18 IS THE LAST TIME MY VOICE WILL BE HEARD IN THIS CHAMBER. SO I  
19 ASK YOUR INDULGENCE TO GIVE ME TIME TO SAY WHAT SO DESPERATELY  
20 NEEDS TO BE SAID. ... (VOICE WAVERING) ... OF COURSE, INITIALLY,  
21 I NAIVELY THOUGHT NO ONE WOULD CITE A GIANT LANDFILL NEXT TO  
22 THE LARGEST WATER TREATMENT FACILITY IN THE UNITED STATES AND,  
23 IN THE PROCESS, DESTROY A DESIGNATED SIGNIFICANT ECOLOGICAL  
24 AREA, VALUABLE WETLANDS AND BRING DOWN THOUSANDS OF OAK TREES,  
25 NOW OVER 3,000 AND COUNTING, TREES WHICH THE FORESTRY SERVICE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 IDENTIFIED AS THE DENSEST HARDWOOD FOREST IN THE COUNTY. I  
2 NEVER BELIEVED THAT A CORPORATION COULD INFLUENCE THOSE WHOM I  
3 BELIEVE TO BE THE THOUGHTFUL AND GOOD ELECTED OFFICIALS THAT  
4 CONTROL THE SYSTEM AND WOULD NEVER APPROVE THE PROJECT. I SOON  
5 FOUND OUT THE BOTTOM LINE WAS CORPORATE PROFIT AND THAT THE  
6 BOTTOM LINE WOULD BE AGGRESSIVELY AND RUTHLESSLY PURSUED AT  
7 THE EXPENSE OF THE TRUTH AND THE HEALTH OF OUR COMMUNITY. NOW  
8 BFI IS SAYING THAT OUR COMMUNITY IS HEALTHY, BASED ON AN  
9 ENTIRELY INADEQUATE SURVEY, BUT WE KNOW THAT IT IS NOT. I AM  
10 AN OLD WOMAN AND CANCER MIGHT BE EXPECTED IN MY AGE GROUP BUT  
11 I HAVE WATCHED AS THIS SCOURGE HAS DEVASTATED OUR COMMUNITY.  
12 OVER THE YEARS, I HAVE HELD TOO MANY HANDS AND BROUGHT TOO  
13 MANY CUPS OF SOUP TO MY NEIGHBORS NOT TO KNOW THAT THIS WAS  
14 MORE THAN CIRCUMSTANCE. NO ONE EVER WANTED TO BELIEVE THIS  
15 UNTIL IT BECAME UNDENIABLE. THERE ARE SIX PROPERTIES THAT  
16 ADJOIN MINE AND, OUT OF THAT SIX, THERE HAVE BEEN SEVEN CANCER  
17 DEATHS TO PEOPLE IN THEIR 40S AND EARLY 50S. IN ALL BUT ONE  
18 CASE, THE FAMILIES HAVE MOVED AWAY AND NO RECORD EXISTS OF  
19 THEIR HAVING LIVED THERE. WE KNOW THAT REAL ESTATE AGENTS ARE  
20 NOT REQUIRED TO REPORT CANCER AND NONE ARE GOING TO INFORM  
21 BUYERS OF ITS EXISTENCE IN THE COMMUNITY. WITHIN JUST THE LAST  
22 WEEKS, THERE HAVE BEEN TWO DEATHS IN THE STAFF OF THE SCHOOL  
23 ACROSS THE STREET FROM US. THE TAYLORS HAVE JUST BROUGHT THEIR  
24 SON HOME WITH ADVANCED CANCER. THERE HAVE BEEN A FEW SURVIVORS  
25 AND LUCKILY TWO OF MY CHILDREN ARE AMONG THEM. MY CHILDREN NOW





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 LIVE IN THEIR OWN HOMES AND WOULD NEVER HAVE BEEN RECORDED AS  
2 HAVING LIVED IN THE AREA. IF IT IS YOUR INTENTION TO SENTENCE  
3 ANOTHER GENERATION TO WHAT THIS PROJECT PRODUCES, PLEASE THINK  
4 OF US. AFTER ALMOST 50 YEARS OF OPERATION, PLEASE PUT IN PLACE  
5 THE TIME RESTRICTIONS WE HAVE REQUESTED. PLEASE MOVE  
6 VIGOROUSLY AND COURAGEOUSLY TOWARD REQUIRING THE CORRECT  
7 CITING, ALTERNATIVE TECHNOLOGIES AND CONVERSION FACILITIES.  
8 PLEASE REQUIRE THE AQMD TO SET THE HIGHEST STANDARDS AVAILABLE  
9 FOR DIESEL VEHICLES. THIS MONTH, BFI IS PLANNING TO REQUEST A  
10 VARIANCE AGAIN TO PUT OVER APPROXIMATELY 6.5 MORE POUNDS OF  
11 REACTIVE ORGANIC GASES INTO OUR AIR. I AM CALLED TO  
12 FORGIVENESS AND THAT I EXTEND WILLINGLY TO EVERYONE, INCLUDING  
13 THOSE WITH WHOM I HAVE SO DEEPLY DIFFERED. I KNOW THAT THERE  
14 IS GOOD IN EACH PERSON AND I ASK YOU TO EXAMINE YOUR HEARTS TO  
15 FIND THAT PLACE. GIVE US A DATE CERTAIN TO CLOSE THIS LANDFILL  
16 AND PROTECTION OF OUR HEALTH. THANK YOU.

17  
18 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. YES, MA'AM. [ APPLAUSE ]

19  
20 **MARYANNA KIENHOLTZ:** I'M MARYANNA KIENHOLTZ AND I'M A 38-YEAR  
21 RESIDENT OF GRANADA HILLS. I LIVE IN THE AREA WHERE THE HEALTH  
22 SURVEY WAS DONE. I WAS NOT INTERVIEWED. I HAVE ASTHMA AND I  
23 HAD A 40-YEAR-OLD DAUGHTER WHO WAS DIAGNOSED WITH CANCER. BUT  
24 I'M HERE TODAY TO SUPPORT THOSE THAT HAVE SPOKEN BEFORE ME TO  
25 OPPOSE THIS ISSUANCE OF THE C.U.P. AND OF UTMOST IMPORTANCE IS



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THAT WE HAVE A CLOSURE DATE. IT SEEMS THAT EVERYTHING THAT WE  
2 DO IN RECYCLING OR TRYING TO GET RID OF THIS TRASH WE WILL BE  
3 PENALIZED IF THERE IS NOT A CLOSING DATE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

4

5 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU.

6

7 **CHERIE MANN:** MY NAME IS CHERIE MANN. I LIVE NOT NEAR THE  
8 LANDFILL, BUT SOUTH BUT THE ISSUE TO ME I FOUND INTERESTING  
9 LISTENING TO THOSE WHO SUPPORT THE PERMIT PROPOSED TODAY.  
10 THEY'RE BUSINESS PEOPLE AND, FROM AN ECONOMIC POINT OF VIEW,  
11 IT MIGHT NOT BE WORTHY. HOWEVER, THE BUSINESS AND COMMERCIAL  
12 PROPERTIES OF THE VERY PEOPLE WHO DO NOT RECYCLE, IT WOULD  
13 MAKE A GREAT DEAL OF SENSE THAT BUSINESS NEVER WANTS CLOSURE.  
14 I THINK THEY HAVE TO WORK MORE-- BUSINESS HAS TO REVISE THEIR  
15 THINKING. QUALITY OF LIFE STILL IS IMPORTANT. BUSINESS IS  
16 VITAL. CALIFORNIA, FORTUNATELY, IS DOING-- IS DOING WELL.  
17 COMPARED TO THE COUNTRY, WE ARE IN A VERY FORTUNATE POSITION.  
18 I DO NOT SUPPORT. WE NEED A CLOSURE DATE AND I DO NOT SUPPORT  
19 THE PERMIT PROPOSED TO YOU TODAY. THANK YOU.

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. THAT CONCLUDES THE  
22 TESTIMONY OF THE PROPONENTS AND OPPONENTS ON THIS PROVISION.  
23 AS YOU KNOW, THE ISSUE HAD BEEN BEFORE THE REGIONAL PLANNING  
24 COMMISSION FIVE TIMES. DURING THE HEARINGS, THE COMMISSION  
25 HEARD EXTENSIVE INFORMATION FROM PUBLIC-- FROM THE PUBLIC.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THEY REVIEWED VOLUMES OF INFORMATION THAT INCLUDED THAT THE  
2 REQUESTED C.U.P. DID NOT MEET THE STATED CRITERIA IN THE  
3 COUNTY'S GENERAL PLAN AND IT WAS VERY IMPORTANT. THE  
4 COMMISSION, THEY HAD A UNANIMOUS VOTE AND THEY FOUND THAT THE  
5 CRITERIA IN THIS PROPOSAL DID NOT MEET THE COUNTY'S GENERAL  
6 PLAN. THEIR FINDINGS IDENTIFIED CONCERNS BOTH ABOUT THE LACK  
7 OF A CLOSURE DATE AND THE TRAFFIC IMPACTS THAT HAD NOT BEEN  
8 MITIGATED TO ACCEPTABLE LEVELS AND THEY CONCLUDED THAT THE  
9 APPLICANT HAD NOT MET THE BURDEN OF PROOF. TODAY'S TESTIMONY  
10 AGAIN PRESENTS-- DOES NOT PRESENT ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION  
11 DEMONSTRATING THAT THE BURDEN OF PROOF HAD BEEN MET, SO THE  
12 MOTION I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE IS THAT WE MOVE THAT THE BOARD  
13 CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND SIGNIFY OUR INTENT TO AFFIRM THE  
14 DECISION, THE MAJORITY DECISION OF THE REGIONAL PLANNING  
15 COMMISSION, IN DENYING CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT 001945 AND  
16 DIRECT COUNTY COUNSEL TO DRAFT FINDINGS FOR DENIAL.

17  
18 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** SECOND.

19  
20 **SUP. KNABE:** YOUR MOTION IS WHAT, TO DENY?

21  
22 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THE MOTION IS TO ACCEPT THE  
23 RECOMMENDATION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, WHICH WAS TO DENY  
24 THE...



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. KNABE:** ROLL CALL.

2

3    **SUP. MOLINA:** HAS IT BEEN SECONDED?

4

5    **SUP. KNABE:** ZEV SECONDED IT.

6

7    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** CALL THE ROLL.

8

9    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

10

11    **SUP. MOLINA:** NO.

12

13    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR BURKE?

14

15    **SUP. BURKE:** NO.

16

17    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

18

19    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** AYE.

20

21    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR KNABE?

22

23    **SUP. KNABE:** NO.

24

25    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** AYE. MOTION FAILS 2-3.

3

4 **SUP. KNABE:** MAYOR, I HAVE A MOTION THAT I'D LIKE MY FOLKS TO  
5 HAND OUT THAT WE CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND INDICATE OUR  
6 INTENT TO APPROVE THE C.U.P. NUMBER 0-194-5, WHICH REPLACES  
7 THE CURRENT C.U.P. NUMBER 86-312, BASED UPON STAFF'S  
8 RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS, WITH THE FOLLOWING REVISED CLOSURE  
9 LANGUAGE TO BE ADDED TO DRAFT CONDITION 13. ASSUMING THAT A  
10 JOINT CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL HAS BECOME OPERATIONAL AND THE  
11 APPLICANT HAS NOT OTHERWISE EXHAUSTED THE AVAILABLE LANDFILL  
12 CAPACITY AS SET FORTH IN THE PERMIT, DURING THE YEAR FOLLOWING  
13 THE 30TH ANNIVERSARY OF THIS GRANT, THE BOARD SHALL AUTHORIZE  
14 A STUDY TO DETERMINE THE REMAINING CAPACITY AUTHORIZED BY THIS  
15 PERMIT FOR THE LANDFILL, PREMISED UPON THE STUDY'S FINDINGS  
16 THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL ESTABLISH A DATE CERTAIN  
17 FOR THE TERMINATION OF THE RECEIPT OF SOLID WASTE AT THE  
18 LANDFILL. IN NO EVENT SHALL THAT DATE EXCEED 40 YEARS.

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** MR. CHAIRMAN?

21

22 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

23



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** CAN I ASK THE REPRESENTATIVES OF BFI TO COME  
2   FORWARD? I HAVE SOME QUESTIONS ON THIS ISSUE, THE CLOSURE  
3   DATE.

4  
5   **MR. EDWARDS:** YES, SIR.

6  
7   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** IN SPEAKING WITH REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CITY  
8   EARLY THIS AFTERNOON BEFORE THE MEETING STARTED, I WAS ADVISED  
9   AND I WANT TO ASK YOU WHETHER YOU CONCUR THAT, AT SOME POINT,  
10   I DON'T KNOW THE DATE, BUT SOME TIME AGO WHEN THIS ITEM WAS  
11   BEFORE THE CITY, NOT THIS LAST TIME BUT EARLIER, THAT BFI  
12   TESTIFIED BEFORE CITY AGENCIES, COMMITTEES IN THE CITY COUNCIL  
13   OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, THAT YOU-- THAT A 20-YEAR CLOSURE  
14   DATE-- I GUESS IT WAS AT THE LAST HEARING, I BELIEVE, IS WHAT  
15   I'M REFERRING TO, THAT A 20-YEAR CLOSURE DATE WOULD BE  
16   ACCEPTABLE TO BFI. IS THAT TRUE?

17  
18   **MR. EDWARDS:** NO, SIR. OUR CURRENT APPROVALS ALLOW US TO FILL  
19   TO LIMITS AND CONTOURS. WE'VE NEVER DISCUSSED A 20-YEAR LIMIT.  
20   IN FACT, THE E.I.R.S THAT WERE DISCUSSED TODAY ESTABLISH AN  
21   ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF TIME AND AN ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF TONNAGE,  
22   ALL OF WHICH EXCEED 20 YEARS AND ARE MORE IN LINE WITH THE  
23   MOTION THAT HAS BEEN PRESENTED TODAY, SO...

24



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** CAN YOU-- DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEA WHY A CITY  
2   REPRESENTATIVE WOULD BE UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT BFI OR ITS  
3   REPRESENTATIVES MADE SUCH A COMMITMENT OR STATEMENT?

4

5   **MR. EDWARDS:** I DON'T KNOW, SUPERVISOR.

6

7   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** IS THERE ANY EXPLANATION AS TO WHY THERE MAY  
8   BE SOME CONFUSION ABOUT THAT?

9

10   **MR. EDWARDS:** I CAN'T ANSWER THAT, SIR. I DON'T KNOW.

11

12   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YOU HAVE NEVER-- BFI HAS NEVER INDICATED OR  
13   INTIMATED OR IMPLIED THAT A 20-YEAR CLOSURE DATE WOULD BE  
14   ACCEPTABLE?

15

16   **MR. EDWARDS:** ABSOLUTELY NOT.

17

18   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** HAVE YOU EVER SAID ANYTHING ABOUT A CLOSURE  
19   DATE IN TERMS OF THE YEARS, THE TIME LIMIT?

20

21   **MR. EDWARDS:** THERE-- NO. I MEAN, WHAT...

22

23   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WHAT IS YOUR POSITION ON A CLOSURE DATE? DO  
24   YOU BELIEVE THAT THERE SHOULDN'T BE ONE AT ALL?

25





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **MR. EDWARDS:** WELL, OUR CURRENT APPROVALS DOES NOT HAVE A  
2   CLOSURE DATE. WE THINK THAT, TO REALLY ACCOMMODATE THE  
3   COMMUNITY AND ALSO TO WORK WITH THE STAFF WHO HAS BEEN TRYING  
4   TO WORK TOWARDS UNIFORM CONDITIONS FOR LANDFILLS, WE'VE WORKED  
5   WITH THEM TO ESTABLISH A DATE THAT IS REASONABLE FOR OUR  
6   OPERATION AND CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVALS THAT WE RECEIVE,  
7   BOTH FROM THE COUNTY AND FROM THE CITY.

8

9   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** DID THE CITY-- I'M NOT CLEAR ON THIS. WAS  
10   THE CITY-- DID THE CITY PUT ANY KIND OF A RECOMMENDED CLOSURE  
11   DATE ON IT OR WERE THEY IN A POSITION TO DO SO?

12

13   **RICHARD WEISS:** I THINK, SUPERVISOR, THAT THE CITY COULD HAVE  
14   PUT IN A CLOSURE DATE BUT MY RECOLLECTION OF READING THE Q  
15   CONDITIONS FROM THE 1999 DECISION IS CONSISTENT WITH MR.  
16   EDWARDS THAT THERE WAS NO SUGGESTED CLOSURE DATE AND THAT THE  
17   LANDFILL WOULD HAVE A USEFUL LIFE BASED ON CAPACITY,  
18   HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL.

19

20   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** LET ME-- IS THERE A SECOND TO KNABE'S  
21   MOTION?

22

23   **SUP. BURKE:** UH... I-- MR. CHAIRMAN?

24

25   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MS. BURKE.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. BURKE:** I WOULD FEEL MUCH MORE COMFORTABLE IF, INSTEAD OF  
3 SAYING THAT THERE WOULD BE A STUDY ON THE 30TH ANNIVERSARY,  
4 THAT WE WOULD SET AS A VERY DEFINITE DATE THAT THE TERMINATION  
5 DATE WOULD BE 30 YEARS. I BELIEVE THAT IF WE'RE GOING TO HAVE  
6 A STUDY, THAT IT SHOULD BE PRIOR TO THE 30 YEARS BUT WITH THE  
7 30 YEARS...

8

9 **SUP. KNABE:** AT WHAT POINT? AT THE 20 OR 25TH YEAR.

10

11 **SUP. BURKE:** I WOULD BE MORE THAN WILLING TO SAY THAT THERE  
12 COULD BE A STUDY AT THE 20TH YEAR OR THE 25TH YEAR AND THAT--  
13 BUT THAT NO EVENT SHOULD IT EXCEED THE 30 YEARS. WITH 30 YEARS  
14 AT 8,000 TONS DAILY, YOU REACH THE 90 MILLION CAPACITY. OF  
15 COURSE, IF YOU HAD A LARGER TONNAGE PER YEAR, IT WOULD BE--  
16 THE CAPACITY WOULD BE BEFORE THAT. NO, THIS IS ASSUMING YOU'RE  
17 WORKING FROM THE 90,000. IF, AT ANY POINT, YOU GO UP TO A  
18 LARGER CAPACITY THAN THE 90,000, THEN OF COURSE IT WOULD BE A  
19 DIFFERENT...

20

21 **SUP. MOLINA:** BUT IT MAY BE TOO LATE.

22

23 **SUP. BURKE:** ...ESTIMATED TIMETABLE BUT I WOULD FEEL MUCH MORE  
24 COMFORTABLE WITH THE 30 YEAR. FROM THE DATE OF GRANT. FROM THE  
25 DATE OF GRANT.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** MR.-- CAN I JUST GET A CLARIFICATION ON  
3 THIS?

4

5 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

6

7 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YOU'RE SAYING THAT 30 YEARS-- I UNDERSTAND  
8 THAT. IF THEY REACH THE, WHAT IS IT, 90 MILLION? IS THAT  
9 THE...

10

11 **RICHARD WEISS:** THE CURRENT LIMITATION IS BASED UPON A VERTICAL  
12 AND HORIZONTAL CONTOURS AND I'M ASSUMING THAT IT'S THE EARLIER  
13 OF WHEN THEY...

14

15 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO FIND OUT EXACTLY, SO  
16 THAT, IF THEY GET TO THAT POINT BEFORE 30 YEARS, IT SHUTS DOWN  
17 BEFORE 30 YEARS. IF THEY DON'T REACH THAT POINT AT 30 YEARS,  
18 IT WILL SHUT DOWN AT 30 YEARS. SO THE EARLIER OF THE TWO. IS  
19 THAT YOUR INTENT?

20

21 **SUP. BURKE:** RIGHT.

22

23 **SUP. KNABE:** IN OTHER WORDS, YOU CHANGE MY MOTION. INSTEAD OF  
24 30TH ANNIVERSARY, IT WOULD BE THE 25TH ANNIVERSARY...

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. BURKE:** RIGHT, MM HM.

2

3    **SUP. KNABE:** ...OR THE YEAR FOLLOWING AND THEN A CLOSURE DATE  
4    OF 40-- I MEAN 30?

5

6    **SUP. BURKE:** 30, RIGHT.

7

8    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WHAT HAPPENS AT 25 YEARS?

9

10   **SUP. BURKE:** WOULD BE A STUDY TO DETERMINE IF THE CONTOURS--  
11   WHERE THEY WERE.

12

13   **FRANK MENESES:** MAYOR ANTONOVICH, IF I COULD INTERJECT HERE.

14

15   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YES, SIR.

16

17   **FRANK MENESES:** WE HAVE TO KEEP IN MIND THAT 55 MILLION TONS OF  
18   THIS IS IN THE CITY AND THIS TERMINATION DATE WOULD NOT APPLY  
19   TO THE CITY, IT WOULD ONLY BE FOR THE 35 MILLION THAT'S IN THE  
20   COUNTY, SO WE WOULDN'T-- THIS TERMINATION DATE WOULD NOT BE  
21   BINDING ON THE CITY. HOWEVER, WITH THE JOINT AGREEMENT THAT  
22   WE'RE ANTICIPATING, THIS IS SOMETHING WE CAN BRING FORWARD  
23   AND, IF THE CITY IS WILLING TO COOPERATE WITH THAT TERMINATION  
24   DATE, THAT MIGHT OCCUR AT THAT TIME.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YOU RAISE A VERY GOOD POINT. DID THE CITY  
2   HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY, WHEN IT CONSIDERED THIS A FEW MONTHS AGO  
3   OR EARLIER THIS YEAR, DID IT HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO PLACE THIS  
4   LIMIT AT THAT TIME? COULD THEY HAVE PUT A TIME LIMIT AT THAT  
5   TIME?

6

7   **FRANK MENESES:** I'M NOT SURE IF THEY COULD HAVE.

8

9   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** MAYBE RICK KNOWS.

10

11   **RICHARD WEISS, COUNSEL:** I'M NOT SURE THAT THE CITY CONSIDERED  
12   THE PERMIT AND THE Q CONDITIONS, PER SE, THIS YEAR BUT, WHEN  
13   THEY DID, I BELIEVE THEY COULD HAVE PUT IN A DATE CERTAIN FOR  
14   THE CESSATION OF LANDFILLING OPERATIONS HAD THEY CHOSEN--  
15   CHOSE TO DO SO BUT, AS I SAID EARLIER, THEY DID NOT.

16

17   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** OKAY. SO WHAT IS THE LEVERAGE THAT WE HAVE  
18   TO ENSURE-- ESSENTIALLY, WHETHER IT'S MR. KNABE'S OR MS.  
19   BURKE'S MOTION, IF THE CITY DOESN'T HAVE A COMPATIBLE CLOSURE  
20   DATE PROVISION, THEN, WHILE IT'S GOOD, IT'S BETTER THAN  
21   NOTHING, IT'S NOT MUCH THAN NOTHING BECAUSE YOU'RE GOING TO  
22   HAVE A DUMP OPERATING ON THE CITY'S SIDE OF THE CITY/COUNTY  
23   LINE, RIGHT? I MEAN, THAT'S WHY YOU'RE CALLING IT TO OUR  
24   ATTENTION. HOW DO WE CONNECT THESE TWO?

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **FRANK MENESES:** AS I MENTIONED, I THINK THROUGH THE JOINT  
2 POWERS AGREEMENT THAT'S REQUIRED...

3

4 **SUP. MOLINA:** IT IS STILL TO BE NEGOTIATED.

5

6 **FRANK MENESES:** THAT'S CORRECT AND THAT HAS TO BE APPROVED BY  
7 THE CITY BEFORE THEY CAN PROCEED TO PHASE II, WHICH PHASE II  
8 IS ESSENTIALLY WHAT ALLOWS THEM TO GO INTO THE COMBINED  
9 CITY/COUNTY...

10

11 **SUP. BURKE:** THE JOINT POWERS WILL COME BACK TO US, WON'T IT?

12

13 **FRANK MENESES:** YES, IT WILL.

14

15 **SUP. BURKE:** SO WE WOULD HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY AGAIN TO, IF IT  
16 WAS AT A TIME THAT WAS MUCH MORE THAN WHAT WE HAD AGREED TO,  
17 THAT WE COULD THEN, AT THAT POINT, NOT APPROVE THE JOINT  
18 POWERS.

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** BUT WHAT IS THE JOINT-- IF THE JOINT POWERS  
21 NEVER GETS APPROVED AND WE APPROVE THIS MOTION AND THIS PERMIT  
22 TODAY, THEN WHAT HAPPENS?

23

24 **FRANK MENESES:** WELL...

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THEY HAVE A DUMP, DON'T THEY? AND THEY  
2   OPERATE IT FOR THE NEXT 30 YEARS OR THEREABOUTS.

3

4   **FRANK MENESES:** WELL, MY BELIEF IS THAT YOU WOULD HAVE TWO  
5   SEPARATE LANDFILLS AND, ONCE THIS-- THE COUNTY LANDFILL  
6   EXHAUSTS, THEN THAT WOULD BE IT BECAUSE THEY COULDN'T PROCEED  
7   TO PHASE II IN THE CITY, WHICH IS THEIR RECOGNITION OF THE  
8   COMBINED CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL WITHOUT A JOINT POWERS  
9   AGREEMENT.

10

11   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** AND THAT IS BECAUSE WHY?

12

13   **FRANK MENESES:** THAT'S IN THE CITY'S OWN CONDITIONS.

14

15   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THAT WERE APPROVED WHEN? IN '99?

16

17   **FRANK MENESES:** THAT'S CORRECT, YES.

18

19   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THEY OUGHT TO BE EXPLORING, IF YOU'RE  
20   GOING TO HAVE A TIME LIMIT, IS A 20-YEAR TIME LIMIT, IF THAT  
21   WENT INTO EXISTENCE, THAT OPERATION OF THE LANDFILL WOULD HAVE  
22   BEEN IN EXISTENCE FOR 57 YEARS. SO IF YOU HAD A, YOU KNOW,  
23   AMEND IT TO CHANGE TO A CLOSURE DATE 2026, WHICH IS 20 YEARS  
24   AFTER THE FINAL BOARD ACTION, BUT I WOULD ALSO SUGGEST THAT WE  
25   HAVE, AS A REQUIREMENT, A DOUBLE LINER FOR THE COUNTY'S SIDE





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 OF LANDFILL, WHICH IS CONSISTENT WITH THE WATER QUALITY  
2 CONTROL BOARD REQUIREMENTS AND ANY EXISTING REQUIREMENTS AS  
3 WELL AS FUTURE REQUIREMENTS THAT MAY BE IMPOSED BY THE  
4 REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD ON THE CITY'S SIDE OF THE  
5 LANDFILL RELATIVE TO A LINER OR LINERS SHOULD BE IMPLEMENTED,  
6 INSTALLED ON THE COUNTY'S SIDE OF THE LANDFILL. NOTHING IN THE  
7 CONDITIONS SHOULD PRECLUDE A LINER OR LINERS THAT ARE MORE  
8 PROTECTIVE THAN THAT REQUIRED FOR THE CITY PORTION OF THE  
9 LANDFILL IF SO REQUIRED BY THE WATER QUALITY BOARD. ALSO, THE-  
10 - FOLLOWING UP ON THE DISCREPANCY WHERE THERE IS A DISCREPANCY  
11 BETWEEN CONDITIONS-- USE PERMIT AND THE CITY'S ORDINANCE,  
12 CONDITION THAT WOULD RESULT IN GREATER PROTECTION FOR THE  
13 SURROUNDING COMMUNITY SHOULD APPLY IF, FOLLOWING APPROVAL THE  
14 COUNTY LOCAL ENFORCEMENT AGENCY DETERMINES THAT THERE ARE  
15 REMAINING DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN CITY AND COUNTY CONDITIONS  
16 THAT WOULD CAUSE OPERATIONAL OVERSIGHT DIFFICULTIES, THOSE  
17 DISCREPANCIES SHOULD BE RESOLVED TO THE REQUIRED J.P.A BETWEEN  
18 THE CITY AND THE COUNTY. AND ALL DISCREPANCIES IDENTIFIED ANY  
19 TIME DURING THE LIFE OF THE GRANT, INCLUDING ALL POST CLOSURE  
20 ACTIVITIES, SHOULD BE RESOLVED IN FAVOR OF THE CONDITION THAT  
21 THE COUNTY LEA DETERMINED OFFERS THE GREATEST PROTECTION TO  
22 THE COMMUNITY. STAFF SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO INCORPORATE INTO  
23 THE FINAL CONDITIONS SPECIFICALLY THE STRICTER CITY CONDITIONS  
24 RELATIVE TO ALTERNATIVE FUEL REQUIREMENTS, HOURS OF OPERATION,  
25 THE COMMUNITY PROTECTION PROGRAM RELATIVE TO PUBLIC NOTICE AND



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 EMERGENCY HOTLINES AND PROHIBITING INTAKE OF CERTAIN SPECIFIED  
2 COVER MATERIALS. THERE SHOULD BE A REVISION OF ANY AND ALL  
3 CONDITIONS, WHERE APPROPRIATE, TO CONFORM TO THE NEW  
4 DEFINITION OF CLOSURE DATE, REVISE THE CONDITIONS TO PROHIBIT  
5 THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS OR ANY OTHER COUNTY EMPLOYEE FROM  
6 AUTHORIZING ANY ACTIVITY THAT WOULD IN ANY WAY CONSTITUTE AN  
7 EXTENSION OF THE CLOSURE DATE AND REVISE A DEFINITION OF  
8 LANDFILL TO CLARIFY THAT THE OPERATOR MAY NOT STOCKPILE DIRT  
9 ABOVE FINAL ELEVATIONS. REVISE CONDITIONS 11 AND 12 TO REQUIRE  
10 THAT THE OPERATOR CORRECT ALL VIOLATIONS AS RAPIDLY AS  
11 POSSIBLE, IN A TIME AND MANNER DETERMINED BY THE DIRECTOR OF  
12 PLANNING BUT IN NO INSTANCE LONGER THAN 30 DAYS. AND REVISE  
13 CONDITION 17-C TO RESTRICT OVERAGES TO NO MORE THAN 313 DAYS  
14 DURING THE TERM OF THE PERMIT. REVISE CONDITIONS 54, 56 AND 57  
15 TO REQUIRE PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE INSTALLED TO THE  
16 SATISFACTION OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS. AND REVISE  
17 CONDITIONS 62 TO INDICATE THAT FUNDS FOR PLANNING STUDIES AND  
18 IMPLEMENTATIONS BE DETERMINED BY THE DIRECTOR OF PLANNING IN  
19 THE FIFTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT. AND ADD A NEW CONDITION  
20 REQUIRING THE OPERATOR TO COMPLY WITH ALL FUTURE APPLICABLE  
21 STATE LAWS CONCERNING POST CLOSURE OF LANDFILLS. AND A NEW  
22 CONDITION: REQUIRE VIDEO MONITORING AT THE WORKING FACE AND AT  
23 THE VEHICLE INSPECTIONS LOCATIONS TO MAINTAIN VIDEO RECORDS  
24 FOR A PERIOD OF NOT MORE THAN-- LESS THAN ONE YEAR.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. MOLINA:** ALL RIGHT. WHERE ARE WE?

2

3    **SUP. BURKE:** HE HAS AN AMENDMENT BUT I DON'T KNOW WHETHER  
4    ANYONE SECONDED IT YET.

5

6    **SUP. MOLINA:** NO. I WANTED TO SECOND YOUR MOTION, THAT'S THE 25  
7    WITH THE STUDY, RIGHT?

8

9    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** RIGHT NOW, THE DISCUSSION IS ON A  
10    CLOSURE DATE AND, AS SUPERVISOR BURKE IS SUGGESTING, A 30-  
11    YEAR-- SUPERVISOR KNABE'S 40-YEAR AND I WAS SUGGESTING A 20-  
12    YEAR CLOSING DATE.

13

14    **SUP. MOLINA:** BUT MS. BURKE, YOU HAVE A MOTION.

15

16    **SUP. BURKE:** WELL, I HAD A MOTION-- I HAD-- ACTUALLY, WHAT I  
17    DID WAS I ASKED SUPERVISOR KNABE IF HE WOULD ACCEPT THE 30 AS  
18    PART OF HIS MOTION.

19

20    **SUP. KNABE:** I CAN ACCEPT THE 30 IF YOU DO THE 25, I MEAN, FOR  
21    THE STUDY, 25TH YEAR.

22

23    **SUP. BURKE:** SO HIS MOTION, HE IS NOW ACCEPTING THE CHANGES  
24    THAT I'M SUGGESTING, WHICH WOULD BE THE 25-YEAR STUDY AND THE  
25    30-YEAR TERMINATION DATE.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. KNABE:** BUT WE STILL DON'T HAVE A SECOND TO MY MOTION.

3

4 **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** YOU'RE SECONDING HIS MOTION, THEN, WITH THAT  
5 CHANGE.

6

7 **SUP. KNABE:** WITH THAT CHANGE.

8

9 **SUP. BURKE:** KNABE'S MOTION WITH THOSE CHANGES.

10

11 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AND I WOULD LIKE TO AMEND THAT TO BE A  
12 20-YEAR CUTOFF AT 2026. THAT WOULD BE MY AMENDMENT TO THE...

13

14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** OKAY, BUT YOUR AMENDMENT IS A LOT MORE THAN  
15 THAT.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WELL, I'M JUST WRITING IT-- WE'RE JUST  
18 TALKING ABOUT RIGHT NOW, CLOSURE, WE'RE DOING AMENDMENTS IN...

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** SO YOU WANT TO AMEND KNABE'S MOTION TO 20  
21 YEARS?

22

23 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** TO THE 20 YEAR. WE CAN DISCUSS THE  
24 OTHERS POINT BY POINT.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I'LL SECOND IT BUT I WANT TO MAKE A  
2    SUGGESTION MAYBE BECAUSE I DON'T THINK YOU'RE GOING TO GET  
3    THREE VOTES FOR 20 BUT MAYBE YOU CAN GET THREE VOTES FOR A  
4    STUDY AT 20 AND A CLOSURE AT 25.

5

6    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR KNABE?

7

8    **SUP. KNABE:** I'M 25 AND 30.

9

10   **SUP. BURKE:** I'M A 25/30.

11

12   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THEN I'LL SECOND YOUR MOTION, MR.  
13   ANTONOVICH'S MOTION.

14

15   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ON-- THE MOTION WOULD BE A 20-YEAR  
16   CLOSURE DATE TO 2026.

17

18   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** OKAY. SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

19

20   **SUP. MOLINA:** NO.

21

22   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR BURKE?

23

24   **SUP. BURKE:** NO.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

2

3 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YES.

4

5 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR KNABE?

6

7 **SUP. KNABE:** NO.

8

9 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

10

11 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** AYE. MOTION FAILS 2-TO-3.

12

13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I'M GOING TO GIVE IT A TRY, ANYWAY, MR.

14 CHAIRMAN. I'M GOING TO MOVE THAT MR. KNABE'S MOTION BE AMENDED

15 FOR A STUDY AT 20 YEARS AND A CLOSURE AT 25 YEARS WITH THE

16 SAME CONDITIONS THAT WE SAID IF THEY REACHED THE APPROVED

17 CAPACITY IN ADVANCE OF THAT, SO WHICHEVER COMES FIRST.

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** I'LL SECOND THAT. CALL THE ROLL.

20

21 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

22

23 **SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR:** NO.

24

25 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR BURKE?



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. BURKE:** NO.

3

4 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

5

6 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YES.

7

8 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR KNABE?

9

10 **SUP. KNABE:** NO.

11

12 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

13

14 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** AYE. THE MOTION FAILS 2-TO-3. DO YOU WANT 26  
15 YEARS?

16

17 **SUP. BURKE:** WE'RE SUPPORTING MR. KNABE'S MOTION.

18

19 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** BEFORE YOU DO, CAN I JUST MAKE SURE WE'RE  
20 CLEAR ON WHAT IT DOES? WHO IS GOING TO WRITE THE CONDITION?  
21 YOU ARE?

22

23 **RICHARD WEISS:** WE WILL DO IT IN CONJUNCTION WITH REGIONAL  
24 PLANNING.

25





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** ARE YOU CLEAR THAT IT IS 30 YEARS OR  
2   WHENEVER THEY REACH THE 90 MILLION TONS IN THE CONTOUR,  
3   WHICHEVER COMES FIRST?

4

5   **RICHARD WEISS:** HOW I WOULD UNDERSTAND IT, AS PRESENTLY STATED,  
6   IS THAT THE MOTION WOULD BE THAT THERE WOULD BE CLOSURE, WHICH  
7   IS, IN ESSENCE, THE STOPPING OF RECEIPT OF WASTE AND OF  
8   BENEFICIAL MATERIAL BECAUSE THERE WILL STILL BE POST-CLOSURE  
9   ACTIVITY THAT GOES ON LONG AFTER THAT BUT IT WOULD BE THE  
10  STOPPING...

11

12  **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT POST-CLOSURE. I'M JUST  
13  TALKING LIKE A LAYMAN HERE.

14

15  **RICHARD WEISS:** WELL, MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THE LANDFILL  
16  WOULD CLOSE ON THE EARLIER OF ACHIEVING THE EXISTING FILL  
17  DESIGN THAT HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE COUNTY OR, IF THAT HASN'T  
18  BEEN REACHED IN 25-- 25 YEARS, THERE WILL BE A STUDY TO  
19  DETERMINE THE REMAINING CAPACITY AND THEN THE BOARD WILL  
20  DETERMINE A CLOSURE DATE WHICH, IN NO EVENT, WILL BE LATER  
21  THAN 30 YEARS AFTER THAT. HOWEVER, IF THE...

22

23  **SUP. BURKE:** 30 YEARS FROM TODAY.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **RICHARD WEISS:** 30 FROM TODAY. FIVE YEARS-- YES, I'M SORRY.

2   THAT'S WHAT I MEANT. I'M SORRY. BUT, IN ANY EVENT...

3

4   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YOU ALMOST GOT A SECOND TO THAT. [ LAUGHTER

5   ]

6

7   **RICHARD WEISS:** BUT, IN ANY EVENT, THAT, IF YOU REACHED THE

8   VOLUME LIMITATIONS, THAT IT WOULD TERMINATE THEN IF THAT

9   OCCURRED FIRST.

10

11   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** CAN I JUST ASK A SILLY QUESTION? WHY DO YOU

12   NEED A STUDY AT 25 YEARS? WHAT DOES THE STUDY ACCOMPLISH?

13   WITHOUT A STUDY, WON'T YOU KNOW YOU'VE REACHED-- WHAT IF YOU

14   REACH CAPACITY AT 19 YEARS? IF YOU DON'T DO A STUDY, ARE YOU

15   SAYING YOU WON'T KNOW OR...?

16

17   **RICHARD WEISS, COUNSEL:** NO. IF WE DETERMINED THAT YOU'VE

18   REACHED THE CAPACITY BASED ON THE HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL

19   LANDFILL DESIGN AND ELEVATIONS, THEN THE PERMIT WOULD

20   TERMINATE AT THAT POINT.

21

22   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** SO WHAT'S THE PURPOSE OF THE STUDY AT 25

23   YEARS?

24



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **RICHARD WEISS:** I BELIEVE THE PURPOSE OF THE STUDY IS TO  
2   DETERMINE HOW MUCH CAPACITY DOES EXIST AT THAT POINT GIVEN THE  
3   ENVELOPE AND THEN THE BOARD MAKES A DECISION HOW MUCH MORE IT  
4   WANTS TO GO, HOW MUCH FURTHER IT WANTS TO GO BUT IN NO EVENT  
5   30 YEARS BEYOND THE BOARD'S APPROVAL AS THE FINAL CLOSURE.

6

7   **SUP. BURKE:** AND THEY HAVE NOT REACHED THE ENVELOPE.

8

9   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WOULD THERE BE AN OBJECTION TO MOVING THAT  
10   STUDY UP SO THAT-- WELL, LET ME ASK THE QUESTION. IF YOU HAVE  
11   A 30-YEAR CLOSURE DATE AND YOU HAD THE STUDY AT 20 YEARS, WHAT  
12   WOULD BE THE HARM?

13

14   **SUP. BURKE:** WELL, THERE'S NO HARM BUT THE ONLY THING IS THAT  
15   YOU'VE ALREADY SAID THAT WHEN-- IF IT FILLS UP, IN OTHER  
16   WORDS, IF YOU MET THAT, IT'S GOING TO CLOSE WHENEVER THAT  
17   HAPPENS. HOWEVER, IF IT HASN'T HAPPENED AT 10, 15, 20 YEARS,  
18   AT 25 YEARS, YOU'RE GOING TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT YOU WANT  
19   TO THEN MAYBE CLOSE IT EARLIER THAN THE 30 YEARS. THAT'S WHAT  
20   THE STUDY DOES. IT GIVES IT A FIVE-YEAR POTENTIAL OF CLOSING  
21   EARLIER BUT, AT THE SAME TIME, YOU HAVE A DEFINITE TIME YOU  
22   KNOW THAT IT'S NOT GOING TO BE OPEN PAST THAT DATE.

23



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** BUT THE STUDY AT 25 IS ONLY TO DETERMINE  
2   WHETHER THEY'VE REACHED THEIR CAPACITY. IT'S NOT TO GIVE THE  
3   BOARD THE DISCRETION TO CLOSE THE LANDFILL AT 26 YEARS.

4

5   **SUP. BURKE:** THE WAY HIS WAS INITIALLY, IT DID. IT DID APPLY TO  
6   THAT.

7

8   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WELL, HOW DO YOU UNDERSTAND IT? DOES THIS  
9   GIVE THE BOARD FULL DISCRETION?

10

11   **SUP. BURKE:** IT SAYS NOT OTHERWISE EXHAUSTED THE AVAILABLE  
12   LANDFILL AS SET FORTH IN THE PERMIT.

13

14   **SUP. KNABE:** THERE MAY BE CAPACITY ISSUES, THERE MAY BE DAILY  
15   TONNAGE ISSUES, WHATEVER IT MAY BE.

16

17   **SUP. BURKE:** YEAH. IF IT'S OTHERWISE EXHAUSTED, THEN IT HAPPENS  
18   BEFORE, ACCORDING TO HIS MOTION.

19

20   **SUP. KNABE:** WELL, WE CAN JUST ELIMINATE THE STUDY AND GO TO 30  
21   YEARS.

22

23   **RICHARD WEISS:** IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT-- AND THE REASON  
24   THAT LANGUAGE IS THERE TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT YOU DON'T GET TO  
25   GO BEYOND THE HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL LIMITATIONS THAT HAVE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BEEN PUT THERE. THAT IS THE OUTSIDE LIMIT. THIS WAS INTENDED  
2 TO HAVE THE BOARD TAKE ANOTHER DISCRETIONARY LOOK AT IT BY  
3 CONDUCTING A STUDY, AT THIS POINT, AT AN EARLIER TIME AND THEN  
4 DETERMINE, GIVEN HOW MUCH CAPACITY REMAINS WITHIN THE  
5 ENVELOPE, AS TO WHEN THE BOARD DECIDES TO PUT THAT HARD  
6 TERMINATION DATE...

7

8 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** ALL RIGHT. IS THE 90-- ASIDE FROM THE  
9 VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL CONTOURS, IS THE 90 MILLION TONS ALSO  
10 PART OF THAT LIMIT?

11

12 **RICHARD WEISS:** NO.

13

14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WHY NOT?

15

16 **RICHARD WEISS:** WELL, IT COULD BE BUT 90 MILLION IS NOWHERE IN  
17 THE PERMIT RIGHT NOW OR IN THE PROPOSED PERMIT AS AN OUTRIGHT  
18 LIMITATION. AGAIN, THE LIMITATION IS NOT BASED NOT ON TOTAL  
19 NUMBER OF TONS THAT ARE ACCEPTED BUT RATHER WHEN THE ELEVATION  
20 AND-- HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ELEVATIONS HAVE BEEN REACHED.

21

22 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** CAN I ASK THE ATTORNEY FOR THE NORTH VALLEY  
23 COALITION TO-- IS IT MISS BROWN? FOR THE NORTH VALLEY  
24 COALITION, NOT FOR THE BFI. I WANT TO HEAR WHAT THEY HAVE TO  
25 SAY TO THIS 90 MILLION TON ISSUE, OKAY? I DON'T KNOW YOUR



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 NAME. I'M SORRY. IF YOU COULD IDENTIFY YOURSELF. I SHOULD KNOW  
2 IT BUT I DON'T.

3

4 **LINDA LYE:** LINDA LYE ON BEHALF OF THE NORTH VALLEY COALITION  
5 AND OTHER GROUPS AS WELL. OUR POSITION IS THAT IT MUST BE TIED  
6 TO TONNAGE OR DATE TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE S.E.I.R. THIS IS  
7 BECAUSE THE S.E.I.R. REPRESENTED THAT THE TOTAL FACILITY WOULD  
8 HAVE A 90 MILLION TON CAPACITY AND CALCULATED A SIGHT LIFE  
9 BASED ON THE INTAKE RATE.

10

11 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WHERE WAS IT REPRESENTED?

12

13 **LINDA LYE:** IT WAS IN-- ALL OVER THE S.E.I.R. DOCUMENTATION IN  
14 DESCRIBING THE PROJECT. THE THING THAT'S VERY TROUBLING IS  
15 THAT THE PERMIT ITSELF IS FRAMED IN TERMS OF AIR SPACE. NOW,  
16 WE HAVE SUBMITTED EVIDENCE THAT INDICATES THAT TECHNOLOGIES  
17 HAVE CHANGED AND COMPACTION RATES HAVE INCREASED SO THAT THE  
18 AIR SPACE, BACK WHEN THIS S.E.I.R. WAS CONDUCTED, THAT, AT  
19 THAT TIME, HAD A PROJECTED CAPACITY OF 90 MILLION TONS NOW CAN  
20 ACCOMMODATE SOMETHING LIKE 147 MILLION TONS. NOW, IF YOU HAVE  
21 147 MILLION TONS GOING INTO THIS FACILITY AND THERE'S NO  
22 CLOSURE DATE, THAT MEANS THE FACILITY WILL BE OPEN FOR  
23 SIGNIFICANTLY LONGER, TRUCKS WILL BE DRAGGING TRASH THROUGH  
24 THAT COMMUNITY FOR SIGNIFICANTLY LONGER, THE COMMUNITY WILL BE  
25 LIVING WITH ALL OF THE IMPACTS AND THE AMOUNT OF WASTE PLACED



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 IN THAT SAME ENVELOPE IS SIGNIFICANTLY GREATER THAN WHAT WAS  
2 ANALYZED IN THE S.E.I.R. WE BELIEVE THIS WOULD CONSTITUTE A  
3 SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE IN THE PROJECT, TRIGGERING THE REQUIREMENT  
4 FOR AN S.E.I.R. BECAUSE THE PROJECT, AS IT IS APPROVED, IS  
5 VERY DIFFERENT FROM WHAT WAS DESCRIBED. THAT'S THE C.E.Q.A.  
6 ARGUMENT AS A BASIC PUBLIC POLICY FAIRNESS ARGUMENT...

7

8 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** OKAY. YOU MADE YOUR POINT. DOES BFI HAVE AN  
9 OBJECTION TO INCLUDING THE 90 MILLION TONS AS PART OF THE  
10 THRESHOLD?

11

12 **MR. EDWARD:** YES, WE WOULD, AND...

13

14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WHY?

15

16 **MR. EDWARD:** THE SIMPLE ANSWER IS THAT, IF YOU HAVE A TIME  
17 REQUIREMENT-- LET'S ASSUME IT'S 20-- 30 YEARS TOTAL. THEN THAT  
18 BECOMES AN OUTSIDE FIGURE, UNLESS YOU HAVE REACHED THE  
19 CONTOUR. THE LANDFILL WAS DEFINED ORIGINALLY OR DESCRIBED BY  
20 CONTOURS, VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL. THE ESTIMATE ON THE COUNTY  
21 SIDE, FOR EXAMPLE, JUST FOR THE CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL, WAS A  
22 HUNDRED MILLION TONS IN 1993 OUT OF THAT ENVELOPE THAT MR.  
23 WEISS TALKED ABOUT. THE CITY ESTIMATE AT THE TIME THAT THE  
24 E.I.R. WAS CERTIFIED, THE S.E.I.R. IN 1999 WAS 90 MILLION  
25 TONS. IN FACT, BASED UPON THE TECHNOLOGY, IF THE 90 MILLION IS





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 EXCEEDED BUT WE HAVE NOT REACHED THE CONTOURS, THEN OPERATIONS  
2 SHOULD CONTINUE WITH THE OUTSIDE CONSTRAINT THEN BEING THE  
3 YEARS, WHETHER YOU SET IT AT 30 YEARS OR BEYOND. SO, YES, WE  
4 WOULD NOT WANT TO ARTIFICIALLY LIMIT ULTIMATELY THE CAPACITY,  
5 IF YOU WILL, IN TERMS OF TIME AND THE USE OF THAT LANDFILL BY  
6 SAYING THAT THE 90 MILLION IS AN ABSOLUTE...

7

8 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** GIVE ME AN EXAMPLE, JUST AN EXAMPLE OF WHAT  
9 KIND OF TECHNOLOGY CHANGES MIGHT OCCUR THAT WOULD DO THAT,  
10 THAT WOULD GET YOU THERE. WELL-- GO AHEAD.

11

12 **DAVE EDWARDS:** WELL, IN RESPONSE TO MISS LYE'S...

13

14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** NO, NO, ANSWER THE QUESTION THAT I JUST  
15 ASKED. GIVE ME AN EXAMPLE OF A TECHNOLOGY THAT MAY ARISE IN  
16 THE NEXT 25 YEARS...

17

18 **SUP. BURKE:** IF HE KNOWS.

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** CAN YOU LET ME ANSWER-- ASK MY QUESTIONS.  
21 PLEASE!

22

23 **SUP. BURKE:** NO, I'M SAYING IF HE KNOWS. I MEAN, GOOD GRIEF, WE  
24 KNOW NEW OIL TECHNOLOGY EVERY DAY.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YVONNE, LET, LET-- LET ME...

2

3   **SUP. BURKE:** IF HE CAN TELL US EVERY TECHNOLOGY THAT'S  
4   AVAILABLE IN THE NEXT 25 YEARS, HE'S REALLY VERY, VERY SMART  
5   BUT, I MEAN, YOU KNOW, YOU CAN ASK YOUR QUESTION BUT...

6

7   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WHY THANK YOU VERY MUCH! I'M SO HONORED TO  
8   BE ABLE TO ASK MY QUESTION! THAT WAS WHAT YESTERDAY WAS ALL  
9   ABOUT, MY RIGHT TO ASK THAT QUESTION.

10

11   **SUP. BURKE:** ABSOLUTELY.

12

13   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** SO COULD YOU PLEASE DO YOUR BEST?

14

15   **DAVE EDWARDS:** I'VE BEEN IN THE BUSINESS FOR A LONG TIME. I DO  
16   NOT FORESEE A SUBSTANTIAL BETTERMENT IN TECHNOLOGY THAT IS  
17   GOING TO RESULT IN A SUBSTANTIAL INCREASE. THERE WILL BE SOME  
18   INCREASE BECAUSE OF NEW EQUIPMENT BUT, SUBSTANTIAL, I MEAN,  
19   LIKE MS. BURKE SAID, I WOULD BE GUESSING AT ANY ADVANCED  
20   TECHNOLOGY THAT'S GOING TO GIVE A TREMENDOUS INCREASE IN  
21   COMPACTION. BUT I CAN TELL YOU THAT OUR ORIGINAL APPROVALS,  
22   AND I'LL GO BACK A LITTLE BIT, BUT OUR ORIGINAL APPROVALS DID  
23   NOT HAVE-- I MEAN, EXCUSE ME, OUR APPROVALS-- OUR APPROVALS  
24   WERE BASED UPON AN ESTIMATED TONNAGE OF 90 MILLION, OKAY? WITH  
25   INCREASED COMPACTIONS, WHICH, AS A COUNTY CONDITION, WE ARE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 REQUIRED TO MAXIMIZE CAPACITY AND MAXIMIZE COMPACTION IN ORDER  
2 TO FULLY UTILIZE THE ASSET THAT WE HAVE, WHEN EVALUATING THAT,  
3 THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND THE CITY COUNCIL SAID THAT THE  
4 BEST CONSTRAINTS TO PUT ON THIS LANDFILL ARE LIMITS IN  
5 CONTOURS.

6

7 **SUP. KNABE:** MR. MAYOR, I CALL FOR THE QUESTION.

8

9 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WE'RE TALKING ON THE...

10

11 **SUP. KNABE:** THE 25 AND 30.

12

13 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ON THE LENGTH OF CLOSURE, NOT ON THE  
14 MOTION-- JUST ON THE AMENDMENT. SO, ON THAT AMENDMENT, CALL  
15 THE ROLL.

16

17 **SUP. BURKE:** ON THE MOTION?

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NO. ON THE AMENDMENT. I HAVE OTHER  
20 AMENDMENTS I WANT TO BRING FORWARD. SO, ON THE AMENDMENT OF  
21 THE CLOSURE, IT WOULD BE SUPERVISOR BURKE'S AMENDMENT TO  
22 SUPERVISOR KNABE'S MOTION.

23

24 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** AND, FOR THE RECORD, COULD I JUST READ THIS  
25 JUST FOR CLARIFICATION TO MAKE SURE THAT I HAVE IT CORRECT. IT



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WOULD BE ASSUMING THE LANDFILL HAS BECOME OPERATIONAL DURING  
2 THE YEAR FOLLOWING THE 25TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE GRANT THAT THE  
3 BOARD SO AUTHORIZED THE STUDY TO DETERMINE REMAINING CAPACITY  
4 AUTHORIZED BY THE PERMIT FOR THE LANDFILL. THE LANDFILL WOULD  
5 CLOSE UPON EITHER IT REACHING THE 90 MILLION TONS OR UPON THE  
6 30TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE DATE OF THE GRANT.

7

8 **SUP. KNABE:** NO, THAT'S NOT IT.

9

10 **SUP. BURKE:** WELL, WASN'T HIS FIRST PARAGRAPH...

11

12 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** HE JUST WANTS TO LEAVE...

13

14 **SUP. BURKE:** YOU DON'T WANT THE FIRST PARAGRAPH?

15

16 **SUP. KNABE:** I WANT TO LEAVE IT EXACTLY-- I WANT TO REPLACE  
17 30TH WITH 25TH AND AGREE TO REPLACE 40TH WITH 30. THAT'S ALL I  
18 WANT TO DO TO MY MOTION.

19

20 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SO HE JUST WANTS TO LEAVE IT WITH THE 25TH  
21 AND THEN CLOSING AT THE 30TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE GRANT.

22

23 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SO, ON THAT PARTICULAR MOTION, CALL  
24 THE ROLL.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** OKAY, SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

2

3 **SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR:** AYE.

4

5 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR BURKE?

6

7 **SUP. BURKE:** AYE.

8

9 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

10

11 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** NO.

12

13 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** HE SAID "NO".

14

15 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** I'M SORRY. SUPERVISOR KNABE?

16

17 **SUP. KNABE:** AYE.

18

19 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** NO. THAT CARRIES 3-TO-2. I WOULD LIKE TO

22 AMEND THE MOTION TO ALSO READ THAT THE MODIFIED C.U.P. INCLUDE

23 A PROVISION THAT WOULD REQUIRE THE OPERATION OF ALTERNATIVE

24 FUEL TRUCKS AT THE ENTIRE LANDFILL CONSISTENT WITH ALTERNATIVE

25 FUEL TRUCK OPERATION REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE APPLICABLE TO THE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 CITY'S SIDE OF THE LANDFILL AND THAT'S FROM THE SOUTH COAST  
2 AIR MANAGEMENT DISTRICT.. THAT WOULD BE-- SUPERVISOR...

3

4 **SUP. BURKE:** THAT IS THE LETTER FROM...

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THAT'S FROM THE LETTER FROM BARRY  
7 WALLERSTEIN, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR...

8

9 **SUP. BURKE:** BUT THERE WAS SOMETHING ELSE ABOUT THE LETTER...  
10 (OFF-MIKE) .

11

12 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THE C.U.P. INCLUDE A PROVISION  
13 REQUIRING OPERATION OF ALTERNATIVE FUEL TRUCKS AT THE ENTIRE  
14 LANDFILL, CONSISTENT WITH THE ALTERNATIVE FUEL TRUCK OPERATION  
15 REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE CURRENTLY APPLICABLE TO THE CITY'S SIDE  
16 OF THE LANDFILL. SO WHAT WE'RE DOING IS INCLUDING WHAT THE  
17 CITY HAS ON OUR SIDE.

18

19 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** SO WHAT ARE YOU AMENDING?

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** INCLUDING THAT WE USE ALTERNATIVE FUEL  
22 TRUCKS ON THE COUNTY SIDE AS THE CITY IS BEING...

23

24 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WHAT ARE YOU AMENDING? IS THERE A MOTION  
25 BEFORE US?



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YEAH. IT'S AN AMENDMENT.

3

4 **SUP. BURKE:** ARE YOU MOVING TO...

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NO, NO, FIRST OF ALL, YOU HAVE A  
7 MOTION-- I'M AMENDING...

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** YOU'RE ADDING A CONDITION. IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE  
10 DOING?

11

12 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT.

13

14 **SUP. MOLINA:** YOU'RE ADDING A CONDITION. OKAY. SO THIS IS ONE  
15 MORE CONDITION. OKAY.

16

17 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** BUT TO WHAT? YOU'RE ADDING A CONDITION TO  
18 YOUR MOTION?

19

20 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NO. TO THE MOTION THAT'S ON THE TABLE.

21

22 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I DON'T RECALL ANY MOTION ON THE TABLE OTHER  
23 THAN THE ONE ON THE 25/30 THING.

24





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. KNABE:** RIGHT. BUT THE ORIGINAL FIRST PARAGRAPH OF MY  
2   MOTION SAYS MOVE TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING, AND INDICATE OUR  
3   INTENT TO APPROVE C.U.P. 00-194 WHICH REPLACES CURRENT C.U.P.  
4   86-312, BASED UPON THE STAFF'S RECOMMENDED CONDITION WITH THE  
5   FOLLOWING REVISED CLOSURE LANGUAGE AND THEN HE'S ADDING A  
6   CONDITION TO THOSE CONDITIONS THAT ARE BEFORE US.

7

8   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** OKAY. I'LL SECOND IT.

9

10   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** CALL THE ROLL.

11

12   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

13

14   **SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR:** AYE.

15

16   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR BURKE?

17

18   **SUP. BURKE:** AYE.

19

20   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

21

22   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** AYE.

23

24   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR KNABE?

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. KNABE:** UMM... AYE.

2

3    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

4

5    **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** AYE. I WOULD LIKE TO ALSO MOVE ANOTHER  
6    CONDITION THAT THEY HAVE A LINER ON THE COUNTY SIDE, A DOUBLE  
7    LINER ON THE COUNTY SIDE OF THE LANDFILL.

8

9    **SUP. KNABE:** WE DO DOUBLE LINERS AT PUENTE HILLS? WE DON'T DO  
10    DOUBLE LINERS THERE, DO WE?

11

12    **RICHARD WEISS:** SUPERVISOR, I WOULD DEFER TO PUBLIC WORKS. I  
13    BELIEVE THEY MAY KNOW. IT'S THE SANITATION DISTRICT SO I'M NOT  
14    SURE WE KNOW THE ANSWER. I DON'T.

15

16    **SUP. BURKE:** ARE THERE DOUBLE LINERS? NO?

17

18    **RICHARD WEISS:** SUPERVISOR, PUBLIC WORKS INDICATES, TO THEIR  
19    KNOWLEDGE, THERE'S NOT A DOUBLE LINER AT PUENTE HILLS.

20

21    **SUP. MOLINA:** AND HOW WOULD YOU DO IT AT THIS POINT IN TIME?

22

23    **SUP. BURKE:** YOU'D TAKE EVERYTHING OUT, I GUESS, AND START  
24    OVER.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. MOLINA:** I'M SURE THE NEIGHBORS WOULD LOVE THAT, TOO.

2

3    **SUP. BURKE:** IT'S BEEN DONE.

4

5    **DAVE EDWARDS:** YES. I'M SORRY. WE HAVE AGREED TO A CONDITION IN  
6    THE DRAFT CONDITIONS THAT ADDRESS THE REQUIREMENT FOR A LINER  
7    OF EQUAL EFFECTIVENESS TO WHAT WAS APPROVED AS PART OF OUR  
8    REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD APPROVAL FOR THE CITY  
9    SIDE, WHICH IS A DOUBLE COMPOSITE LINER. SO WE ARE AGREEING,  
10   FOR THE AREAS THAT ARE NOT DEVELOPED OR WOULD BE UNDER THIS  
11   APPROVAL, TO COMMIT TO A LINER OF EQUAL EFFECTIVENESS TO THE  
12   CITY SIDE.

13

14   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SO YOU'D HAVE NO OBJECTION TO THAT.

15

16   **DAVE EDWARDS:** NO, SIR.

17

18   **SUP. MOLINA:** WAIT, WAIT, WAIT. BUT YOURS IS DIFFERENT.

19

20   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NO, NO.

21

22   **SUP. MOLINA:** BUT, MICHAEL, LET ME JUST CLARIFY. WHAT YOU'RE  
23   SAYING IS THAT THEY COULD PUT A DOUBLE LINER ON THOSE AREAS  
24   THAT HAVE NOT YET BEEN UTILIZED. YOUR MOTION CLEARLY SAYS IT'S



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    CONDITIONAL UPON THE USE OF A DOUBLE LINER. IT DOESN'T DEFINE  
2    THE PARAMETERS OF IT.

3

4    **DAVE EDWARDS:** WE'RE IN AGREEMENT WITH THE DRAFT CONDITION THAT  
5    REGIONAL PLANNING HAS PUT FORTH.

6

7    **SUP. MOLINA:** WITHIN THE PARAMETERS THAT IT'S FOR THOSE AREAS  
8    THAT ARE NOT YET UTILIZED, YOU COULD PUT A DOUBLE LINER?

9

10   **DAVE EDWARDS:** YES.

11

12   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. SO, ON THE AREA NOT UTILIZED  
13    YET, YOU WOULD COMMIT TO A DOUBLE LINER:

14

15   **DAVE EDWARDS:** RIGHT, CONSISTENT WITH THE DRAFT CONDITION THAT  
16    WE WORK WITH REGIONAL PLANNING.

17

18   **SUP. KNABE:** WITH CONDITION WITH THE APPROVAL THAT YOU GOT FROM  
19    THE CITY.

20

21   **DAVE EDWARDS:** THAT'S CORRECT.

22

23   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THAT IS OKAY THEN.

24

25   **SUP. KNABE:** WITH THE APPROVAL THEY GOT FROM THE CITY.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. MOLINA:** WAIT A MINUTE. IS THAT CONSISTENT WITH THE CITY?  
3 I'M TOLD THAT THE CITY SAID "OR ANY OTHER TECHNOLOGY THAT IS  
4 AVAILABLE."

5

6 **DAVE EDWARDS:** NO, IN THE CONDITION, WE DIDN'T WANT TO LIMIT  
7 OURSELF TO SAYING EXACTLY LIKE THE CITY BECAUSE REGIONAL  
8 PLANNING, PUBLIC WORKS FELT THAT, IF WE USED LANGUAGE OF EQUAL  
9 PROTECTIVENESS AND APPROVED BY THE REGIONAL WATER QUALITY  
10 CONTROL BOARD, THAT THAT COULD-- IT COULD BE THAT AND THEN WE  
11 COULD IMPROVE UPON THAT IF TECHNOLOGY ALLOWED US TO.

12

13 **SUP. MOLINA:** I SEE. ALL RIGHT. SO AS LONG AS THAT'S  
14 UNDERSTOOD.

15

16 **FRANK MENESES:** MR. MAYOR, IF I COULD JUST INTERJECT HERE. THE  
17 REASON WE DIDN'T SPECIFY A PARTICULAR TYPE OF LINER IS BECAUSE  
18 THIS IS REALLY AN ISSUE FOR THE REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL  
19 BOARD IN CONSIDERATION OF NEW TECHNOLOGY AND OTHER THINGS THAT  
20 MIGHT COME ALONG.

21

22 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO WHAT WOULD BE THE APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE?

23

24 **FRANK MENESES:** WELL, THE WAY WE CRAFTED THIS WAS THAT A LINER  
25 OF EQUAL OR BETTER EFFECTIVENESS.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. MOLINA:** IS THAT ACCEPTABLE?

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THAT'S ACCEPTABLE, YES. THAT'S FINE.

5 AND ALSO, CONDITION TO REQUIRE THAT STRICTER CONDITIONS, CITY

6 OR COUNTY, SHALL APPLY. IF THE CITY HAS A STRICTER CONDITION,

7 IT WOULD APPLY. OR IF THE COUNTY HAD A STRICTER CONDITION,

8 THAT WOULD APPLY. SO THERE WOULD BE A UNIFORM...

9

10 **SUP. KNABE:** I MEAN, THAT'S FAIR.

11

12 **DAVE EDWARDS:** WELL, AS A COMBINED OPERATING LANDFILL...

13

14 **SUP. KNABE:** RIGHT, IF IT'S COMBINED.

15

16 **DAVE EDWARDS:** RIGHT. AS LONG AS-- WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE IS

17 THAT REGIONAL PLANNING CONDUCT A FURTHER REVIEW PRIOR TO THE

18 DRAFTING OF CONDITIONS FOR APPROVALS AND FINDING, THAT THEY

19 TAKE A HARDER LOOK AT THAT AND COME BACK WITH RECOMMENDATIONS

20 WHERE, PER CHANCE, THE CITY COULD BE MORE RESTRICTIVE.

21

22 **SUP. KNABE:** THAT'S FAIR.

23

24 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SO YOU HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THAT.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **DAVE EDWARDS:** NO, SIR.

2

3   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** RIGHT NOW, YOU CURRENTLY REMOVE WIND-  
4   BLOWN TRASH WITHIN A MILE RADIUS BUT, AS YOU KNOW, BECAUSE OF  
5   THE GEOGRAPHY OF THE LOCATION, THE WINDS BLOW A LITTLE  
6   STRONGER. WOULD YOU HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO CLEANING WITHIN A  
7   MILE-AND-A-HALF RADIUS?

8

9   **DAVE EDWARDS:** WELL, FIRST OF ALL, I'M NOT AWARE OF PROBLEMS  
10   THAT WE HAVE AT ALL.

11

12   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** I'LL TAKE YOU ON THE 5 SOMETIME.

13

14   **DAVE EDWARDS:** AS A CONCEPT OR AS A GENERAL IDEA, I'M NOT BUT I  
15   DON'T KNOW WHERE THAT TAKES US AS FAR AS IF IT TAKES US...

16

17   **SUP. KNABE:** WOULD THAT BE PART OF THE FURTHER REVIEW BY  
18   REGIONAL PLANNING...

19

20   **DAVE EDWARDS:** I BELIEVE THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE.

21

22   **SUP. BURKE:** REGIONAL PLANNING CAN LOOK AT HOW FAR THE TRASH IS  
23   BLOWING.

24

25   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THAT'S...





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **DAVE EDWARDS:** WE'D BE OPEN TO HAVING REGIONAL PLANNING LOOK AT  
3 THAT AND SEE IF THAT'S APPROPRIATE.

4

5 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. THANK YOU.

6

7 **SUP. KNABE:** WITH THOSE CHANGES, I WOULD MOVE MY MOTION, CLOSE  
8 THE PUBLIC HEARING AND MOVE APPROVAL WITH THOSE...

9

10 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

11

12 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** SO YOUR PREVIOUS MOTION THAT YOU MADE, I'M  
13 SORRY, I WAS DISTRACTED, IS IT BASICALLY, WITH THE EXCEPTION  
14 OF THE DEADLINE, IS IT INCORPORATED NOW INTO THE...

15

16 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IT'S BASICALLY INCORPORATING THOSE.  
17 ONE POINT I HAD IN THERE WAS THAT THE OPERATOR NOT STOCKPILE  
18 DIRT ABOVE FINAL ELEVATIONS.

19

20 **DAVE EDWARDS:** WE, RESPECTFULLY, YOU KNOW-- STOCKPILING IS JUST  
21 AN INHERENT PART OF OUR OPERATION. WE HAVE TO BE ABLE TO  
22 STOCKPILE ABOVE ELEVATIONS TO BE ABLE TO ACCOMMODATE THE DIRT  
23 WE HAVE TO MOVE TO CREATE NEW DISPOSAL CELLS AT THE LANDFILL.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **FRANK MENESES:** MR. MAYOR, OUR CURRENT CONDITION IS THAT, PRIOR  
2   TO THEM STOCKPILING, THEY WOULD HAVE TO GET AN APPROVAL FROM  
3   THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND THAT STOCKPILING OVER THE  
4   APPROVED ELEVATIONS WOULD REALLY ONLY OCCUR TOWARDS THE END AS  
5   THEY'RE BUILDING UP, IF THERE WOULD BE A NEED TO STOCKPILE,  
6   BECAUSE MUCH OF THE DIRT THAT COMES FROM THE COUNTY'S SIDE HAS  
7   TO BE TRANSFERRED OVER TO THE CITY AND SO THEY NEED TO MOVE IT  
8   AND I'M NOT AN ENGINEER BUT MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT, AT  
9   TIMES, THERE IS A NEED TO STOCKPILE ON TOP OF THE LAND.

10

11   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** HOW DO YOU-- HOW DO YOU ENSURE, WHEN  
12   THAT PROCESS OCCURS, THAT IT'S NOT IMPACTING THE SURROUNDING  
13   AREA? BECAUSE OF THE HIGH VOLUME VELOCITY OF THE WINDS AND  
14   THAT TUNNEL...

15

16   **FRANK MENESES:** THAT'S WHY WE PUT THE CONDITION THAT THE  
17   DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS WOULD HAVE TO APPROVE THAT PROPOSED  
18   STOCKPILING BEFORE THEY CAN DO IT.

19

20   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SO IT'S CONDITIONED UPON PUBLIC WORKS.

21

22   **DAVE EDWARDS:** WE'RE ACCEPTING OF THAT AND ALSO JUST LIKE TO  
23   NOTE THAT THE STOCKPILING IS TEMPORARY.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SO IT'S A TEMPORARY APPROVAL ONLY  
2   UNDER AN EXTREME CONDITION?

3

4   **DAVE EDWARDS:** NO, NOT THE APPROVAL BUT, YOU KNOW, STOCKPILING,  
5   WE DON'T ENCOURAGE STOCKPILING. STOCKPILING IS A TEMPORARY  
6   PART OF OUR OPERATION.

7

8   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** ON THE 313-DAY BUSINESS, WE WERE LOOKING AT  
9   A SIMILAR THING AND THE ONLY DIFFERENCE I HAD WAS WE WANTED TO  
10   MAKE SURE THAT, IN DECLARED DISASTERS, WHERE THERE'S A NEED TO  
11   DO...

12

13   **SUP. KNABE:** DECLARED DISASTERS, A NATIONAL EMERGENCY.

14

15   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** NATIONAL EMERGENCY. DID YOU ALREADY COVER  
16   THIS?

17

18   **SUP. KNABE:** NO.

19

20   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** DECLARED DISASTERS, NATIONAL EMERGENCIES,  
21   THAT THAT WOULDN'T COUNT AGAINST THE 313 DAYS AND I THINK  
22   THAT'S CONSISTENT WITH THE WAY WE PRETTY MUCH DO BUSINESS  
23   EVERYWHERE, SO DO YOU HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT, MIKE?

24

25   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NO.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** OKAY. WHY DON'T YOU ADD THAT IN THERE. I  
3 DON'T KNOW IF YOU WERE WRITING THAT DOWN OR IF YOU WERE  
4 WRITING SOMETHING ELSE.

5

6 **SUP. KNABE:** JUST UNDER REVISED CONDITION 17(C) TO RESTRICT  
7 OVERAGES...

8

9 **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** PART OF THE CONFUSION IS THERE ARE TWO MOTIONS  
10 FOR SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, SO I THINK YOU NEED TO CLARIFY  
11 WHICH MOTION YOU'RE WORKING FOR-- FROM, EXCUSE ME.

12

13 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** RIGHT NOW, WE'RE WORKING ON THE MOTION  
14 AMENDED BY SUPERVISOR KNABE.

15

16 **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** WELL, THEY'RE BOTH DATED TODAY.

17

18 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** BUT YOU JUST CIRCULATED ONE SO I...

19

20 **SUP. KNABE:** ...SO IT'S RELATED TO ITEM 68, MOTION BY MAYOR  
21 MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH.

22

23 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I THINK WE'RE WORKING OFF...

24



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. KNABE:** AND THE 1, 2, 3, 4TH REVISE ON CONDITION 17-C TO  
2   RESTRICT OVERAGES TO NO MORE THAN...

3

4   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** RIGHT.

5

6   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY.

7

8   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WE'RE WORKING OFF OF HIS MOST RECENT ONE,  
9   WHICH IS THE ONE THAT JUST HAS THE THEREFORE MOVE AND NOT ANY  
10   PREAMBLES. I THINK THAT-- SO THAT WE'RE ALL WORKING OFF THE  
11   SAME PAGE.

12

13   **SUP. KNABE:** AND WE REVISE THAT TO SAYING IN CASE OF EMERGENCY.

14

15   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YEAH, OVERAGES, THE LANGUAGE THAT WE'RE  
16   LOOKING AT HERE, THAT OVERAGES THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
17   FIND ARE NECESSARY AS A RESULT OF A DECLARED DISASTER OR  
18   NATIONAL EMERGENCY SHALL NOT COUNT TOWARD THE 313-DAY LIMIT.  
19   THAT WOULD BE ADDED TO THAT FOURTH CONDITION.

20

21   **RICHARD WEISS:** I'M SORRY, MR. MAYOR. IF WE'RE WORKING OFF THIS  
22   DOCUMENT, CAN-- FOR THE PURPOSES OF MAKING SURE THE BOARD'S  
23   WILL IS IMPLEMENTED, CAN WE GO BACK THEN TO THE FIRST BULLET.  
24   THERE WAS A DISCUSSION ABOUT WHETHER A DOUBLE LINER SHOULD BE  
25   OUTRIGHT REQUIRED, THEN THERE WAS A DISCUSSION WHERE STAFF



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 INDICATED THAT THEY HAD PROPOSED THE CONDITION THAT REQUIRED A  
2 DOUBLE LINER OR SOMETHING OF EQUIVALENT...

3

4 **SUP. BURKE:** WE ALREADY AGREED ON THAT.

5

6 **RICHARD WEISS:** I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT WAS--  
7 OKAY.

8

9 **SUP. KNABE:** WELL, THAT WAS THE RELATIONSHIP, THE REVIEW BY  
10 REGIONAL PLANNING OF THE VARIOUS CONDITIONS AS THEY RELATE TO  
11 WHAT-- ON A JOINT USE AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY, RIGHT?

12

13 **FRANK MENESES:** FOR THE STRICTER CONDITIONS?

14

15 **SUP. KNABE:** FOR THE STRICTER CONDITIONS. SPECIFICALLY, THOUGH,  
16 BFI ALREADY INDICATED THEIR SUPPORT OF THE DOUBLE LINER  
17 BECAUSE, ON A DRAFT CONDITION WITH THE CITY, THEY'VE AGREED TO  
18 THAT.

19

20 **FRANK MENESES:** IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT WE WOULD NOT CULL  
21 OUT THE DOUBLE LINER BUT IT WOULD BE OF EQUAL OR BETTER  
22 EFFECTIVENESS.

23

24 **SUP. KNABE:** WITH THOSE CHANGES, THEN I MOVE MY MOTION WITH THE  
25 VARIOUS CONDITIONS AS WELL.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. BURKE:** I'LL SECOND IT.

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WE HAVE A MOTION BY KNABE, SECONDED BY  
5 BURKE, WITH ALL OF THE AMENDMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS THAT  
6 HAVE BEEN PUT FORTH. CALL THE ROLL.

7

8 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

9

10 **SUP. MOLINA:** (OFF-MIKE). AYE.

11

12 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR BURKE?

13

14 **SUP. BURKE:** AYE.

15

16 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

17

18 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** (OFF-MIKE).

19

20 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR KNABE?

21

22 **SUP. KNABE:** AYE.

23

24 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

25





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** NO. SO ORDERED.

2

3    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I'LL BE RECORDED AS A "NO" VOTE AS WELL.

4

5    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** 3-TO-2.

6

7    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** 3-TO-2, CORRECT.

8

9    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE ONE REQUEST...

10

11    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

12

13    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** RECONSIDER THE ITEM ON THE SYPHILIS MOTION  
14    THAT I HAD EARLIER FOR THE PURPOSES OF INCORPORATING MS.  
15    MOLINA'S MOTION AND ADDING HER AS A CO-SPONSOR OF THAT  
16    RESOLUTION AT HER REQUEST.

17

18    **SUP. KNABE:** SECONDED.

19

20    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION. WHAT  
21    NUMBER WAS THAT? WHAT NUMBER WAS THAT?

22

23    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WHAT NUMBER WAS THAT? IT WAS JUST A MOTION I  
24    INTRODUCED FOR NEXT WEEK'S AGENDA.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OH, ON THE NEXT WEEK'S AGENDA MOTION.

2    OH.

3

4    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** NO, I'M NOT GOING TO REREAD IT.

5

6    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ON NEXT WEEK'S MOTION, SUPERVISOR'S  
7    MOTION INCLUDES SUPERVISOR MOLINA AS A CO-AUTHOR.

8

9    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** IT'S CORRECTED AND ADDED AND MS. MOLINA'S  
10    NAME IS ADDED TO THE MOTION.

11

12    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

13

14    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** ACTUALLY, I DON'T EVEN THINK WE NEEDED TO  
15    RECONSIDER IT. ALL RIGHT. WHATEVER. DONE.

16

17    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ANY PUBLIC COMMENT? PUBLIC COMMENT?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter  
2 Number 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of  
3 California, do hereby certify:

4 That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the  
5 Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors June 7, 2006,  
6 were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my  
7 direction and supervision;

8 That the transcript of recorded proceedings as  
9 archived in the office of the reporter and which  
10 have been provided to the Los Angeles County Board of  
11 Supervisors as certified by me.

12 I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor  
13 related to any party to the said action; nor  
14 in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.

15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 9th  
16 day of June 2006 for the County records to be used only for  
17 authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts  
18 as on file of the office of the reporter.

19

20 JENNIFER A. HINES

21 CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR

22